Abstract

As a modern software engineering paradigm, DevOps has recently gained increasing acceptance in the industry as a set of practices and cultural values to address daily dynamic software demands. While the rising trend of DevOps and its characteristics and challenges have often been characterized by practitioner communities and academic research circles, there is still a lack of a thorough understanding of how to tackle DevOps adoptions. This paper aims to help fill this gap by identifying, discussing, and summarizing current academic and practitioner DevOps adoption & implementation research. Our findings provide a basis for theoretical, empirical, or design-oriented research for IS scholars, that has the potential to be of practical importance. Our goal is to improve understanding of DevOps adoption by uncovering ambiguities in terms, conceptual conflations, and ideas underlying different uses of the concept as well as providing methods to deal with common challenges in the adoption process.

1. Introduction

In recent years, companies have shifted their software development to an agile and lean approach, wherein software can be released early and with higher frequency [11]. Companies wish to increase their software quality by using this approach. The introduction of agile methods in software development have improved the performance of development teams. This has been achieved by introducing cross-functional teams and providing closer collaboration with customers [23].

DevOps has been introduced as an approach to reach and expand these goals and to bring the software development and operations team together [11]. It is an organizational approach, which aims to create empathy and cross-functional collaboration. Overall, the goal is to reduce the time between development and operations of software without having a negative effect on quality. However, there is no census on which concepts are covered by DevOps, nor how DevOps is defined. Chapter 3 expands on this point and seeks to provide a comprehensive definition of DevOps.

DevOps has become an important phenomenon in software engineering for the private sector as well the scientific community [20]. This paradigm shift towards continuous deployment of software has brought opportunities as well as challenges [23]. Especially the adoption of DevOps has proven to be challenging [30]. It requires the organization to introduce processes, specially trained personnel, technological changes, and innovations. Most importantly, the adoption process is unique to the company. This task has proven to generate challenges when adopting DevOps.

In general, the challenges can be perceived through three perspectives: Engineers can benefit from both, qualifying for a DevOps position and possessing a vast amount of knowledge. However, they need to know how to redesign their systems to incorporate continuous delivery (1). Managers desire to know how to introduce DevOps into the organization and how to assess the performance and quality of the adoption (2). Both engineers and managers (also known as practitioners) share the need of choosing the adequate automation toolset. Lastly, researchers face the challenge of determining the state of DevOps in practice and educating the new generation of software engineers on DevOps principles and practices (3) [20].

To facilitate the introduction of DevOps, various frameworks, methods, and checklists have been proposed by different practitioners to successfully introduce DevOps and minimize risks [30]. Although large companies like Netflix and Flickr have adopted these approaches and achieved success, they are still controversial in terms of generalizability and feasibility. For example, the implementation of DevOps in SMEs (small and medium-sized enterprises) has not been researched comprehensively in the literature. Various scholars point to this scarcity on the topic, noting that implementation in practice creates a level of uncertainty [24].

In this paper, we seek to tackle the knowledge gap in the adaption and implementation process in companies. First, we lay out the theoretical framework and the state of the art in DevOps implementation.
Therein, we show challenges and impediments in the process. Furthermore, we explore different frameworks used in practice and aim to derive methods and approaches to overcoming these challenges and barriers.

Based on the challenges discussed above, the following research questions have been derived:

- **RQ1**: What challenges/barriers exist in SMEs when introducing DevOps?
- **RQ2**: How can companies overcome these challenges?

In accordance with the research questions posed, we aim to achieve the following goals for this paper:
1. Map the status quo of the connection between agile software development and IT operations and derive practice-oriented approaches for the implementation of DevOps.
2. Derive common attributes that relate to commonly used DevOps implementation practices and frameworks.
3. Deriving actionable organizational measures to mitigate resistance to embedding the DevOps mindset.

### 2. Methodology

This paper follows the tradition of business informatics, which sees itself as an applied science and draws on instruments from real, formal, and engineering science perspectives. On this basis, theory-practice integrating research approaches are used, with the aim of presenting practice-relevant results and recommendations, but also creating transparency in order to evaluate the scientific contribution of the paper.

