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# A SKETCH GRAMMAR OF TINDAL DUSUN 

LAURA C. ROBINSON


#### Abstract

This article attempts to give a broad overview of the synchronic grammar of Tindal Dusun, a language spoken in Sabah, Borneo (Malaysia), with occasional reference to historical data where relevant. Phonologically, Tindal has a constraint on vowel combinations within a word, which has been discussed in other Dusunic languages as "vowel harmony" (Kroeger 1992, Hurlbut 1981, Harris and Chapple 1993, Boutin 1993), and this constraint is examined in some detail here. Moreover, the language is moving from a four-vowel system to a symmetrical five-vowel system, with the introduction of a mid-front vowel [ $\varepsilon:]$. The phonological and sociological conditions for this switch are addressed. Syntactically, Tindal has the remnants of a Philippine-type focus system, and this system will be explored with numerous examples. Finally, the language is quite complex morphologically. This paper gives a brief description of every affix known to the author, with a more extensive discussion of the most common affixes.


1. INTRODUCTION. Tindal is a Dusunic (Austronesian) language spoken in Sabah, in northern Borneo. Politically, Sabah, together with Sarawak, Borneo, constitutes the eastern (non-peninsular) part of Malaysia. The data for this grammatical sketch were collected at the University of Hawai‘i from a speaker of Tindal who had been living in the United States for over a year. The consultant, Wendell Gingging, was born in 1974 and raised in Kota Belud, Kelawat district, Minonun subdistrict. The data were elicited through the medium of English, which he speaks fluently. Speakers of Tindal are familiar with Malay because it is used in the schools. In addition to speaking Tindal, Malay, and English, he is familiar with Bajau, Mandarin, Hakka, Cantonese, and German.

Tindal is a coastal dialect of Central Dusunic, which is part of a group of interconnected languages and dialects spoken throughout central Sabah, all called "Dusun." Tindal means 'people who have come out from the earth', and speakers of this language would call themselves Dusun Tindal, with the more general term coming first.

In section two of this sketch, I discuss Tindal phonology, including the phonemes, their distributions, and a few interesting issues relating to vowels. In section three, I discuss Tindal morphosyntax, especially pronouns and word order. I go on discuss the complex verbal morphology of Tindal, giving a brief summary of each affix, stating its distribution and function, with special reference to focus and aspect, and how they interact with aspects of the morphosyntax of Tindal.

## 2. PHONOLOGY

2.1 SYLLABLE STRUCTURE. Tindal syllables maximally have one initial and one final consonant. They may have a long vowel or diphthong. Thus, the syllable structure of Tindal is (C)V(V)(C).

```
V:' a.ka.no 'eat!'
VC: on.som 'sour'
VV: a..gat 'heavy'
CV: no (a particle with the meanings 'never', 'already', and 'only')
CVC: to.tud 'knee'
CVV: yu: 'shark'
CVVC: ta:\eta `sky`
```

[^0]2.2 Consonants. Tindal has sixteen consonant phonemes.

TABLE 1. Tindal consonants.

|  | labial | alveolar | palatal | velar | glottal |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| stop | p b | t d |  | k g | P |
| nasal | m | n |  | J |  |
| tap |  | $\mathrm{r}[\mathrm{r}]$ |  |  |  |
| fricative |  | s |  |  | h |
| approximant | w |  | y |  |  |
| lateral |  | l |  |  |  |

All consonants except $/ 2 /$ may occur in initial, intervocalic, and final position. Consonant clusters are discussed below.
(2) /p/: piasaw 'coconut', nopo 'if, always', taykap 'sheath'
/b/: bulat 'appear', lobon 'grave', osuab 'early morning, early'
$/ \mathrm{t}$ /: tolu 'three', watu 'stone', alanut 'tough, chewy'
/d/: duláp' 'saliva', odop 'to sleep', gakod 'foot, leg'
/k/: kusay 'male, man', ta:k 'waist', sumandak 'female virgin'
$/ \mathrm{g} /$ : gobuk 'monkey', ororogo 'hyperactive', narág 'broken, ruined'
$/ \mathrm{m} /$ : mingu? 'week', ogumu 'many', kodom 'blink'
$\mathrm{ln} /$ : nipon 'tooth', onóm 'six', pu:n 'tree'
/ $\mathrm{y} /:$ : ŋaran 'name', toŋus 'wind', todúy 'nose'
/s/: sinit 'flea', a:si 'tasty', bakas 'wild pig'
/h/: hulu 'feathers', ihad 'to cry', ipih 'to dream'
$/ \mathrm{r} /$ : roso 'taste, feel', sorow 'think, remember', sigar 'headdress'
/1/: lane: 'earwax', palad 'palm of hand', akatol 'itchy'
/w/: wayay 'show', baway 'onion', ansaw 'wander, walk'
/y/: yu: ‘shark', gagaya 'mannerisms', kaday ‘store’
2.2.1 Allophony. /l/ may be more sonorant in initial position than in other positions. /h/ occurs in all positions, but in word-final position it is articulated with very little airflow and may be completely unpronounced unless it is being intentionally emphasized. In rapid speech, word-final $/ \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{can}$ disappear completely. In the following example, the final /h/ of kamah 'or else' is deleted, and the (now final) $/ \mathrm{a} /$ joins with a following vowel to form a single syllable.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { po-koroP-on } & \text { i } \text { kamai } \quad \text { waláy } & \text { nu }  \tag{3}\\
\text { CAUS } \\
\\
\text { 'Younll-PF } & \text { NM or.else }={ }^{4} \text { NMhouse } & \text { 2SG.GEN } \\
\text { 'You'd better make your house smaller.' }
\end{array}
$$

When a suffix is added to a root with a final $/ \mathrm{h} /$, the $/ \mathrm{h} /$ is always dropped.
(4) tutu-on no pare 'pound the rice' < tutuh 'pound' po-duo-o 'break something in two' $<$ duoh 'two'
2.2.2 DISTRIBUTIONS. / $/$ / only occurs morpheme-finally.
(5) h-ilo? 'over there' raha ${ }^{\text {-on }}$ 'bloody'
A glottal stop is not permitted after a word-final diphthong, but it may be inserted to break up a sequence of like vowels. It is unclear what the conditions are for this insertion.
(6) po-goyop-ón kamah i waláy nu CAUS-large-PF or.else NM house 2SG.GEN 'You'd better enlarge your house.'

[^1]The word gayo 'large' does not have a final glottal stop, but when the suffix -on is added, a glottal stop is inserted, keeping the vowels of the root and the suffix separate (and there is an additional vowel change in the root, addressed below).

Although /y/ occurs in syllable onsets as a consonant, in syllable codas, it is always part of a diphthong (see discussion below about diphthongs).

```
yu: 'shark'
pa.yaw 'mountain deer'
(8) diháy 'just now’
sakáy 'to ride'
```

A phonetic glide $/ \mathrm{y} /$ is inserted after $/ \mathrm{i} /$ in the sequences $/ \mathrm{ia} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{io} /$. This is a predictable process.
(9) po-dosi[y]on tulun 'feared by people' < po+dosi+on
orohi[y]an 'to like'
pi[y]asaw 'coconut'
Elsewhere in this paper, such predictable glides will not be written.
Much like $/ \mathrm{y} /$, /w/ occurs syllable-initially as a consonant (as in (10)) and word-finally as part of a diphthong (as in (11)).
(10) wa.guh 'new fresh'
wo.gok 'domesticated pig'
ka.wos 'to sulk'
la.wat 'to visit'

```
ho.ro.gow 'price'
ka.ra.baw 'water buffalo'
```

Again like $/ \mathrm{y} /$, /w/ may be inserted intervocalically as an automatic process between vowels in the sequences /ua/ and /uo/.
(12) osu[w]ab 'early morning, early' susu[w]ay 'other, different' tu[w]oy 'dark'
A word-final /w/ becomes /h/ when a vowel-initial suffix is added.
(13) ko-sikah-an tulun 'people are thankful' < sikow 'thank'
poin-dikah-on 'place to sit' < dikaw 'sit'
Nevertheless, $/ \mathrm{w} /$ and $/ \mathrm{h} /$ are separate phonemes, as the following near minimal pair demonstrates.
(14) hatus 'hundred'
watu 'stone'
2.2.3 FINAL SEGMENTS. All consonants, all diphthongs, and all simple vowels except /a/ may occur word-finally ${ }^{5}$ in Tindal. In cases where $/ \mathrm{a} /$ appeared to occur in final position, further questioning of the consultant showed that $/ \mathrm{a} /$ was actually always followed by $/ \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{or} / \mathrm{h} /$. Thus, in these data, there is no unambiguous case of final $/ \mathrm{a} /$.
(15) Final /i/: abarani? 'brave', ipih 'to dream', okuri 'small quantity'

Final /o/: roso? 'taste', buayoh 'crocodile', milo 'can'
Final /u/: kutu? 'lice', ruh 'spirit', nunu 'what'
Final /a/: kamah 'or else', ya? 'but'
Hearing the difference between final $/ 2 /$ and $/ \mathrm{h} /$ can often be quite difficult in Tindal, as has been reported elsewhere for Dusunic languages in general (e.g., Miller 1993:7). However, the data below demonstrate that a phonemic contrast exists.

