APR 20 MAR

FOR REFERENCE

not to be taken from this room

TA7103 H3 H64 N. 462

SOILS INVESTIGATION

SUNSET LAKEVIEW APARTMENTS

ON ALA ILIMA STREET - SALT LAKE

TMK: 1-1-60-12

for

MR. L. ROBERT ALLEN

July 13, 1972 W.O. 135-A

ERNEST K. HIRATA & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Soils and Foundation Engineering

MUNICIPAL REFERENCE OF RECORDS CENTER

City & Caulify Honolulu
City Handley, 558 S. King Street
Handlulu, Hawaji 99813







Soils and Foundation Engineering

1157 South King Street • Honolulu, Hawaii 96814 • Phone 531-5733

July 13, 1972 W.O. 135-A

Mr. L. Robert Allen c/o Mr. Jo Paul Rognstad Apt. 7G 920 Ward Avenue Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Subject: Soils Investigation

Sunset Lakeview Apartments

on Ala Ilima Street - Salt Lake

TMK: 1-1-60-12

Reference: Foundation Investigation

Prepared by Ernest K. Hirata & Associates, Inc.

W.O. 135 dated March 3, 1972

Gentlemen:

This report presents the results of our soils investigation conducted on the subject property. This investigation was authorized to determine if any unusual or adverse conditions might exist which would affect the proposed grading of the site. A previous foundation investigation conducted by us has been reviewed and the results utilized. The exploratory boring locations are shown on the Proposed Grading Plan.

SITE DESCRIPTION

The property is located near the southeast intersection of Ala Ilima and Ala Lilikoi Streets. The site gradually slopes up from Ala Ilima Street towards the rear of the property.

The rear of the property is bounded by a 34 feet high cut

slope which fronts Salt Lake Boulevard.

PROPOSED GRADING

Grading will be primarily limited to the existing cut slope found at the rear of the property. The existing cut slope which is at a slope gradient of 1:1 will be recut to a slope gradient of $\frac{1}{2}$:1 (horizontal to vertical). The final cut slope will still be approximately 34 feet high with the elimination of two benches.

FIELD EXPLORATION

The site was explored on February 14th and 15th, 1972 by drilling seven exploratory test borings with a truck mounted drill rig. In addition, the existing cut slopes were carefully inspected on July 11, 1972. The boring locations are shown on the Proposed Grading Plan and the soils encountered are logged on Plates Al through A7.

SOIL CONDITIONS

Fill was found on the site varying in depth from 6 inches to 4 feet. The deepest fills were encountered at borings 1 and 7. The fills in the front of the property are known to have been engineered fills, and were found to be dense.

Generally, the fills encountered in the other borings were

more of a surface debris. Tuff, commonly called mudrock, was encountered on the surface and extended below the fills down to the depths drilled. The tuff was found to be very hard as indicated by the high blow counts. Visual inspection of the existing cut slopes also indicated that the slope is comprised of mudrock material.

Groundwater was encountered in boring 1 at a depth of 19 feet.

LABORATORY TESTING

Laboratory testing included moisture density relationships, direct shear tests, and swell tests.

The field moisture content and dry unit weight are determined for each of the undisturbed soil samples. The information is useful in providing a gross picture of the soil consistency between borings and any local variations. The dry unit weight is determined in pounds per cubic foot while the moisture content is determined as a percentage of the dry unit weight. These samples are obtained from a 3" O.D. split tube sampler.

Shear tests are performed in the Direct Shear Machine which is of the strain control type. The rate of deformation is approximately 0.03 inches per minute. Each sample is sheared under varying confining loads in order to determine the Coulomb shear strength parameters, cohesion and angle of internal friction. Eighty percent of the ultimate value is taken to

determine the shear strength parameters.

Swell tests were performed to determine the expansiveness of the onsite surface soils. The tests were performed on undisturbed ring samples taking a one inch high specimen under different surcharge loads.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

I. Cut Slopes

Based upon the shear strength parameters obtained from the direct shear tests, cut slopes should be stable at slope gradients of $\frac{1}{2}$:1 (horizontal to vertical).

II. Groundwater

Groundwater is not anticipated from any of the cut slopes, and the need for subdrains is not anticipated.

III. Expansive Soils

Swell tests run on undisturbed samples indicated a negligible of swelling.

IV. Grading

The site area should be stripped of all vegetation and deleterious materials and wasted from the site. The existing grade should then be scarified to a depth of

six inches, moistened as required to obtain optimum moisture content, and recompacted to a minimum of 90% of the maximum dry density as determined by the Modified AASHO Test T-180. Onsite materials may be utilized for fill material.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service. Should you have any questions concerning this report, please call on us.

Respectfully submitted,

Ernest K. Hirata & Associates, Inc.

Ernest K. Hirata P.E. 2732

Enc: Boring Logs Plates Al through A7
Proposed Grading Plan



BORING NO. B1

BORING LOG DRIVING WT. 140 1b.

BUNI					טואָוו	/ ING V	V 1	DATE OF DRILLING ASSA
SURF	ACE	ELE'	V	24 +		DR	OP3	0 in. W.O. <u>135 -A</u>
ODEPTH FEET		PENE RESIST. BLOWS/FOOT	DRY DENSITY PCF	MOISTURE CONTENT %	RELATIVE COMPACTION	DIREC SHEAR STREN PARAM	₹ .	CLASSIFICATION (% Sand, % Silt, % Clay)
	x	42	87.7	19.8				FILL - Silty Sand, brown, cobbles and coral frag-ments.
-5-	x	35 50,	'4'' 89.6	20.9 30.7		53.0 ⁰	0.23 KSF	TUFF - Light brown to brown, hard
-10-	х	42 50/4	102.7	31.6		50.5 ⁰	0.44 KSF	
-15 -		50/5	"No I	ecove 40.3	сy	·	·	Grading to gray color from 14 feet
 -20-	1	50/4	l''No I	ecove	с у			Grading to black color from 20 feet.
-25-	x	50/2	 "No F	Recove	-у			
-30-		S		31.6				End boring at 25 feet. Water level at 19 feet.