In the upcoming section a literature review provides the most recent state of DevOps research, leading to a concluding discussion on challenges and barriers to DevOps for organizations. A literature review was conducted investigating contributions ranging from 2014 until 2021. In order to classify existing approaches and identify their relevance for the identified problem, the taxonomy framework by Cooper [9] is applied. This taxonomy addresses six characteristics: (1) focus, (2) goal, (3) perspective, (4) coverage, (5) organization, and (6) audience (p. 109). The following configuration of characteristics is applied for the literature review (see Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Focus</td>
<td>Research outcome</td>
<td>The review focuses on the findings of present contributions 2014 until 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Goal</td>
<td>Identification of central issues</td>
<td>The primary goal of the literature review is to explore the field of DevOps thoroughly and identify central issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Perspective</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Given the focus of the review, the perspective is neutral when presenting findings as facts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Coverage</td>
<td>Exhaustive review with selective citation</td>
<td>A manageable number of 608 articles are reviewed focussing on contributions in English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Organisation</td>
<td>Historical</td>
<td>The review is organized chronologically, emphasising the progression of contributions in the field over time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Audience</td>
<td>Specialised Scholars</td>
<td>The audience of this work are in the intersection of computer science and business, coming from both, science and practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following the approach by Cooper [9], who proposed a stage model for conducting literature reviews, the following databases were searched, using "DevOps", "Development" AND "Operations", "DevOps Adoption" as search terms in titles and abstracts:

1. ACM Digital Library, (2) IEEE Xplore Digital Library to explore sources with a technology perspective such as the development and implementation of DevOps systems, (3) AIS Electronic Library (AISeL) to locate core DevOps topics such as the use of DevOps in organizations or in management.

In addition to these management and technical related databases, (4) the SpringerLink database is queried, which covers recent journals, books, and book series to the analysis.

The ACM Digital Library yielded 42 and the IEEE Xplore 175 results. Although relatively new, 197
DevOps contributions were found by AISel. Finally, the SpringerLink database yielded 194 results. This makes a total number of 608 contributions that were analyzed. After excluding inappropriate entries, as well as double counts (i.e., the same article listed in several databases), total of twenty-five contributions are analyzed in more detail. This includes the reading of each paper’s abstract, introduction, and conclusion section. Of these studies, twenty-three were attributed to academic research. Unfortunately, no contribution was found dealing with DevOps in conjunction with SME. Tables 2-4 provide an overview of the analyzed articles summarizing the objectives, placement of the DevOps aspects, and utilized research strategies according to Saunders, Lewis and Thornhill [28, p. 160].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: The first part provides the basic understanding, which includes definitions as well as challenges and already established approaches in practice (Section 3). This part is therefore essential, as the later sections build on it. Initial ideas and possible recommendations to practitioners may nevertheless emerge in this part, which is why they are also recorded.

In a second part, we present the results of our systematic literature review and show what has been researched so far in the DevOps adoption area. Based on this, we derive the aspects that have research potential. These are finally discussed and critically examined. A conclusion section with future work forms the final part of this paper.

3. DevOps

3.1. Definition

DevOps is often described as a software engineering culture and philosophy, which employs cross-functional teams in order to build test and release software faster and more reliably [24]. This is mainly achieved through automation. DevOps aims to improve collaboration between development and operation teams in software development.

In the scientific community, many views and stances on DevOps have been developed. Macarthy & Bass [24] show two conflicting views: DevOps is a cultural movement used to facilitate rapid software development (1) and the view that DevOps is rather a job description, which requires both development and IT operation skills (2).

Reflecting on these views of DevOps as well as its practical use, it becomes clear that automation only does not mean DevOps. Automation is an important part of DevOps, but there are additional aspects that need to be considered [31]: culture, processes, organization, automation, and continuous improvement.

Since much of the research done in DevOps relies on the cultural movement view, this will also be the view we represent in this paper. Furthermore, we believe that this view is essential for the perceived benefits of DevOps.

3.2. Driving factors of DevOps

Taking the development of application in the consideration, the importance of DevOps becomes clear. The high pressure on IT is mainly caused by three factors [31]: external environment (1), internal organization (2) and technical development (3).

The external environment (1) is adapting quickly and is changing the requirements for service deployments and applications, as well as the nature of collaboration within enterprises [31].

The internal organization (2) of the IT departments is also changing. An agile software development approach such as DevOps enables the organization to have quicker IT solutions. The implementation period can be shortened with an agile solution [18].