[^2](16) Final glottal: toli? 'penis'

Final /h/: silih 'walls'
Final vowel: soli 'come out'
Final diphthong: waláy 'house'
Final consonant: tulid 'straight'
2.2.4 CONSONANT COMBINATIONS. Morpheme-internal consonant combinations may only consist of a stop or /s/ preceded by a homorganic nasal.
(17) mp: sampan 'boat', tompiós 'hairy caterpillar'
mb : tombolog 'bird', gombutan 'orangutan'
nt: intob 'count', bintorug 'eggplant'
nd: t-ondu 'woman, female', mundok 'uncooked taro'
ns: ponsu? 'to bathe', solunsúg 'bamboo pipe'
$\eta \mathrm{k}$ : buhaŋkut 'bullfrog', kaŋkab 'chest'
ŋg: mingu? 'week', rangow 'tall'
Combinations involving other consonants seem to occur only across morpheme boundaries.
(18) pen-wagu po i kurita?

CNT-new still NM car
'The car still looks new.'
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { pen-yon } & \text { oku } & \text { hilod } & \text { Makiki } \\ \text { CNT-reside } & \text { 1sG.NOM } & \text { in } & \text { Makiki }\end{array}$
'I live in Makiki.'
mi-min-lobon yolo? sada?
RPT-RPT-bury 3PL.NOM fish
'They buried all the fish.'

| in-rakit | oku | 1 | t-apuy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <um><in>pin-rakit ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |
| <AF><CMP>RPT-light.fire 'I started the fire.' | 1SG.NOM |  | ZR-fi |
| $\mathrm{m}<$ in>in-hondom | t-ana | 1 | t-ombol |
| <um><in>pin-hondom |  |  |  |
| <AF><CMP>RPT-think 'The child thought about | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZR-c } \\ & \text { rd. } \end{aligned}$ |  | NLZR-bi |

In some cases, a nasal may fail to assimilate across morpheme boundaries.
(23) Øs: min-surita? oku 'I tell stories'
ŋr: mi-min-raha? 'bleeding'
ŋl: in-limo 'five times'
In one instance, a sequence of $/ \mathrm{nr} /$ became $/ \mathrm{nd} /$.
(24) man-dasam 'rainy' < rasam 'rain'

Since this is an isolated instance, and $/ \mathrm{r} /$ may cluster with $/ \mathrm{n} /$ in other forms, I consider this change to be lexical, rather than phonological.

Tindal does not permit geminate consonants. There are no initial or final consonant clusters because Tindal does not allow more than one consonant in syllable onsets and codas. When consonant combinations occur medially, the first consonant forms the coda of the previous syllable, and the second consonant forms the onset of the latter.

Based on the syllable structure of the language, some surface glides can be attributed as coming from underlying vowels. As mentioned above, the Tindal syllable structure is (C)V(V)(C), but never (C)VCC or $\operatorname{CCV}(\mathrm{C})$. However, a glide may combine with any consonant as part of a syllable onset or coda. Since combinations of consonants within a syllable are prohibited in Tindal, these glides must

[^3]come from underlying vowels or the syllable structure must be changed to allow just these types of consonant clusters. For this reason, in syllables of the structures (C)VGC or $\operatorname{CGV}(\mathrm{C})$, the glide is treated as coming from an underlying vowel. Consequently, I will represent them here as nonsyllabic vowels, shown below.
(25) buayoh 'crocodile'
toun 'year'
louson 'hungry'
giuk 'worm'
barait 'basket'
While this creates an inconsistency whereby a single sound is represented in two ways (e.g., [w] as $<\mathrm{w}>$ and $<\mathrm{u}>$ ), the adoption of this convention is consistent not only with Tindal syllable structure, but often with the historical facts of the language. For example, a comparison with other Dusunic languages shows that 'crocodile' can be reconstructed for proto-Dusunic as *6uhayoh, with a medial $/ \mathrm{h} /$, and 'year' can be reconstructed as *to?un, with a medial glottal stop (Robinson 2005).
2.2.5 ASSIMILATION OF FINAL NASALS. A final nasal may optionally assimilate to the first obstruent in the next word. In (26), the clitic son 'one, lone' assimilates to the place of articulation of the following obstruent.
a:? i kabagal som_put $\quad$ t-akano=ti
NEG NM enough one=cup
'One cup of rice is not enough.'

But this process is not limited to clitics. In (27), the final nasal of toun 'year' assimilates to the initial obstruent of the following word, beno? 'current'.

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\text { m<in>on-ua? } & \text { i } \quad \text { durian toum } & \text { beno? }  \tag{27}\\
<\text { CPL }>\text { AF-fruit } & \text { NM durian year } & \text { current } \\
\text { 'The durian (tree) fruited this year.' } &
\end{array}
$$

Since most of the data for the present study were collected through slow, controlled elicitation, it is difficult to assess the true scope of rules such as this one, which may be much more common in rapid speech than in careful speech.
2.3 Vowels. Tindal has five simple vowels.

TABLE 2. Tindal vowels.

|  | front | central | back |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| high | i |  | u |
| mid | $(\varepsilon:)$ |  | o |
| low |  | a |  |

/i/ /u/ and /o/ may occur in all positions.
(28) /i/: intoy 'to look', rikot 'to look', torigi 'house post'
$/ \mathrm{u} /$ : ulun 'to take everything for oneself', kasut 'shoes' walu 'eight'
/o/: odop 'to sleep', turos 'face' kuo 'whats-his-name', whatchamacallit'
As mentioned above, /a/ may not occur finally.
(29) /a/: aragan 'red', sukay 'wild durian'

Tindal /i/ is tense, but not as high and front as the vowel in English heed, moving towards [ I ]. / $\mathrm{u} /$ is also slightly lower and less back than English /u/ as in food. /a/ is a low central vowel. /o/ranges from [ o ] to [ 0 ], without apparent conditioning.
2.3.1 Mid FRONT VOWEL. [ $\varepsilon:$ ] may be said to be an allophone of the diphthongs [ay] and [oy. ${ }^{7}$ For this reason, its distribution is similar to that of diphthongs. I have included it as a phoneme because its distribution is sociolinguistically, rather than phonologically, conditioned. It seems to be an in-

[^4]group marker. Nevertheless, Tindal speakers still retain [ay] and [oy]. My placement of [ $\varepsilon$ :] with the monophthongs is on purely phonetic grounds. It has the quality of a single vowel. It will be discussed further in the section below on diphthongs.
2.3.2 Vowel Length. Vowel length is phonemic in Tindal. /a/ /i/ /o/ /u/ may be phonemically long.
(30) ba:y 'river' vs. t-abay 'older brother'
o-si:n 'salty' vs. t-usin 'money'
ko:n sikow 'thank you' vs. kontiho? 'sneeze'
hu:n (standard measure) vs. tuhun 'go down'
$/ \mathrm{u} /$ may become $/ \mathrm{o} /$ in fast speech, as in (31) below, but the two are clearly contrasted in careful speech, as we can see from the near minimal pair in (32). This lowering of $/ \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{only}$ happens in unstressed syllables.
(31) /oku/ $\rightarrow$ [oko] '1SG.NOM'
$/ \mathrm{m}<$ in>on-umot/ $\rightarrow$ [minojomot] 'harvesting'
(32) sikow 'thank' vs. siku 'elbow'