BORING LOG DRIVING WT. 140 1b.

BORING NO. B2

SURF	ACE	ELEV	25 ±		DR	OP3	30 in. W.O. <u>135-A</u>
ODEPTH FEET	CORE	PENE. RESIST. BLOWS/FOOT DRY	DENSITY PCF MOISTURE CONTENT %	RELATIVE COMPACTION	DIREC SHEAT STREN PARAN	R	CLASSIFICATION (% Sand, % Silt, % Clay)
-5-	x x	33/0"	No Reco				TUFF - Light brown to brown, hard
-10-	x x	30/0'' 50/ 2 ''	No Reco				Grading dark brown to black from 11 feet.
-15-	x x	50/2 ¹ / ₂ "	No Reco 23.8	very			
-25-	x	40/C''	No Reco	very			Grading gray to black from 20 feet.
-30-	x	50/0"	No Reco	very -		·	End boring at 28 feet.

BORING NO. B3

BORING LOG
DRIVING WT. 140 1b. DATE OF DRILLING 2-14-

SURF		Ξ Ε	ELE	v	26 ±		DR		30 in. W.O. <u>135 -A</u>
ODEPTH FEET		BAG	PENE. RESIST. BLOWS/FOOT		MOISTURE CONTENT %	RELATIVE COMPACTION		T R	CLASSIFICATION (% Sand, % Silt, % Clay)
-5-	x	x	50/		22.3 Reco	very			TUFF - Light brown, hard
-10-		x			15.9 17.0				Grading to grayish black color from 8 feet.
-15 -		x			16.6 15.9				
-25-				••					End boring at 20 feet.
-30-		-							

BORING LOG

BORING NO. B4 DRIVING WT. 140 lb.

	SURF	ACE	Ξ	ELE	v	28.5	+ .	DR	OP <u>3</u>	0 in. W.O. <u>135-A</u>
	 ООЕРТН FEET 	CORE	BAG	PENE. RESIST. BLOWS/FOOT	DRY DENSITY PCF	MOISTURE CONTENT %	RELATIVE COMPACTION	DIREC SHEAI STREN PARAN	₹	CLASSIFICATION (% Sand, % Silt, % Clay)
	- 0-	х				9 20.				FILL - Silty Sand, cobbles in upper foot, black silty clay lense
	5			·						TUFF - Brown, hard
		x	x	50/2	2 <u>1</u> ″ No	Reco 14.3	very			Grading to grayish black
.	-10-		x			15.9				color from 8 feet.
	-15 -		x			17.3	-			
									• .	
	-20-				-					End boring at 20 feet.
	-25-				\ \					
	-30-									

.

BORING LOG

BORING NO. B5 DRIVING WT. 140 1b.

BOKII			DRIV			DATE OF DRILLING 2-1		
SURF	ACE	7		36 ±		DR	OP3	0 in. W.O. 135_A
J ODEPTH FEET J	CORE	PENE, RESIST. BLOWS/FOOT.	DRY DENSITY PCF	MOISTURE CONTENT %	RELATIVE COMPACTION	DIREC SHEAI STREN PARAM	₹ .	CLASSIFICATION (% Sand, % Silt, % Clay)
				10.0				FILL - Silty Sand TUFF - Light brown, hard
-5-	x	50/2	'' No	18.0 Recov 19.4	ery	·		Torr - Ergit Brown, hard
-10-	x			16.6			<u>.</u>	Grading to brown color from 6 feet.
	x			18.4				Grading to black color from 12 feet.
-15 -	х			19.4				
-25-			•					End boring at 20 feet.
-30-								

BORING LOG DRIVING WT. 140 1b. BORING NO. B6

SURF	ACE	ELE	v	40 <u>+</u>	<u>.</u>	DR	OP3	0 in. W.O. <u>135-A</u>
ODEPTH FEET	CORE	PENE. RESIST. BLOWS/FOOT	DRY DENSITY PCF	MOISTURE CONTENT %	RELATIVE COMPACTION	DIREC SHEA STREN PARAN	R ·	CLASSIFICATION (% Sand, % Silt, % Clay)
-5-	x x x	30/0		Reco 18.4 19.8	very			FILL - Silty Sand TUFF - Brown, hard
-10-	x			17.3				
	х			17.3				
-15-				•				End boring at 15 feet.
-20-						•		
-25-								

BORING LOG DRIVING WT. 140 1b. BORING NO. __B7

SURF	ACE	ELE'	V	28 +		DR	OP3	80 in. W.O. <u>135 -A</u>
ODEPTH FEET	CORE	PENE. RESIST. BLOWS/FOOT	DRY. DENSITY PCF	MOISTURE CONTENT %	RELATIVE COMPACTION	DIREC SHEAI STREN PARAN	R	CLASSIFICATION (% Sand, % Silt, % Clay)
-0-	×	32 50/4		31.1 Reco				FILL - Silty Sand and cobbles 6" lense of silty clay
		100,	2	1				TUFF - Brown, hard
-5-								End boring at 3 feet.
-10-	·							
-15 -								
	·							
-20-	•••							
-25-								
-30-								