From an application maintenance point of view, the requirements for stability, governance and availability are becoming higher. Moreover, well-established controls and processes need to be in place in order to be productive [31].

In addition to that, the technical development (3) needs to be considered [18]. Efficient open-source systems such as Jenkins, Ansible, Docker, Nagios, Git and/or OpenStack provide an option for the automation of DevOps. Simplified monitoring enables these tools to provide better evaluations of application behavior [31].

DevOps popularity is also driven by the benefits companies seek to obtain by adopting it. The key benefits are faster turnaround, shorter time to market, increased customer satisfaction, quality improvement, highly collaborative and motivated teams as well as improved productivity and efficiency [15].

3.3. The rise of DevOps

As explained previously, DevOps has become more popular in the last decade. This rise has its origin with some well-known companies such as Google, Apple, Amazon etc. that have been able to successfully implement and reap profits from it [3, 18].

Many companies of different industries are inspired by these promising developments and strive to achieve similar results by adopting such concepts and restructuring their IT based on common frameworks.
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3.4. Implementation & adoption

Today, customers and users expect software applications to cater to their frequently changing needs [15]. In order to accommodate changing customer needs, it is imperative for companies to make frequent releases and deployments. This requires an environment that is disciplined; otherwise it may lead to a number of failures, customer dissatisfaction and losses. DevOps aims to fill this gap and the guiding principles can be summed up as follows: culture, measurement, collaboration, and automation [15].

Gupta et al. [15] identified four major (latent) variables, which influence the DevOps implementation process: source control (1), automation (2), cohesive teams (3) and continuous delivery (4).

In total eighteen attributes represent these factors. With the help of experts in the field, they were able to confirm that these factors are independent from each other. Based on these factors, 10 major sub-factors were identified, that influence implementation [15]. These are branching patterns, branching changes, feature toggle, automated code review, automated testing, branching depth, automated tools for monitoring and infrastructure as a Code. With the help of this framework, practitioners are able to conduct a detailed assessment of the maturity level of the DevOps implementation. This method uses statistical analysis [15].

Other popular frameworks include culture, automation, measurement, sharing and services as the main dimensions of DevOps [29]. As mentioned earlier some researchers classify DevOps as a cultural movement that enables rapid development [17, 22, 25].

The cultural movement has four defining characteristics: open communication, incentive and responsibility alignment, respect, and trust. However, some researchers argue that cultural aspects themselves cannot be the defining characteristics of DevOps but rather enablers to support software engineering process capabilities [29].

Another point of view some researchers hold is that DevOps can be characterized by several defining enablers. Three core aspects include: capability enablers, cultural enablers, and technological enablers [29]. These enablers can be seen as potential requirements to be met for implementing DevOps.

Capability enablers focus on basic activities in software development (i.e. planning, development, testing and deployment). These activities are carried out continuously and require that the support of technical practices such as test automation and effective collaboration between the teams is given [29]. The technological enablers are needed to support the DevOps capabilities by automating tasks. By automating tasks, continuous delivery and deployment is facilitated. Cultural enablers are related to behaviors that DevOps teams must exhibit to support DevOps capabilities. This requires extensive collaboration, low effort communication as well as shared goals and collective ownership [29].

3.5 Common attributes associated with DevOps

Lwakatare et al. [23] conducted a literature review on the DevOps issue and found these four elements to be key for DevOps implementation: collaboration (1) automation (2), measurement (3) and monitoring (4).

In the academic literature, there seems to be a consensus among practitioners, that DevOps at its core encompasses a culture of collaboration between software development and operations teams [23]. Testers and quality assurance teams are also important stakeholders. The collaboration aspect is enforced by sharing information, skillset broadening and shifting responsibilities between the two teams.

Moreover, a general sense of shared responsibility is instilled. A proper implementation of these approaches requires a change in the mind-set of the teams as well as changes in the culture of the organization [23]. Furthermore, collaboration has an impact.
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Moreover, a general sense of shared responsibility is instilled. A proper implementation of these approaches requires a change in the mind-set of the teams as well as changes in the culture of the organization [23]. Furthermore, collaboration has an impact.
on the team structure and leads to changes in the required skillsets of software development and operations personnel.