When a prefix with a final $/ \mathrm{o} /$ is added to a root that begins with $/ \mathrm{u} /$, the result is a single vowel $/ \mathrm{o} /$.
(33) po + uli $\rightarrow$ p-oli-o 'to send home'
po + umbal $\rightarrow$
pombal-o? oku kasut nu
CAUS.try-IMP 1SG.NOM shoes 2SG.GEN
'Let me try on your shoes.'
(35)
no + uhot $\rightarrow$
o-gumu nohot i t-ulun
SV-many CMP.ask NM NLZR-people
'The people asked a lot.'
Interestingly, when $/ \mathrm{u} /$ is lowered to $/ \mathrm{o} /$, it never surfaces as [ 0 ], but only as [ o ]. Likewise, in the $/ \mathrm{u} /+$ $/ \mathrm{o} /$ combinations, the resulting vowel never surfaces as [ D ].
2.3.3 DIPHTHONGS. Tindal has both rising and falling diphthongs. The use of the term "diphthong" is not meant to be indicative of these sounds' status as unit phonemes, but rather of their phonetic quality. The question of whether or not they are unit phonemes remains to be resolved, although the majority of the evidence points to them as sequences of two vowels, one of which happens to be nonsyllabic. There are six falling diphthongs, where the sonority decreases throughout the duration of the segment: [aw] [ay] [ow] [oy] [uy] and [aó]. [aw] and [uy] have only been found to occur wordfinally.
(36) [aw]: dikaw 'to sit'
[uy]: tapuy 'fire'
[ow] and [ao] may occur both word-medially and word-finally:
(37) [ow]: toun 'year' bobow 'mute'
[ao]: na:ôk ${ }^{8}$ 'drunk' sao 'spouse'
[ay] (also symbolized as <ai> for reasons mentioned above) can occur in all positions, as well as across morpheme boundaries, as in (38) below and (3) above.
(38) aiso? 'NEG existence', waig 'water', waláy 'house'
[oy] occurs medially and finally. In the present data, there are no examples of morpheme-initial [oy], but I expect to find such an example with more extensive data.

[^5]Like [ay], [oy] may appear across morpheme boundaries. Sequences of o $+i$ are usually reduced to [oy].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{o}+\mathrm{ipos} \rightarrow \text { oipos 'wet' } \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both [ay] and [oy] may optionally be reduced to [ $\varepsilon$ :] in most forms, even across morpheme boundaries.
(41) no + ihad 'cry' + an $\rightarrow$ ne:hadan
[ $\varepsilon$ :] seems to be a long vowel by default because it is replacing two segments (a diphthong). [ $\varepsilon$ :] may be shortened in rapid speech, but length does not appear to be contrastive for this vowel.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { waláy ~ walé: 'house' }  \tag{42}\\
& \text { oŋóy ~ oné: 'to go' } \\
& \text { waíg ~ wég 'water' }
\end{align*}
$$

The change of [ay] and [oy] to [ $\varepsilon$ :] seems to be diffusing slowly across the language, as not all forms with [ay] or [oy] may be monophthongized.
(43) alaid 'a long time' *ale:d

On the other hand, certain forms with [e:] were never found to occur with a diphthong (e.g., be:no? 'today', and pare' 'rice'), and in fact, the underlying synchronic form of these words may simply contain [ $\varepsilon$ :], although historically they contained diphthongs. There is one example of [ia] reducing to [ $\mathrm{\varepsilon}:$ ].
(44) miagal ~me:gal 'the same'

Vowels appear to be able to cluster in almost any sequence in Tindal, suggesting that at least some of these clusters do not form unit phonemes.

The rising diphthongs [iu] [io] and [uad were also observed, where the sonority increases throughout the diphthong.
(45) [iu]: giuk 'worm'
[io]: lios 'lice egg'
[uã]: buana.yoh 'crocodile'
2.3.4 Vowel sequences. Because Tindal does not require syllable onsets, it is also possible to have sequences of two vowels that form separate syllables.

> [ua]: bo.ru.aり 'bear', o.ku.at 'strong', tu.a? 'fruit'
> [uo]: du.oh 'two', o.ru.ol 'painful'
> [oi]: o.po.it 'bitter'
2.3.5 Vowel lowering. Tindal exhibits a phenomenon that has been called vowel harmony by various authors working on Sabahan languages (Kroeger 1992, Hurlbut 1981, Harris and Chapple 1993, Boutin 1993). However, this phenomenon is different than what has typically been called vowel harmony in the linguistics literature, where an underspecified vowel becomes fully specified by harmonizing with other vowels in the phonological word. Tindal 'vowel harmony', on the other hand, entails a change of $/ \mathrm{o} /$ to $/ \mathrm{a} /$, where both vowels are fully specified at the outset. In Tindal, the vowel /a/ spreads from right to left changing /o/ to $/ \mathrm{a} /$. The spreading of $/ \mathrm{a} /$ is blocked by the high vowels $/ \mathrm{i} /$ and $/ \mathrm{u} /$ (i.e., any vowel but /o/), but intervening consonants do not affect the rule. This can be formalized as a rule whereby $/ \mathrm{o} /$ changes to $/ \mathrm{a} /$ if the following syllable contains $/ \mathrm{a} /$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{o} \rightarrow \mathrm{a} / \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\qquad$ (C) a

This rule must be allowed to apply iteratively. I will call this phenomenon "vowel lowering" here, while recognizing that there are various ways to frame this issue.

As one might expect, there do not appear to be any roots that have $/ \mathrm{a} /$ in a syllable after $/ \mathrm{o} /$ (which would violate (47)). The issue of vowel lowering comes into play when affixes are added to the root. Below are some examples of the change

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { togod 'angry' } \rightarrow \text { tagad-ay } \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

oruol 'painful' $\rightarrow$ orual-an
In (48) above, we see that when the suffix -ay is added to the root togod 'angry', all occurrences of /o/ in the root become $/ \mathrm{a} /$. In (49), /a/ spreads leftward until it is blocked by the high vowel $/ \mathrm{u} /$. This process also applies to prefixes, where applicable. In the examples below, the prefix momo- has varying surface representations because of the effects of vowel lowering.
(50) momo-gidu 'to shoo away'
mama-gayo 'to enlarge'
With the root gayo 'large', momo- becomes mama-because the root contains /a/ in the first syllable. Vowel lowering also spreads to clitics, such as /son/ 'one' (where $\eta \rightarrow \mathrm{n}$ in the following example). In this case, "clitic" refers to a semantically independent form that attaches phonologically to another word.
(51) somok no $\boldsymbol{s a n}=\mathrm{jam}^{9}$ yolo? $\mathrm{m}<$ in $>0$-monow
near already one=hour 3PL, <CPL>AF-walk
'They walked for almost an hour.'
Interestingly, this process still applies when [ay] is realized as [ $\varepsilon$ :]. This is one reason for my claim that [ $\varepsilon$ :] does not (yet) deserve full phonemic status in Tindal, as it is diffusing slowly across the language. In (52) below, the root boros 'speak' combines with the imperative suffix -ay, which subsequently monophthongizes.
(52) baras-e: ka:gu da:? speak-IMP again wish 'Please say (that) again.'
Moreover, in Tindal, the use of vowel lowering seems to be optional or idiolectal. Although the consultant for this study generally employed this vowel lowering rule, he occasionally gave nonharmonious forms, as in (53) below.
(53) noko-sabak 'got angry'

The consultant claimed that one of his parents had this vowel lowering rule, while the other did not, despite the fact that the two parents grew up in the same village (although on opposite sides).

A few verbs consistently cause problems for this vowel lowering rule, and /a/ roots may alternate with $/ \mathrm{o} /$ roots in these cases.
(54) n -ansak 'undercooked rice; over ripened' $10<$ onsok 'ripe' n-onsok 'ripened' < onsok 'ripe'
mo-monow < panaw 'leave, walk’ (also exhibiting nasal substitution)
ma-manaw < panaw 'leave, walk' (also exhibiting nasal substitution)
2.3.6 Prepenultimate neutralization. In Tindal, /a/ becomes /o/ in pre-penultimate syllables. It is likely that the conditioning factor is stress, as stress is nearly always penultimate (see section 2.3.7 below for further discussion of stress). Therefore, we can say that /a/ becomes /o/ in the syllable before the stressed syllable. This can be called neutralization, because / $\mathrm{o} /$ seems to be the most neutral vowel in Tindal. ${ }^{11}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { tanud 'follow' } \rightarrow \text { tonud-on }  \tag{55}\\
& \text { gayo 'big' } \rightarrow \text { goyo-on } \\
& \text { waguh 'new' } \rightarrow \text { po-wogu-an }
\end{align*}
$$

Vowel lowering seems to take precedence over neutralization. In (56), we see that neutralization does not seem to affect $/ \mathrm{o} /$ if the penultimate syllable is /a/. This can be explained by saying that the neutralization takes place, but the vowel-lowering rule changes the $/ \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{back}$ to $/ \mathrm{a} /$, so the effects of neutralization are erased.
${ }^{9}<j>$ is a loan phoneme [d3]. See section 2.4 below.
${ }^{10}$ These glosses for this form were provided on different days.
${ }^{11}$ Historically, it derives from Proto-Austronesian [a] in non-final position (Robert Blust, personal communication).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { babak 'break' } \rightarrow \text { babak-on } \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { akan 'eat' } \rightarrow \text { akan-o? }
$$

The same logic can be applied to forms such as (57) below. The neutralization may take place, but vowel lowering erases its effects.
(57) no + katol 'itchy' + -an $\rightarrow$ na-katal-an

In (58) below, kandadu 'lock' becomes kondodu-on. The second $/ \mathrm{a} /$ of the root is underlyingly $/ \mathrm{a} /$, but when that vowel changes by prepenultimate neutralization, the preceding /a/ is changed as well. Apparently, then, the neutralization rule changes all instances of /a/ preceding the penultimate vowel.

| kondodu-on | no | kamah |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lock-PF | already | or.else |
| 'Make sure you padlock it!' |  |  |

Unfortunately, because Tindal only has a few roots of the form CaCaC (not a), this is the only example of this phenomenon in my data.

