Notice regarding Electronic Case Filing

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

The Court is sending you this notice because it appears that you have not registered for the Ninth Circuit's Case Management/Electronic Case Files (CM/ECF) system.

Effective January 2, 2009, use of the CM/ECF system is **mandatory** for all attorneys and all court reporters filing in this Court, unless they are granted an exemption from using the CM/ECF system. Use of the CM/ECF system is voluntary for all pro se parties proceeding without counsel.

How to Register

- To register, go to Appellate ECF Filer Registration:

 http://pacer.psc.uscourts.gov/announcements/general/ea_filer.html
- You must register specifically for the Ninth Circuit, even if you are already registered for PACER, for ECF in other courts, or for electronic noticing in the Ninth Circuit.
- You should receive e-mail confirmation of your CM/ECF registration within 10 business days from the PACER service center. Once you receive this confirmation, you will be expected to use the Ninth Circuit's CM/ECF system.

Once registered, you must use the CM/ECF system to electronically file most documents, and you will also receive electronic notice of docket activity.

Ouestions?

- If you have questions about Appellate ECF Filer Registration, please contact the PACER Service Center at: pacer@psc.uscourts.gov
- If you have questions about CM/ECF (including about which documents must be electronically filed) in the Ninth Circuit, please first refer to the Ninth Circuit's website for information about Electronic Filing and the CM/ECF system: www.ca9.uscourts.gov
- Still have questions? Please contact: CMECF_ca9help@ca9.uscourts.gov

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

APR 23 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER AND SMITH, INCORPORATED, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business in New York, New York,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

٧.

ARELMA, INC., a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Panama with a permanent address at Ave. Justo Alosemena y Calle 41 Este, No. 40-59 Pte al Colegio Immeculada, Panama 1.Rep.de Panama, and a mailing address at c/o Suntrust Investment Co.S.A., ru deJargonnant 2, P.O. Box 76, 1211 Geneva 6, Switzerland; et al.,

Defendants - Appellants,

THE ESTATE OF ROGER ROXAS, receiver, Felix Dacanay; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

SUNTRUST INVESTMENT CO., S.A., a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Switzerland with an address at rue de Jargonnant 2, P.O. Box 76, 1211 Geneva 6 Switzerland; et al.,

Defendants.

No. 09-15573

ORDER SETTING
ASSESSMENT CONFERENCE

Date: May 12, 2009

Time: 1:00 p.m. Pacific Time 10:00 a.m. Hawaii Time 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time This case is under consideration for inclusion in the Mediation Program. See Fed. R. App. P. 33 and Ninth Cir. R. 33-1.

A settlement assessment conference will be held by telephone on May 12, 2009, at 1:00 p.m. Pacific Time (10:00 a.m. Hawaii Time; 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time). A Circuit Mediator will initiate the telephone call and contact each participant at the telephone number listed on the attached list. Check the information for completeness and accuracy. Questions or comments about the conference date or participants should be directed to the Mediation Assistant via fax at (415) 355-8566. If the appeal settles or a motion to dismiss pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 42(b) is filed prior to the conference, please inform the Mediation Assistant via fax immediately.

Procedures governing the assessment conference and the Mediation Program are discussed in the attached memorandum. Counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the information in the memorandum. All participants in the assessment conference shall comply with the confidentiality provisions set forth in Paragraph I.

The briefing schedule previously set by the court remains in effect.

FOR THE COURT

By: Beatriz Smith Deputy Clerk

bs/mediation

LIST OF CONFERENCE PARTICIPANTS

MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE,
FENNER AND SMITH, INC.,
a corporation organized and
existing under the laws of the
State of Delaware with its
principal place of business
in New York, New York
Plaintiff - Appellee

Daniel McLaughlin, Esq.
A. Robert Pietrzak, Esq.
TEL: 212-839-5300
FAX: 212-339-5599
SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP
787 Seventh Avenue
New York, NY 10019

Jeffrey Steven Portnoy
TEL: 808-521-9221
CADES SCHUTTE FLEMING
& WRIGHT
12th floor
1000 Bishop Street
Honolulu, HI 96813

v.

ARELMA, INC., a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Panama with a permanent address at Ave. Justo Alosemena y Calle 41 Este, No. 40-59
Pte al Colegio Immeculada, Panama 1.Rep.de Panama, and a mailing address at c/o Suntrust Investment Co.S.A., ru deJargonnant 2, P.O. Box 76, 1211 Geneva 6, Switzerland

Defendant - Appellant

Carol A. Eblen, Esq.
Bruce Lamon, Esq.
TEL: 808-547-5600
FAX: 808-547-5880
GOODSILL ANDERSON QUINN
& STIFEL
Alii Plaza
1099 Alakea St., Suite 1800
Honolulu, HI 96813-2639

Kenneth S. Geller, Esq.
David M. Gossett, Esq.
Charles A. Rothfeld, Esq.
TEL: 202-263-3384
FAX: 202-263-5384
MAYER BROWN LLP
1909 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