Practitioners and scholars agree that automation in operations processes and testing is an essential part of DevOps and necessary in software development [23]. Operations processes need to be able to keep up with the pace of agile software development and continuous integration (CI). Therefore, these processes need to be repeatable, flexible, and fast [23]. This is done by eliminating manual processes. Especially in complex environments manually deploying functionality and managing configurations repeatedly and quickly is time-consuming. Moreover, quality of deployed functionality is improved by test automation and CI [23]. A common approach used to address manual processes is known as Infrastructure as a Code (IaC). This concept describes the idea that almost all actions performed to the infrastructure can be automated [23].

Another important element according to Lawakatare et al. [23] is the ability to measure the development process. This is done by incorporating metrics, which help increase the efficiency. Furthermore, measurement should not only cover quality assurance efforts, but data should be used to seek insights about quality and usefulness of software functionality [23]. Lastly, monitoring tools are used to obtain information regarding a systems overall health [23]. However, locating the problem in largely voluminous logs is time-consuming. Furthermore, continuous deployment poses a challenge for monitoring activities since they require them to be effective and fast [23]. In DevOps, these challenges are addressed by putting an emphasis on collaboration such that the systems are designed to expose relevant information. This information is provided to developers, product management and is used for product improvement and customization [23].

Table 3 gives an overview over commonly identified attributes, which are essential to a successful DevOps implementation.

### 3.6. Challenges & barriers in the implementation process

Many companies face challenges in the implementation process of DevOps. The six major challenges that small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) face today are [16]:

- **Costs**: should remain calculable.
- **Risks**: should remain low and assessable.
- **Scope**: should be flexible (benefit dependent)
- **Quality**: should be appropriate and measurable by criteria.
- **Business Value**: is the focus of all development activities as a business-customer- and stakeholder benefit.
- **Time**: it is important to be able to react adequately to market changes and to be able to quickly verify or falsify hypotheses about possible customer needs.

Due to a number of factors, DevOps implementation can prove to be unsuccessful. Insufficient communication is a key impediment for adopting DevOps successfully. Operations teams do not always pass or monitor all the performance and further metrics that can be useful to developers, which can also cause problems [25]. In suboptimal cases, developers and operations engineers care about possibly conflicting and different, metrics: developers worry about the release frequency whereas operations personnel are concerned with the uptime of servers [25].

Tools can create another problem of Dev and Ops teams, having completely different toolsets and metrics [14]. The communication between the two teams could be lacking if it occurs only through electronic systems, causing delays in reaction times to issues [25]. DevOps relies on variety of tools to construct a process. In-person communication is hard to replace with electronic tools. Furthermore, the integration of those tools can prove difficult and problematic to maintain and integrate at times. Thus, resistance to change occur [14]. The necessity of maintaining legacy systems is one of the biggest issues the developers face [17].

The adoption of DevOps also highlights cultural matters. A deep-rooted company can be a challenge since profound changes to the cultural mindset are required. There are a number of changes, responsibilities shift, people have to rethink their established roles and roles merge [25]. The move to DevOps can seem scary to many key stakeholders and team members [14].

Developers may have to take on new responsibilities for the operating environment, such as being on-call for system failures, and may have to take on responsibilities they are not used to. At the same time, operations staff may be wary because they are overwhelmed with handling more frequent releases, or developers may take away their domain. However, there is no consensus on how to effectively empower collaboration among departments, and there are only a few tools for tackling this issue [20].

Access to production system can be contractually or legally restricted so the company’s feasibility and constraints in different domains need to be considered when applying DevOps. In some cases, the environments like data systems or databases used in production systems can be complicated enough to make replicating the environments for testing and verification
complex. As a result, automated testing becomes less trustworthy meaning that heterogeneous environments provide a barrier for successful DevOps adoption [25].

An overview of common challenges can be seen in Table 3.

### 3.7. Approaches to overcoming challenges

One solution for clear communication within a company after the introduction of DevOps is job crafting. Job crafting is the design of physical or cognitive task boundaries and/or relational boundaries. It implies that duties are at the core of the employee-employer relationship. Three specific types of job crafting may be essential in DevOps adoption [17]: task, relational, cognitive.