The neutralization rule is not without exception, however. The following forms did not change as expected.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { talib 'to pass' } \rightarrow \text { talib-on 'something you pass' }  \tag{59}\\
& \text { malu? 'shy' } \rightarrow \text { amalu-on isio 'she tends to be shy' }
\end{align*}
$$

In two instances, there were alternations between $/ \mathrm{o} /$ and $/ \mathrm{a} /$ not covered above, which seem to be associated with a change of $/ \mathrm{w} /$ to $/ \mathrm{h} / . \operatorname{In}(60) / \mathrm{o} /$ has changed to $/ \mathrm{a} /$, whereas in (61), $/ \mathrm{a} /$ has become /o/.
(60) kilah-on i takanon nu 'your food has ants' < kilów 'ant'
(61) takoh-on 'something that is habitually stolen' $<$ takaw

Without further examples of such shifts, I cannot speculate as to its conditioning.
2.3.7 Stress. Tindal stress is almost always penultimate, but occurs word-finally in some words with final heavy syllables. Emphasis seems to cause stress to become word-final. This is problematic for my data, because many of the forms have been elicited in isolation, and words in isolation are often emphasized. Word-final stress appears to be permitted only on heavy syllables, but not all such syllables take final stress. Example (62) illustrates instances of penultimate stress, whereas (63) shows final stress.
(62) hinómbo 'where, which one'
morobúat 'to work'
tánay 'termite'
tanayón 'termite infested'
tompiós 'hairy caterpillar'
gipán 'centipede, scorpion'
waláy 'house'
duláp 'saliva'
lapáp 'sole of foot'
2.4 LOAN PHONOLOGY. Tindal contains a number of loanwords, some of which include phonemes not present in original Tindal words and not listed above. Tindal has borrowed extensively from Malay, which has the affricates $/ / \bar{d} /$ and $/ / \overline{\mathrm{t}}$, symbolized here as $j$ and $\check{c}$, respectively.
(64) jam 'watch' mija? 'table'
kačay 'peanut'
The realization of phonemes may also be different in loanwords. For example, in the Malay loans ruh 'spirit, ghost ${ }^{12}$ and sumbuh 'light', ${ }^{13}$ the final $/ \mathrm{h} /$ is much more audibly pronounced than in original

[^6]Tindal words. In addition, loanwords demonstrate the above posited phonotactic constraints. The English word 'glass', for instance, becomes galás. Since Tindal does not allow initial consonant clusters or [gl] clusters in any position, a vowel is inserted between [g] and [1]. The English word 'cement' becomes simin. [nt] is reduced to [ n ] because Tindal does not allow final consonant clusters. Moreover, the English mid vowel $[\varepsilon]$ was borrowed here as [i]. This indicates that the word was probably borrowed before the innovation of $/ \varepsilon /$ in Tindal, thus substantiating the hypothesis that [ay] to [ $\varepsilon_{t}$ ] is a recent development in the history of Tindal.

## 3. MORPHOSYNTAX

3.1 Pronouns. Tindal has a Philippine-type focus system of syntax that makes one particular noun phrase in a sentence the most prominent. This prominent, focused noun phrase need not be the subject or the agent of the clause. In clauses with pronouns, the verbal morphology and the pronoun both indicate focus. If the verb carries actor focus morphology, the actor of the clause will therefore be a nominative pronoun (or, rarely, an emphatic pronoun). Any other noun phrase in the clause will necessarily take pronouns from a different set, as only one noun phrase can be in focus in any given clause. Below is a chart of the Tindal pronouns.

TABLE 3. Tindal pronouns.

|  | NOMINATIVE | GENITIVE | OBLIQUE | EMPHATIC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG | oku | ku | doho | yoho |
| 2SG | ko | nu | dia? | ya? |
| 3SG | isio | disio~dow | isio | isio |
| 1PL INCL | toko | toko | toko | yati? |
| 1PL EXCL | yahay~yah६: | dah६: | dah६: | yah\&: |
| 2PL | yokow | dokow | yokow | yokow |
| 3PL | yolo? | diolo? | yolo? | yolo? |

The most common forms of the pronouns are those listed in the first column as nominative. These nominative pronouns are the focused elements of the sentence, as indicated by verbal morphology. In the second column, the genitive pronouns are listed. They are used both as possessives and as the actors of sentences in which the patient is in focus. The third column lists the oblique pronouns. These occur most often as the object of a preposition. In the last column are pronouns I call emphatics. They are very rare, but they occur in sentences such as,
(65)


These pronouns always seem to be the first element in the sentence, as compared with the typical verb-initial word order. They are probably also the focused element, as they do not co-occur with nominatives, but because they are so rare, it is difficult to speculate on their behavior. These pronouns will not appear elsewhere in this paper.
3.2 ARgUMENT ORDER. The general word order in Tindal is predicate, focused argument (nominative), non-focused argument (genitive or oblique). Regardless of whether the logical subject or the logical object is the nominative, the focused element (nominative) precedes the non-focused
one. In (67), the verbal morphology is actor focus, so the actor of the sentence is in focus, which is indicated by use of the nominative form. In (68), in contrast, the causative verbal morphology reverses the focus, so the patient is in focus (nominative). In both cases, the focused argument comes first, even though one is the actor and the other is the patient.
(67) m<in>o-monsu? oku t-anak ku
in>mon-ponsu?
CPL-AF-bathe 1SG.NOM NLZR-child 1SG.GEN
'I bathed my child.'
p<in>o-ponsup oku i t-ina? ku
<CPL>CAUS- bathe 1SG.NOM NM NLZR-mother 1SG.GEN
'My mother bathed me.'
In many transitive sentences, the only way to determine the focus is through the order of the arguments.

| $\mathrm{m}<\mathrm{in}>$ in-hondom | t-ina? | i | t-anak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <um><in>pin-hondom |  |  |  |
| <AF><CPL>RPT-think <br> 'The mother thought ab | NM NLZR-mother out the child.' | NM | NLZR-child |
| $\mathrm{m}<\mathrm{in}>\mathrm{i}$-hondom <br> <um><in>pin-hondom | i t-anak | i | t-ina? |
| <AF><CPL>RPT-think | NM NLZR-child | NM | NLZR-mother |

'The child thought about the mother.'
This fixed word order is a result of having only one noun marker in Tindal (the noun marker $i$, discussed below, marks all nouns, common or proper, regardless of whether they are nominative, genitive or oblique). In languages with separate noun markers for each, word order can be relatively free.

Changed word order usually results in ungrammaticality in Tindal, even when the pronouns would make the meaning clear.

| $\mathrm{m}<\mathrm{in}>\mathrm{i}$-nanday | oku | dia? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <um><in>pin-tandan |  |  |
| <AF><CPL>RPT-kick | 1SG.NOM | 2SG.OBL |
| 'I kicked you.' |  |  |
| *m<in>i-nandan | dia? | oku |
| <AF><CPL>RPT-kick | 2SG.OBL | 1SG.NOM |
| 'I kicked you.' |  |  |
| *oku m<in>i-nandan |  |  |
| 1SG.NOM <AF><CPL>RPT | -kick 2 | .OBL |
| 'I kicked you.' |  |  |

Example (71) above is grammatical because it occurs in the order verb, focus, non-focus. Examples (72) and (73), in contrast, are ungrammatical because they do not have the correct order, despite the fact that the distinct pronouns distinguish the roles of the arguments in the sentence.