Barry A. Smith, Esq.
Jay R. Ziegler, Esq.
TEL: 213/891-0700
FAX: 213/896-0400
BUCHALTER NEMER PLC
Ste. 1500
1000 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90017-2457

SUNTRUST INVESTMENT CO., S.A., a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Switzerland with an address at rue de Jargonnant 2, P.O. Box 76, 1211 Geneva 6, Switzerland

Defendant - -

ENC CORPORATION, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Maryland, with an address at 232 Paula Lynn Drive, Silver Spring, MD0904

Defendant - -

THE ESTATE OF ROGER ROXAS, receiver, Felix Dacanay Defendant - Appellee William C. McCorriston, Esq.
MCCORRISTON, MIHO, MILLER,
MUKAI
Suite 400
Five Waterfront Plaza
500 Ala Moana Blvd
Honolulu, HI 96813

Andrew V. Beaman, Esq.
TEL: 808/528-8200
CHUN, KERR, DODD, BEAMAN & WONG
900 Hawaii Tower
745 Fort Street
Honolulu, HI 96813

Daniel C. Cathcart, Esq.
Clay Robbins, III, Esq.
TEL: 310/553-6630
FAX: 310/407-2295
MAGANA CARTHCART & McCARTHY
Suite 810
1801 Avenue of the Stars
Los Angeles, CA 90067

MARIANO J. PIMENTAL, on behalf of himself and all other persons similarly situated Defendant - Appellee Robert Alan Swift, Esq. TEL: 215-238-1700 FAX: 215-238-1968 KOHN SWIFT & GRAF, P.C. 21st Floor 1 South Broad Street Philadelphia, PA 19107

Jon Van Dyke, Esq. TEL: 808-956-8509 2515 Dole St. Room 239 Honolulu, HI 96822

Sherry P. Broder, Esq. TEL: 808/531-1411
FAX: 808/531-8411
DAVIES PACIFIC CENTER
Ste. 800
841 Bishop Street
Honolulu, HI 96813

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT CIRCUIT MEDIATION OFFICE

James R. Browning United States Courthouse
P.O. BOX 193939
95 Seventh Street
San Francisco, California 94119-3939
(415) 355-7900
(415) 355-8566 (Fax)

PROCEDURES GOVERNING THE CIRCUIT MEDIATION PROGRAM

A.	Purpose of the Program To facilitate settlement of the appeal.
В.	The Circuit Court Mediators
•	The nine Circuit Mediators are full-time employees of the Ninth Circuit. vare experienced litigation attorneys who also have extensive training and rience in negotiation, mediation and settlement.
C.	Scope of the Program All counseled civil cases filed in this Court, with the exception of certain gories of cases set forth in Ninth Circuit Rules 3-4 and 15-2, are eligible to be
_	ided in the Program.
D.	Selection of Cases for Inclusion in the Program The Civil Appeal Docketing Statement. In almost all civil cases,

The Civil Appeal Docketing Statement. In almost all civil cases, counsel must complete and submit to the district court upon the filing of the Notice of Appeal, or to the Ninth Circuit upon the filing of a Petition for Review, a Civil Appeal Docketing Statement ("CADS"). Specific requirements for the CADS are set forth in Ninth Circuit Rules 3-4 and 15-2 and Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, Appendix Form 6. The Court looks to the CADS to help determine if a case is an appropriate candidate for inclusion in the Mediation Program and to facilitate case management.

Assessment Conference. Following review of the CADS, the Court will usually conduct a telephonic assessment conference before deciding whether the case should be included in the Program. Counsel are to discuss settlement with their principals before the assessment conference. The initial assessment conference typically lasts between 30 minutes and one

hour and includes a discussion of the case's litigation history and possible settlement processes and structures.

Selection of cases is based upon a number of factors, including the parties' interest in participating and the likelihood of settlement.

Approximately fifty percent of eligible cases are selected.

While participation in the Program typically occurs in the pre-briefing stage, counsel may ask to be included in the Program at any time. Requests should be in writing and addressed to the Chief Circuit Mediator. These communications will be kept confidential at counsel's request.

E. Scheduling Conferences

Prior to each conference, counsel will receive an order setting the date, time and place of the conference, identifying the participants and, if appropriate, instructing them how to prepare. The Court looks with disfavor on requests to reschedule the conference date, except where a date directly conflicts with a previously scheduled court appearance or vacation.

The court will initiate the telephone call and contact each participant at the telephone number listed on the "List of Conference Participants" attached to the order. Counsel should check the information for completeness and accuracy. Please advise the Mediation Office of questions about dates, of any changes or corrections in participants or telephone numbers or if the appeal settles before the conference date by fax (415) 355-8566.

Unless counsel receive an order scheduling an assessment conference or an order selecting the appeal for the Program, counsel should assume the appeal has <u>not</u> been selected for inclusion in the Program. In the absence of an order-resetting or vacating the briefing schedule, counsel must comply with the original briefing schedule set by the clerk.