Task crafting refers to job crafting where work is completed in a timely manner and where the meaning of the work may change, making the employee the guardian or mover of a project. Relationship job crafting occurs when employees see their work as an important part of an integrated whole. In cognitive job crafting, employees change their perception of the work, and the focus is not exclusively on the quality of the outcome. Job crafting is a phenomenon that is often studied using a longitudinal approach [17].

A difficult question that has not been fully answered in the literature is how - or perhaps even if - an organization should be restructured to adopt DevOps. Leite et al. [19] suggest three different solutions that could help circumvent this problem: (1) **Collaborating departments:** Development and operations departments work closely together, with overlapping responsibilities between developers and operators. The use of collaborating departments is used when automation is not prioritized in operations [20]. (2) **DevOps teams:** Assigning a "DevOps team" as a bridge between developers and operators has become a trend. A DevOps team can be introduced if the project is time-limited and focused on passing development practices to operations staff and to developers [20]. Introducing a DevOps team has proven to be better accepted as a temporary cultural transformation strategy. (3) **Cross-functional teams:** Product team is responsible for deployment and operations. Cross-functional teams seem to be gaining traction in the literature. In this model, at least one team member must have operational skills. From this perspective, the so-called "DevOps Engineer" is also called "Full Stack Engineer". This method is also recommended by Amazon and Facebook [20].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Business Case(s) (g) and/or Application Domains</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Key Findings</th>
<th>Implemented Domain(s)</th>
<th>Research Imperatives (R)</th>
<th>Key Terms</th>
<th>Key Findings</th>
<th>Implemented Domain(s)</th>
<th>Research Imperatives (R)</th>
<th>Key Terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bezemer et al. (2019)</td>
<td>B: Need to automate DevOps processes to improve the efficiency of software development and reduce costs.</td>
<td>DevOps &amp; Cloud Computing can accelerate innovation, improve collaboration, increase efficiency, and reduce costs.</td>
<td>DevOps automation tools to deliver services and applications at high velocity</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>Further research/guidance needed to handle the process of DevOps adoption at the same time.</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luz et al. (2018)</td>
<td>B: Lack of evidence on the effectiveness of DevOps in practice.</td>
<td>DevOps &amp; Cloud Computing can accelerate innovation, improve collaboration, increase efficiency, and reduce costs.</td>
<td>DevOps automation tools to deliver services and applications at high velocity</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>Further research/guidance needed to handle the process of DevOps adoption at the same time.</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erich et al. (2017)</td>
<td>B: Increase the maturity level of DevOps processes.</td>
<td>DevOps &amp; Cloud Computing can accelerate innovation, improve collaboration, increase efficiency, and reduce costs.</td>
<td>DevOps automation tools to deliver services and applications at high velocity</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>Further research/guidance needed to handle the process of DevOps adoption at the same time.</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
<td>DevOps, Cloud Computing, DevOps as a service to cloud applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framework Design</td>
<td>General Framework Attributes</td>
<td>Key Concepts/Elements</td>
<td>Purpose Goal</td>
<td>Description/Guidance on Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DevOps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Communication and Collaboration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Continuous Delivery</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Monitoring and Analyzing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Security</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Utility</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. DevOps frameworks and its identified attributes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jones et al. (2016)</td>
<td>DevOps is an interdisciplinary topic which would greatly benefit from further management and potentially psychology-oriented research attention. Not mentioned.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigungu-Kalliosaari et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Difficult to determine what practices should be taken into consideration for DevOps. There are several changes, responsibilities shift, people must rethink their established roles and roles merge. Operations teams do not always pass or monitor all the performance and further metrics that can be useful to developers. A key impediment for successfully adopting DevOps is insufficient communication. Developers worry about the release frequency whereas operations personnel are concerned with the uptime of servers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganthous &amp; Gill (2017)</td>
<td>Not mentioned. Not mentioned. Dev and Ops teams having separate toolsets and metrics. Establish and simulate an effective Agile-DevOps technology environment. Large scale enterprise agility depends on the most important human capability such as people competency and experience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gupta et al. (2019)</td>
<td>Ops automation in a cloud environment helps to control the ops cost. Automation helped to predict the risks and added more value to version increments. Release strategies compared to legacy fixed scope-based releases; value stream-based. Anticipating the quality and frequency of releases. Deliver higher business value with version increments. Delay in any milestone is too costly and impacts the timely release of the version increment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leite et al. (2019)</td>
<td>DevOps concepts and challenges presented in the literature. By associating these concepts with tools, they contributed to supporting practitioners in choosing a proper toolset. DevOps aims to achieve some business outcomes, such as reducing risk and cost. Metrics such as productivity were aggregated in a &quot;total quality index.&quot; Services interact through the network and are built around business capabilities. Significant deployment times, and the need for frequent and reliable releases led to inefficient execution of agile processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halstenberg et al. (2020)</td>
<td>The meaning of DevOps has shifted in the past and other tools for DevOps keep evolving so one of the barriers is that the meaning of DevOps is unclear but also evolving. Costs should remain calculable. Risks should remain low and assessable. The scope should be flexible based on the benefits. The quality level should be appropriate and measurable by criteria. The business value is the focus of all development activities as a business-customer and stakeholder benefit. It is important to be able to react adequately to market changes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Challenges and Barriers
4. Discussion