Sentences with pronominal arguments can violate this "fixed" word order because a pronoun will occur in the second position of the sentence, even where this would not otherwise be expected.

| boli-on ku | lo? | kuritaP | t-a-ragan | suab |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| buy-OF 1SG.GEN | that | car | NLZR-SV-red | tomorrow |

In (74) above, the pronoun $k u$ immediately follows the verb even though this is a patient focus sentence and thus the noun phrase lo? kurita? taragay 'the red car' is the focus of the sentence. The Tindal pronouns, then, appear to be clitics because clitics have been argued to occur in the second
position of a sentence. ${ }^{14}$ Pronouns that are not the head of their noun phrases, such as possessive pronouns (genitive pronouns modifying a head noun), however, do not occupy this position, and other factors seem to play a role, such as the focus of the sentence, and whether or not it contains other pronouns. More work remains to be done on word order and pronouns, and, for the time being, the Tindal pronouns have been represented orthographically as separate words.

Tindal also has an equational construction, in which there is no verb and two noun phrases are juxtaposed.
(75) i Longkow i tataya? dahay

NM Longkow NM middle 3PL.GEN
'Longkow is between us.' (Literally, 'Longkow is our middle.')
3.3 Noun Phrases. The noun marker $i$ is used for definite proper or common nouns, regardless of focus.
(76) mim-boros i Maria kumay i Wendell
<um>pin-boros
<AF>RPT-talk NM Maria to NM Wendell
'Maria is talking to Wendell.'
The noun marker $i$ may be omitted in colloquial or fast speech. As seen in the examples below, this omission can take place regardless of whether the affected noun phrase is nominative.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { na-anu Laura i punti mantad } & \text { doho } \\
\text { CPL-get Laura NM banana from } & \text { 1sG.OBL } \\
\text { 'Laura got the banana from me.' } & \tag{78}
\end{array}
$$

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { a:? } & \text { oku } & m<\text { in>an-kan } & \text { piasaw } \\ \text { NEG } & \text { 1SG.NOM } & \text { <CPL>AF-eat } & \text { coconut }\end{array}$
'I didn't eat the coconut'
In (77) above, the proper noun Laura is not marked with $i$, while the definite noun punti 'banana' is. In (78) above, piasaw 'coconut' is lacking the noun marker. When a noun phrase is indefinite, however, the noun marker $i$ may not be used.

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\mathrm{p}<\text { in }>\text { o-tubow } & \text { i } & \text { Bernard } & \text { bonon } & \text { hiri } & \text { dohuri } & \text { i pikap }  \tag{79}\\
<\text { CPL }>\text { CAUS-throw } & \text { NM Bernard } & \text { frog } & \text { here } & \text { back } & \text { NM pickup }
\end{array}
$$

'Bernard threw a frog into the back of the pickup.'

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { *orohian oku ma-nahak i } & \text { t-an-anak } & \text { t-usin }  \tag{80}\\
\text { like } & \text { 1.SG.NOM AF-give NM } & \text { NLZR-PL-child } & \text { NLZR-money } \\
\text { *'I like to give money to children.' } & &
\end{array}
$$

In (79), bonon 'frog' is indefinite, so it does not take the noun marker $i$. In (80), taŋanak 'children' cannot take the noun marker $i$ because it is indefinite, and thus the sentence is ungrammatical.

The prefix $t$ - is obligatorily added to vowel-initial nouns. When a consonant-final prefix is added, the $t$ - is dropped. This does not change the meaning of the word, but fulfills a morphophonological constraint of the language which requires nouns to be consonant-initial.

| (81) | t-odow | vs. | ko-t-odow | vs. | mog-odow <br> AF-day <br> 'sunny' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{\text {' }}$ NLZR-day, |  | ABY-NLZR-day |  |  |
|  | 'day, sun' |  | 'daytime' |  |  |
| (82) | t-odu | vs. | man-ay-odu | oku | isio |
|  | nlzr-grandmother |  | AF-? ${ }^{15}$-grandmother | 1SG.NOM | 3SG.OBL |

[^7]This prefix may derive from a proto-Dusunic definite article *ti (Kroeger 1990), but it no longer serves such a function in the synchronic grammar.

Nouns may appear without the $t$ - prefix, however, if they are kinship terms used in direct address. Thus, $t$-ina 'mother' is used for reference, whereas ina is used to address one's mother. This pattern applies to all the basic kinship terms in Tindal. Surprisingly, this dichotomy is not restricted to Dusunic languages with the $t$ - prefix. It is widespread in Austronesian languages as a means of distinguishing address versus reference, even though most of these languages do not have the $t$ - prefix for other vowel-initial nouns (Blust 1979). The use of $t$ - to distinguish reference and address in kinship terminology can be reconstructed for Proto-Austronesian (Blust 1979), and thus has a separate origin from the Dusunic $t$ - prefix which is added to all other vowel-initial nouns in Tindal, despite the synchronic similarities.

The $t$ - prefix may also be added to a stative verb to make it nominal, as with aragay 'red' below.

```
okon i t-a-ragay=ti
NEG NM NLZR-SV-red=this
```

'This is not the red one.'
When a stative verb follows a noun, the stative verb must be nominalized, so it usually has the $t$ prefix.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { m<in>og-ihum } & \text { i } & \text { Gonsudik } & \text { bansu? om } & \text { soluar }  \tag{84}\\
\text { <CPL>AF-look.lumis } \\
\text { 'Gonsudik looked for his good shirt and pants.' } & & & \\
\text { pants } & \text { NLZR-SV-pretty }
\end{array}
$$

In such cases, the nominalized stative verb may act as the head noun in the noun phrase. When a stative verb precedes a noun, it will not carry the $t$ - prefix.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { o-lumis } & \text { t-an-anak } & \text { dow }  \tag{85}\\
\text { SV-pretty } & \text { NLZR-PL-child } \\
\text { 'His children are beautiful.' }
\end{array}
$$

In such cases, the stative verb is the predicate of the sentence and therefore cannot be a noun.
In some instances, the stative verb following the noun does not take the prefix $t$ - and loses the stative verb marker (the vowel $o$-). This is an alternative strategy for creating a noun from a stative verb, and both strategies fulfill the structural requirement that the post-nominal modifier be another noun.

| miagal | po | t-asu | louson i | Bernard |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| same | still | NLZR-dog | hungry NM Bernard |  |  |  |
| om | i | Edward | $\mathrm{m}<$ in $>$ oń | pog-ihum | i | tamban |
| and | NM | Edward | AF $<$ CPL $>$ go INF-look.for | NM deer |  |  |

'Like hungry dogs, Bernard and Edward went to look for the deer.'
To make an eventive (not stative) verb into a noun, in contrast, the suffix -on is added (this is homophonous with the patient focus suffix). The prefix $t$ - is added if the root is vowel-initial.
sakay-on 'something to ride' < sakáy 'ride'
pakay-on 'clothes' < pakay 'to wear'
t-ion-on 'residence' $<$ ion 'to reside'
t-akan-on 'food' < akan 'eat'
The suffix -on may also be used to create a stative verb with the meaning 'full of'.

```
rahaP-on 'bloody' < raha? 'blood'
papakon isio 'He is full of tattoos.' < papak 'tattoo'
gomut-on 'rooty, dirty' < gamut 'root'
tanay-ón 'termite-infested' < tanay 'termite'
```

Interestingly, this affix primarily creates a negative meaning.
3.4 Reduplication. Example (85) above exhibits the only case in our data of the plural infix <on>, which is also reported to occur very rarely in Kadazan, another Dusunic language in Sabah (Hurlbut 1988:71). I posit the infix as -oy- rather than -ay-because that is the form it takes in Kadazan.

Moreover, [ay] from /on/ would be predictable in Tindal, based on the rule of vowel lowering. A more common way to form plurals is through reduplication.

| po-rotu-o? | i | t-uaP-ua? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CAUS-fall-IMP | NM | NLZR-fruit-fruit |
| 'Drop the fruits!' |  |  |

Notice that just the root is reduplicated, and the affixes (in this case the semantically empty nominalizer $t$-) are not reduplicated. This root reduplication is not only used for plurals, however. It can give a durative meaning as well.

(90) \begin{tabular}{l}

| mim-posik-posik |
| :--- |
| <um>piy-posik-posik |
| <AF>RPT-wake-wake |
| 'The child kept waking up all night.' |$\quad$ i t-anak

\end{tabular}

In other cases, root reduplication yields a meaning of inexactness.
(91) o-silow-silow 'yellowish' (with the stative verb prefix) < silow 'yellow'

Finally, in some cases, root reduplication yields unpredictable results, and these may be lexically determined.
(92) gisom-gisom no i a:? hino t-ina?
until-until already NM NEG there NLZR-mother
dow a:? no sio $^{16}$ ka-akan
3SG.GEN NEG already 3SG.NOM ABL-eat
'Since his mother died, he's not able to eat.'
As we can see in (92) above, when gisom 'until' is reduplicated, the reduplicated form has the meaning 'since' (in combination with no 'already'). The relationship between the root and the reduplicated form is unpredictable in this case.