F. Settlement Procedures

Each case presents unique circumstances and personalities that must be considered in determining the settlement procedure that provides the optimal chance for resolution. Thus, settlement procedures are determined on a case-to-case basis. The individualized procedure may include an initial conference by telephone or in-person, with attorneys and with or without parties themselves. Customarily, the initial conference provides counsel and the Mediator the opportunity to exchange information and determine a process that might provide the greatest opportunity for resolution. Thereafter, the Mediator may conduct follow-up conferences with parties or attorneys, either in separate or joint sessions,

in-person or on the telephone. In appropriate circumstances, the Mediator may issue procedural orders to accommodate private mediators or to coordinate the settlement process with related proceedings, or may refer a case to a judge, magistrate, arbitrator or other mediator.

G. Preparing for a Settlement Conference

Prior to the conference, counsel should discuss with their clients what the clients believe must be present to achieve a fair settlement, encouraging them to explore not only their legal positions but also the interests that underlie their positions. Counsel should also discuss the risks of litigation, litigation expenses, the advantages and disadvantages of likely litigated and mediated outcomes, and an assessment of the opposition's interests, needs and point of view.

In some cases, the Mediator will request mediation statements prior to conferences. The contents of the statements and whether they will be exchanged with the other parties will be determined at the time of the request.

H. Participants and Authority

Generally, parties appear at the initial conference through counsel. All counsel intending to file briefs in the case shall participate in the conference. If more than one attorney is representing a party, then the attorney with the most direct relationship with the client for the purpose of settlement discussions must participate. Co-counsel and other attorneys in principal counsel's firm may attend if their presence would be beneficial.

Subsequent conferences may also include participation of the parties and representatives of insurance carriers or other parties. If telephone conference participants do not possess the authority to make and respond to settlement proposals, someone with authority must be readily available. Parties in in-person mediations must be represented by an individual who is fully informed and vested with full, discretionary settlement authority.

I. Confidentiality of the Process

In order to encourage efficient and frank settlement discussions, the Court exercises great care to ensure strict confidentiality of the settlement process. Circuit Rule 33-1 provides that settlement-related information disclosed to a court mediator will be kept confidential and will not be disclosed to the judges deciding the appeal or to any other person outside the Circuit Mediation Program participants. Documents and correspondence related to settlement are maintained only in the Circuit Mediation Office and are never made part of the main Ninth Circuit case file. Should the mediator confer separately with the participants, those

discussions shall also be maintained in confidence from the other participants in the settlement discussions to the extent that the communicating parties request.

In addition, parties and their clients who participate in any aspect of the Circuit Mediation Program are expected to respect the confidentiality of the settlement processes and to adhere to the following:

- (1) Unless they indicate otherwise to the mediator at the initiation of any settlement discussions, all parties, attorneys and other participants in the settlement discussions are assumed to agree that any written or oral communication made by the mediator, or any party, attorney, or other participant in the settlement discussions:
- (a) may not be used for any purpose in any pending or future proceeding in this or any other court or administrative forum; and
- (b) may not be disclosed to anyone who is not a participant in the mediation or an authorized agent of a participant.
- (2) The nondisclosure provisions of paragraph (1) do not apply if such disclosure:
 - (a) is agreed upon by all participants in the mediation and the court; or
- (b) is made in the context of a subsequent confidential mediation or settlement conference with the agreement of all participants and the subsequent third-party neutral.

J. Authority of the Mediator

Once ordered by the Mediator, participation in telephone or in-person conferences is mandatory. The Mediator may order participation of counsel, the parties themselves, or both. Failure to participate may result in sanctions, including dismissal of the appeal.

In cases selected for inclusion in the Program, the Mediator is authorized to rule on certain non-dispositive procedural matters, including the briefing schedule, stays, consolidation and other case management issues. Before participants bring procedural motions, they should consult the Circuit Mediator. If the Mediator is unable to resolve a procedural issue with counsel by consensus, counsel will be directed to file a motion with the Clerk.

If settlement is not reached, the Circuit Mediator will address any jurisdictional issues and work with counsel to develop the most efficient and expeditious plan for disposition of the case. This may include limiting the issues,

limiting briefing and defining the record on appeal, or staying the appeal pending some contingency, such as disposition of a related case.

At the conclusion of the last conference, the Circuit Mediator will issue an order releasing the case from the Mediation Program. After that point, counsel shall direct all inquiries and filings to the Clerk's office.

K. Additional Information

Any questions concerning the Mediation Program should be raised by contacting the Mediation Office at P.O. Box 193939, 95 Seventh Street, San Francisco, CA 94119-3939, fax (415) 355-8566 or phone (415) 355-7900. For general questions concerning the rules and procedures of the Ninth Circuit, please contact the Clerk's office at P.O. Box 193939, 95 Seventh Street, San Francisco, CA 94119-3939, (415) 355-8000. All communications must include reference to the Ninth Circuit docket number.