This paper explores DevOps implementation by reviewing the relevant literature. Two main goals were identified: To uncover the challenges and barriers in the implementation process and to derive methods and approaches to overcoming these challenges.

The systematic literature review provides a broad overview of DevOps and its practices. Recently, the scientific community has conducted an increased number of research papers into DevOps. Today, the demand for customized IT solutions has increased significantly. Furthermore, in this digital world, customers demand fast results in order to accommodate the changing needs. With the fast-paced changes, traditional software development approaches have failed and need to be overhauled because of their lack of adaptation and non-agile proceeding.

Additionally, in order to meet today’s customers’ needs and satisfy their demand, a continuous delivery of digital products is a must. This goal can be achieved by implementing DevOps as a way to merge operational and development teams. This combination allows organizations to communicate more efficiently and makes delivery of software faster and more convenient to the customer’s needs. The changing nature of software development is reflected in the definition process of DevOps. No single homogenous definition for DevOps has been defined by research. However, many definitions include common aspects outlined in this paper. Furthermore, the conflicting views (DevOps as a cultural movement vs. DevOps as a job description) make it evident, that there is a divide regarding the definition [24].

Common models include the CAMM or CAMS framework, which include a few key aspects, which define DevOps. The four dimensions are Culture, Automation, Measurement and Monitoring or Sharing. The first dimension encompasses aspects related to teamwork and collaboration. Open communication is considered to be a crucial factor when adopting DevOps especially in large teams and organizations. Smaller teams are often not as separated and experience more intensive communication. The automation aspect is based on a technical level [23].

The tools that are used to collaborate, measure, monitor and automate are equally important. However, it is important to note, that there are no universal solutions. The selection of the right tools in the appropriate environment with a specialized team is key to adapting and implementing DevOps.

RQ1: What challenges/barriers exist in SMEs when introducing DevOps?

DevOps is often adopted to benefit from higher productivity, lower delivery time, automated processes, and greater customer satisfaction.

However, implementing DevOps can be quite challenging. Overcoming these challenges is key to the success of DevOps. As shown in earlier chapters, there are technical as well as interpersonal barriers. Common challenges include lack of a clear definition (1), deep-seated company culture (2), insufficient communication (3), geographical distribution (4) and organisation structure (5) [23].

Apart from the challenge to adopt DevOps in an enterprise, the operation in a DevOps environment is also challenging. The literature does not provide recommendations or a model how to deal with the different views of development and operation teams. Nevertheless, a consensus on the importance of DevOps in an organization should be fostered, as this can help mitigate communication issues.

RQ2: How can companies overcome these challenges?

In order to overcome the challenges of the implementation of DevOps, companies must work towards a common goal. This can be achieved with a supportive management and a team that is willing to change the corporate culture that is aligned with the DevOps ideal. Recognizing and dealing with these issues appropriately is important. Combined with the increasing demand of “state-of-the-art IT-solutions” in the market and unpredictability of upcoming innovations, enterprises need to focus on leadership aspects to adopt DevOps successfully. Furthermore, since organizations and their needs are ever-changing and unique, no universal framework or solution to adopt DevOps in an existing infrastructure, can be defined.