A similar process involves reduplicating only the first consonant and vowel of the root. This CV reduplication yields only the meaning of inexactness. It overlaps in distribution with root reduplication.
(93) o-si-silow 'yellowish' (with the stative verb prefix) < silow 'yellow'

| min-kaw $\quad$ oku | vs. | min-ka-kaw $\quad$ oku |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RPT.AF-swim 1SG.NOM |  | RPT.AF-DUP-swim 1SG.NOM |
| 'I'm swimming' |  | 'I'm (play) swimming' |

Although both (93) and (91) above have the same gloss and involve the same root silow 'yellow', (91) demonstrates a reduplication process that entered the language more recently due to the influence of Malay. No instances of unique lexical meaning involving CV reduplication were found in the data.
3.5 Stative Verbs. Stative verbs have adjectival meanings but behave like verbs. In Tindal, there is no true distinction between adjectives and verbs, but when a verb is acting as a modifier, it is marked with the prefix $o$-. We can see in (95) below that the word sogit 'cold' can take verbal morphology. In this case, it has the patient focus suffix. In (96), we see the verb sogit acting as a modifier and carrying the stative verb prefix.
sogit-on oku
cold-PF 1SG.NOM
'I feel cold.'

| o-sogit i $\quad$ t-o-tuon=ti |
| :--- |
| SV-cold $\quad$ NM $\quad$ NLZR-SV-dark=this |
| 'This night was cold.' | l

[^8]Interestingly, (96) above also has the stative verb tuon 'dark', with the stative verb marker $o$ - and the nominalizing $t$-. This could be literally translated into English as 'the darkness', and it means 'night' in Tindal.
3.6 Prepositions. Tindal has several words which function much as prepositions in English. The objects of prepositions are primarily obliques, although there is some variability. The objects of kumay 'to' and mantad 'from' are in the oblique.


The object of sumorili? 'around' is also usually in the oblique, although one genitive form was given as a possibility.
(99) min-taykus i t-ay-anak sumorili? doho/dia?/nu
<um>pin-taykus
<AF>RPT-run NM NLZR-PL-child around 1SG.OBL/2SG.OBL/2SG.GEN
'The children are running in circles around me/you/you.'
The prepositions hilod 'in, at (far), to' and hitid 'in, at (near)' probably take this oblique pronoun set as well. The word hilod can be analyzed as an initial prefix $h$ - marking place, a root ilo? meaning 'that', and a suffix $-d$. Hitid is probably a combination of locative $h$-, iti 'this', and - $d$. Unfortunately, I do not have any examples of sentences with a pronoun as the objects of hilod or hitid. This may not be an accidental gap in my data, but may be due to the lexical properties of these words.

In contrast to the other prepositions, ${ }^{17}$ siriba? 'under' occurs with the genitive set of pronouns.

| (100) | $\mathrm{m}<$ in>o-waya? | i | kilow | siriba? | ku/nu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <CPL>AF-crawl | NM | ant | under | 1SG.GEN/2SG.GEN |
|  | 'The ant crawled under me/you.' |  |  |  |  |

Since siriba? does not pattern with the other prepositions in taking an oblique object, siriba? may not be a preposition at all. It probably means 'underside', which would explain why it takes the genitive pronoun set. In (101) below, it occurs with the existential $k i$, which is a position occupied by a noun. In (102) below, it occurs with the preposition hilod 'in, at, to', suggesting that siriba? itself is not a preposition.
(101) ki=siriba? i paraw nu

EXT=under NM boat 2SG.GEN
Does your boat have a bottom?'
(102) po-siriba?-o i lanjam hilod siriba? sagay

CAUS-under-IMP NM pot in under cooking.area
Put the pot down below the cooking area!
3.7 Genitive $d$. Although all definite noun phrases, including genitives, may be marked with the noun marker $i$, genitive noun phrases are occasionally marked with $d i$ instead, with no change of interpretation, as can be seen in the contrast between (103) and (104) below.
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { (103) } & \begin{array}{ll}\text { agayo } & \text { i } \\ \text { big } & \text { NM }\end{array} & \text { walé: } & \text { i Trixie } \\ & \text { house } & \text { NM Trixie }\end{array}$
'Trixie's house is big.'

[^9](104) agayo i nogi $\quad$ i walé: ku mantad i walé: di Jason big NM COMPARATIVE NM house 1SG.GEN from NM house GEN.NMJason 'My house is bigger than Jason's.'
Although this marking seems to be optional in most cases, it is mandatory with the clitic pseudo-verb $k a$ 'to say'. $k a$ does not take verbal inflection, but the agent of the saying must occur either with di or be a genitive pronoun. $k a$ is considered a clitic here because phonologically, it is obligatorily attached to these following elements.

| (105) | k-oŋé: oku | inton | i boli-on | ku | ka=di | Gonsudik |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ABY-go 1SG.NOM look | NM buy-NLZR | 1SG.GEN | say=GEN.NM | Gonsudik |  |

Literally, this could be translated into English as 'statement, saying', and (106) above could be 'Their statement is that he's sick.' Many forms with a genitive meaning in Tindal seem to have a $d$ - prefix. They may all derive from a single historical source, related to the noun marker $d i$. This $d$ occurs as a prefix on isay 'who'.

```
(107) d-isay manok=lo?
    GEN-who chicken=that
    'Whose chicken is that?'(Literally, 'That chicken is whose?')
```

Interestingly, a number of the genitive pronouns also begin with $d$ (see Table 3 above). In a few instances (always within a story), di occurred elsewhere, in some environment I have yet to determine. In (108) below, di occurs before the pronoun yolo?. It is tempting to say that this is an instance of the genitive pronoun diolo?, but this would be an unexpected instance of this pronoun, as the verb has actor focus morphology.

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { (108) } \begin{array}{l}
\text { m<in>a-manaw } \\
\text { <in>mon-panaw }
\end{array} \text { di yolo? } & \text { oń } & \text { hilod } & \text { t-omuh } \\
\text { <CPL>AF-walk } \\
\text { 'They walked to the market.' }
\end{array}
$$

The prepositions hilod and hitid 'in, at, to' contain a suffix $d$, which may be related to this genitive. However, the $d$ in this case is a suffix, rather than a prefix, and it does not function exactly as the prefix $d$-does. It does seem clear, however, that hilod and hitid are complex forms deriving (at least historically) from ilo? 'that' and iti 'this'. The origin of the final $d$ in these forms is still unknown.
(109) tolu no t-ulun noko-rikot h-iti-d walé: three only NLZR-people AF.CPL-arrive LOC-this-GEN? house 'Only three people came to the house'
nunu $\mathrm{s}<$ in>uan nu h-ilo-d barait
what <CPL>enter 2SG.GEN LOC-that-GEN? basket
'What did you put in the basket?'
3.8 Existentials. The morpheme haro indicates a positive existence or possession.
(111) haro i waláy ku

EXT NM house 1SG.GEN
'I have a house.' (Literally, 'My house exists.')
(112) haro walé: oku

EXT house 1SG.NOM
'I have a house.'

[^10](113)
haro t-abay ku
EXT NLZR-older.brother 1SG.GEN
'I have a brother (older).' (Literally, 'My older brother exists.')
In (111) above, $i$ waláy $k u$ 'my house' is a single noun phrase complement to haro. In (112) above the noun phrase complement is walé': 'house', and the pronoun oku forms a separate noun phrase indicates the possessor.

The clitic $k i$ is an existential which can indicate possession, and it seems to favor the minimal noun phrase with the external pronominal possessor, similar to (112). This clitic attaches phonologically to whatever noun follows.

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { ki=t-abay } & \text { oku } \\
\text { XXT=NLZR-older.brother } & \text { 1SG. } . \\
\text { 'I have a brother (older).' } &
\end{array}
$$

| $\mathrm{ki}=\mathrm{t}$-ulan | t-o-tuon | be:no? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EXT=NLZR-moon | nlzr-sv-dark | today |

'There will be moon tonight.'
3.9 NegAtion. Tindal has four different negative markers, each one with a slightly different function. $a: ?$ is used to negate verbs, including stative verbs. In such constructions, the noun phrase that is the subject of the negated verb will be moved to the position immediately following the negative, as in (117) below (the pronoun in (116) would be expected to move anyway). This can be interpreted as a form of topicalization.

| (116) | a:? | oku |  | min-inum |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NEG | 1SG | <AF>RPT-drink |  |  |  |
|  | 'I did | t drin |  |  |  |  |
| (117) | a:? | i | Laura | m<in>an-anu | i | sada? |
|  | NEG | NM | Laura | <CPL>AF-take | NM | fish |

'Laura didn't take the fish.'

| a: | i | a-gayo | t-asu=ti |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NEG | NM | SV-large | NLZR-dog=this |
| 'This dog is not big.' |  |  |  |

In (118) above, the noun marker $i$ is moved to the focus position as a stand-in for the complex noun tasu=ti, presumably because the complex noun phrase cannot be fronted.

The negative okon is used to negate nouns and pronouns. Again, the negated element, which is in focus (nominative), moves to the position immediately following the negator.
(119) okon i piasaw=ti

NEG NM coconut=this
'This is not a coconut.'

| okon | isio | i | noko-kito | oku | konihab |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| NEG | 1SG.NOM | NM | AF.CPL-see | 1SG.NOM | yesterday |

'He's not the one I saw yesterday.'
In (120) above, the entire phrase $i$ nokokito oku konihab is a relative clause modifying the head noun isio, and that is why the sentence has more than one nominative noun phrase.

The negative kada? is combined with a verb to form a negative command.

| (121) | kada? | momo-dula? | hilod | ralan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | NEG | CAUS-saliva |  |  |
| 'Don't spit on the street!' |  |  |  |  |
|  | street |  |  |  |

(122) kada? sakay hodiad bas

NEG ride that bus
'Don't ride that bus.'
aiso?, another negative, probably with the etymology $a:$ ' ' NEG ' + iso? 'one', is used to indicate negative existence. This functions in parallel with the existential marker haro.

| (123) | aiso? | doho |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NEG | 1SG.OBL |  |
|  | 'I have nothing.' |  |  |
| (124) | aiso? | t-aj-anak | ku |
|  | NEG | NLZR-PL-child | 1SG.GEN |
|  | 'I don | e children.' |  |

3.10 Focus. Tindal contains three types of focus morphology: actor focus, patient focus, and a third focus I tentatively call benefactive. In such sentences, the actor, the patient, and the benefactive noun phrases, respectively, are in focus. The focus is marked by the verbal morphology and, if present, pronouns. In contrast with many other focus languages, however, Tindal does not distinguish whether a non-pronominal noun phrase is nominative, genitive or oblique. Instead, Tindal has a single noun marker for both focused and non-focused noun phrases. All common and proper noun phrases take the same noun marker $i$, except for the limited cases of $d i$, discussed above. In clauses without pronouns, therefore, focus interpretations are derived through a combination of verbal morphology (indicating the focus) and position in the sentence.

In a simple declarative sentence, only one noun phrase will be in focus. In the following sentence, since the verb has actor focus morphology, we know that the actor Jason is the focused element, even though it carries the ambiguous noun marker $i$.

'Jason kicked us.'
The second argument dahe: 'us' is oblique, so it cannot be the focused element in this sentence.
Table 4. Focus morphemes.

| MORPHEME | FOCUS | MORPHOLOGY | SPECIAL SEMANTICS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -um- | AF |  | inchoative |
| moy- | AF | $\mathrm{p}<\mathrm{um}>$ oy- |  |
| mog- | AF | $\mathrm{p}<\mathrm{um}>$ og- | derivational |
| -on | PF |  | future, commands |
| -an | BF |  |  |
| no- | PF/BF |  | completive |

In Table 4, each focus morpheme is given, along with its underlying composition and any special semantics. As can be seen, there are a number of actor-focus morphemes. The choice among these actor-focus morphemes is lexical. Each morpheme will be discussed in more depth below.
3.10.1 Actor focus. Actor focus is used when the speaker wants to make the actor/agent the most prominent element in the sentence. The verbal prefix moy- and the infix -um- both indicate basic actor focus in Tindal. In the following example, the verb has the actor focus prefix mon-, so the agent pronoun is selected from the nominative set.
mon-ipih oku kasáy
AF-dream 1SG.NOM often
'I dream often.'

[^11]When the root begins with a voiceless obstruent, the final $/ \mathrm{y} /$ of the prefix and the initial consonant of the root coalesce to form a nasal at the point of articulation of the original obstruent, in a process called nasal substitution. This is probably best understood as a process of complete assimilation then deletion or degemination (Blust 2004:83). Nasal substitution does not apply to initial $/ \mathrm{h} /$, where the final nasal remains as a velar. In (127) and (128) below, the prefix moy- has triggered nasal substitution.


In addition to applying to voiceless obstruents, nasal substitution also applies to some (but not all) bases with an initial $b$. In (129) below, the initial $b$ does not change, whereas in (130) it does.

| (129) | ise: m<in>o-bansu? t-anak | nu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | who AF-CPL-shirt |  |
|  | 'Who dressed your child?' NLZR-child | 2SG.GEN |
| (130) | mo-moli oku punti suab |  |
|  | mon-boli |  |
|  | AF-buy 1SG.NOM banana tomorrow |  |

In Austronesian languages that display nasal substitution, voiceless obstruents most commonly undergo the process. Of the voiced obstruents, $b$ most frequently exhibits the process (Blust 2004). Tagalog, in fact, displays a pattern very similar to Tindal in having nasal substitution in voiceless obstruents and in some $b$-initial bases.

In Tindal, the prefix moŋ- loses its final nasal before sonorant consonants and voiced obstruents.
(131) ma-dagan isio punti

AF-sell 3SG.NOM banana
'He's selling bananas.'
$\mathrm{m}<\mathrm{in}>0$-waya? i kilow siriba? ku
$<$ CPL $>$ AF-crawl NM ant under 1 SG.GEN
'The ant crawled under me'

| m<in>o-lohow | i | Edward | moki-t-ulup | may-aykat | i |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| <CPL>AF-call.out NM Edward <br> REQ-NLZR-help  | AF-carry | NM | NLZR-deer |  |  |
| 'Edward called out asking for help to carry the deer.' |  |  |  |  |  |

Again, this pattern of nasal deletion before sonorant consonants and voiced obstruents is not uncommon in Austronesian languages (Blust 2004).

In addition, the verb akan 'to eat' may lose its initial vowel but still take the vowel-initial allomorph of the prefix mon- where no nasal substitution takes place.

```
kano maj-kan
    come AF-eat
    'Let's eat.'
```

This process occurs only with this lexical item, and is probably a result of the frequency of the form.
The infix -um- also indicates actor focus. It frequently has an inchoative meaning. The basic position for an infix in Tindal is immediately preceding the first vowel of the stem. Infixes, then, as can be seen in (132) and (133) above, can infix into a prefix. When there are two infixes, as in (135) below, they occur in a fixed order.
(135)
s<um><in>uan oku i kaday
<AF><CPL>enter 1SG.NOM NM store
'I entered the store.'
In (136) below, we can see that -um- has the allomorph $m$ - before a vowel-initial root.
(136)
m-andad oku $\quad$ i bas
AF-wait
1SG.NOM NM bus
'I'm waiting for the bus.'

Tindal also has a constraint against infixing -um- after $/ \mathrm{p} /$, because it results in an impermissible succession of labials. This can be seen in the examples below, where the sequence /pum/ is reduced to $/ \mathrm{m} /$.

| (137) | mate | oku |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <um>patay |  |
|  | <AF>die | 1SG.NOM |
|  | 'I'm dying; | I want to die.' |
| (138) | kada? | morobuat |
|  |  | <um>porobuat |
|  | NEG | <AF>work |

This constraint has major consequences for Tindal morphology. The prefix moy-, discussed above, actually has an underlying morphology $p<u m>o \eta-$, but because of this phonological constraint, this sequence is realized as mon-. A similar situation exists with numerous affixes in Tindal that begin with $p$ in their underlying forms, and these p -initial forms are discussed below. A phonological constraint against successive labials is reconstructed for Proto-Austronesian and remains intact in many modern Austronesian languages (Wolff 1973:84).