The major cultural shift is probably the biggest obstacle to moving forward, bigger than process or current technical competencies. That is the point where leadership can play an important role. Management must be supportive and change the corporate culture to match the ideal DevOps setup.

5. Conclusion and directions for further research

This paper’s aim is to identify challenges and barriers that come up in DevOps implementations and discussing possible causes and methods to overcome them. This was done through a literature review, evaluation, and discussion of the results. The main inten-
tion was to create a comprehensible and deeper understanding that would provide a basis and motivate future researchers for broader investigations in this area.

From the literature perspective, it is clear that DevOps is a very interdisciplinary field that has not yet fully exhausted the research needs and where many different research potentials still exist. While the DevOps literature continues to grow, there is a diversity of meanings, aspirations and technologies that poses confusion and ambiguity for future research. Different publications highlight this experience and show that a common understanding and definition is lacking in academia but also among practitioners.

While much of the previous research has examined usage, characteristics and benefits of DevOps, there is a lack of research of practical significance that companies can adopt and use in practice to drive a successful DevOps implementation. Based on the literature and the earlier discussion, it can be summarized that DevOps implementations consist of the following categories that are interrelated and have an interaction: Agility, Collaboration, Automation, Measurement, Monitoring and Transparency.

Implementing these aspects is crucial for a successful DevOps adoption. Therefore, it requires interaction between development and operations on a team and departmental but also individual level. If these aspects are not given the necessary attention early on, challenges arise that become more complicated to overcome later.

Therefore, early adopters should develop appropriate communication strategies and define and embody an organization-wide DevOps culture. This also requires embedding policies and practices (e.g., DevOps principles, Agile Manifesto) into the organizational structure. These should be accompanied by competent and experienced leadership that supports on an individual and mental level.

Given the complexities in the field of DevOps, a one-size-fits-all approach is questionable and calls for a novel approach to the adoption of DevOps considering given domain-specific conditions, particularities, and constraints e.g. in SME.

Based on the principles of method engineering, additional effort could be spent on the development of a method for the adoption of DevOps. It has to be noted that the proposed method should not directly aim at changing the implied styles or patterns of behavior discussed in the previous sections, but rather should take these issues for granted and should seek to improve an organization’s ability in dealing with the management of DevOps.

To address the increased need for research with practical contextual rich results, we will focus on small and medium-sized Swiss enterprises (SMEs) in a second part of our research. The investigation of different use cases of DevOps implementations in different organizations offers the opportunity to validate the theoretical insights gained from this paper and to test them for practical relevance.

Based on this, we seek to better understand the quantifiable but also cognitive and social aspects of DevOps adoption within our practical work. Furthermore, the limitations that only become clear when time, cost and human resources are included in the research will become evident.

With this goal in mind, iterative qualitative research will be conducted, including interviews and focus groups. The goal is to explore the possibilities, provide assessments of the feasibility, usefulness, and subsequent measurement of success of a smooth DevOps implementation, and recommend when and in what context the introduction of DevOps is justified.

This will be particularly helpful for smaller organizations that are considering a DevOps implementation and are uncertain about whether or how to start.

6. Limitations

Although this literature review was conducted in a disciplined manner, potential limitations must be acknowledged.

There is a potential risk of missing relevant literature since all papers written in other languages than English were excluded. In addition, considering the previous section, it becomes obvious that a structured approach to the adoption of DevOps, especially for SME, could be a reasonable area of future research.

In this context, the paper at hand explored literature sources with a strong academic reference, which excludes contributions from practice such as software companies or consultancies.

Another possible limitation is selection bias. To reduce this threat, the review was conducted and validated by three independent researchers.

Another area of concern is that this paper covers publications that were published before the end of 2021. As a conclusion, the results of this review can quickly become outdated since the number of new DevOps contributions dealing with challenges and barriers is increasing constantly.

Finally, it has to be noted that the paper at hand is an ongoing research, which deals with the theoretical aspect in the first part. Therefore, this work is still in the early stages and should not be considered fully comprehensive and conclusive. Nevertheless, it offers first insights and illuminates the DevOps phenomenon from the literature viewpoint. It contributes to DevOps...
research by summarising what has been written in the academic literature on DevOps adoption. This can serve as a basis for researchers to get an overview of the academic literature and to further explore potential gaps.
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