The prefix mog- is a third actor focus affix that sometimes has a derivational function, changing the meaning of the root idiosyncratically. Much as in the examples above, mog- is has the underlying form $p<u m>o g_{-} \rightarrow m o g$-.
(139) mog-inum 'to drink alcohol' > inum 'to drink'
mag-anak 'to have children' $<(\mathrm{t}-$ )anak 'child'
mag-asu 'to hunt with a dog $<(\mathrm{t}-$ )asu 'dog'

| o-to:d | oku | $m<$ in>og-onsok | ko | manok |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SV-happy | 1SG.NOM | <CPL>AF-ripe(cook) | 2SG.NOM | chicken |

'I'm happy that you cooked chicken.'

| m<in>og-ihum in | Gonsudik | bansu? dow |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| <CPL>AF-look.for | NM | Gonsudik | shirt | 3SG.GEN |
| 'Gonsudik looked for his shirt, |  |  |  |  |

Although $m o g$ - seems to occur with only vowel-initial roots, an intermediate prefix may be added to the root in order to allow it to take this $m o g-/ p o g$ - prefix.
(142) mog-on-tian 'pregnant' < tian 'belly'

This distribution of mog- with primarily vowel-initial roots makes me suspicious that it is in fact an allomorph of some other affix, but its derivational function seems to be unique, so without further data, I am forced to treat it as a separate affix.

A few forms which take mog-may be in the process of being re-analyzed as beginning with an initial $g$-, because $m o g$ - before a vowel-initial root superficially resembles a $m o \eta$ - with a $g$-initial root, where the final nasal of the latter prefix undergoes nasal deletion. This may explain why $m o g$ - is a relatively rare affix. In (143a), we see the verb idu 'go away' with the prefix noko-. In the (143b), it occurs with the prefix mog- (and the infix -in-). This is the point at which it becomes re-analyzed, and we see it with the causative prefix momo- in (143c), where it has become gidu.
(143) a. noko-idu tulun 'The people have gone.'
b. m<in>og-idu 'went away'
c. momo-gidu 'to shoo away'

This same process may have created the pair uli 'to go home' and guli 'to return', but since they have slightly different semantics, I consider them to be separate.

In general, each verb takes only one actor-focus affix and may not alternate among the three. The choice among mon-, -um-, and mog- seems to be lexical, rather than phonological, much as the difference among cognates may-, -um-, and mag- in Tagalog. In (127) above, the verb sulu 'to point' takes the prefix mon-, whereas the phonologically similar verb susu 'breast' takes the infix -um-. Of the three affixes, -um- is the most productive, and it is used to create verbs from nouns. Moreover, -um- is generally used to make active verbs from statives.

In a few cases, moy- alternates with -um-. Examples (144) and (145) below show the alternation with the verb tapak 'to slap', and (146) and (147) illustrate the alternation with the verb simbar 'to answer'.

'Gonsudik asked people, but they didn't answer'
A few other verbs, such as ponsu? 'to bathe' and panaw 'to walk', also alternate between mor- and -um-. The verb onsok 'ripe' alternates between m-ansak (with -um-) and mog-onsok, also with an unexplained change of vowels.

The forms pog- and pon- occur in imperatives or with the pseudo-auxiliary oyóy 'go'. In other words, they occur when the verb is not inflected for focus, so the prefixes do not contain the infix -um-. Like mon-, pon- induces nasal substitution.

| (148) | kada? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pa-na } \\ & \text { poy-t } \end{aligned}$ | aw | t-usin |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NEG | AF-st |  | NLZR-mone |  |
|  | 'Don' | steal money |  |  |  |
| (149) | о弓¢́: | pay-anu | punt | ti mantad | yolo? <br> 3PL.OBL |
|  | go | AF-get | bana | ana from |  |
|  | 'Get s | me banana | from | them!' |  |
| (150) | kada? pog-inum |  |  |  |  |
|  | NEG | INF-d |  |  |  |
|  | 'Don' | drink alco |  |  |  |

In (151) below, miné' is a shortened form of the verb oŋóy 'go' with the infixes -um- and -in-.
(151) miné: isio pog-ihum sukan

AF.CPL.go 3SG.NOM INF-look.for durian
'He went to collect durian.'

In the following sentence, we see both the uninflected pog- and the inflected mog-.

'His wife was nowhere in sight because she had gone to find her friends to chew betel nut.'
Because moy- and mog- both presumably derive their initial nasal from the infix -um-, they may be considered secondary actor focus markers, whereas -um- is the primary actor focus marker.
3.10.2 Patient focus. Patient focus refers to sentences in which the patient or theme of the action is the most salient argument. It is marked by the suffix -on.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { (153) } & \text { isio } & \text { ligod-on } & \text { dokow } \\ & \text { 3SG.EMP } & \text { throw-PF } & \text { 2PL.GEN }\end{array}$
'You are throwing stones at him.' (Literally, 'He is the one you are throwing stones at.'
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { tow lanad-on } & \text { matuh computer } & \text { dow } \\ \text { don't.know miss-PF } & \text { maybe computer } & \text { 3SG.GEN }\end{array}$
'I don't know, maybe he misses his computer.'
In (153) above, the object of the action is represented by the emphatic pronoun isio, whereas the subject of the action is expressed by the genitive pronoun, dokow. In (154), the focus of the sentence is computer dow 'his computer' and the agent is omitted. Patient focus also seems to be frequently accompanied by a future meaning.
(155) boli-on ku i t-anak ku bosikol
buy-PF 1SG.GEN NM child 1SG.GEN bicycle
'I will buy my child a bicycle.'

| nunu | pa-kan-on | nu | hodiad manok |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| what | CAUS-eat-PF | 2SG.GEN that | chicken |
| 'What will |  |  |  |

'What will you feed to the chickens?'
In (156), the focus of the sentence is the sentence-initial question word nunu. Patient focus is also used in commands.
(157) putul-on i porip
cut-PF NM bamboo
'Cut the bamboo!'

| patay-on | i | sada? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| die-PF | NM | fish |

'Kill the fish!'
This imperative meaning may be a homophonous affix, but I include it here because it seems to occur only in transitive contexts, thus associating it with patient focus.
3.10.3 Benefactive focus. The suffix -an, while relatively infrequent compared to actor or patient focus affixes, seems to be a third focus marker, indicating benefactive or goal focus. In ProtoAustronesian, this suffix indicated locative focus (Wolff 1973:76-79), and some of the instances of this focus could still be interpreted as locative in Tindal. However, benefactive uses of the suffix seem to be more prototypical.

| (159) | na-sabak-an | yolo? | i | t-ulun | mantad | Guar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CPL-angry-BF | 3PL.NOM | NM | NLZR-people | from | Guar |

'The people from Gaur got angry at them.'

[^12]$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (160) } & \begin{array}{l}\text { na-katal-an oku } \\ \text { CPL-itch-BF 1SG.NOM } \\ \\ \\ \text { 'I was itchy just now.’ }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { diháy } \\ \text { just.now }\end{array} \\ & \end{array}$

3.11 QUESTIONS. Certain question words are always the focus of the sentence in which they appear, and they are also fronted, much like the emphatic pronouns. Sentences with nuпи 'what' are in patient focus, because nunu is the patient of the sentence and is also the most salient element by virtue of being a question word.

| (162) nunu na-tahak nu | kumay | Jason <br> what <br> CPL-give |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2SG.GEN |  |
| 'What did you give to Jason?' |  |  |

As one might predict, ise' 'who' is in patient focus when it is the object of the sentence, and in actor focus when it is the actor of the sentence. In (164) below, the po- causative prefix changes the focus of the sentence from actor to patient focus.

| ise: | m<in>o-mosik <br> <in>mon-posik | dia? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| who | <CPL>AF-wake | 2SG.OBL |
| 'Who woke you up?' |  |  |

'Who woke you up?'
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { ise: } & \mathrm{p}<\text { in>o-posik } & \text { nu } \\ \text { who }<\text { CPL>CAUS-wake } & \text { 2SG.GEN } \\ \text { 'Who did you wake up?' } & \end{array}$
The question words sonira? 'when', hinombo 'where', poypkuro 'how', nokuro 'why', and piro? 'how much' are verbal adjuncts, and they are not focused as question elements. Therefore, they may co-occur with focused pronouns. ${ }^{21}$

| (165) | soŋira? oku | mo-mosik <br> mon-posik | dia? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | when 1SG.NOM | AF-wake | 2SG.OBL |

'When should I wake you up?'
(166) hinombo ko $m<i n>a n-k a n$
where 2SG.NOM <CPL>AF-eat
'Where did you eat?'
(167)
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { poinkuro } & \text { ko } & m<\text { in>an-kan } & \text { i punti } \\ \text { how } & \text { 2SG.NOM } & \begin{array}{l}\text { CCPL }>A F-e a t ~\end{array} & \text { NM banana }\end{array}$
'How did you eat the banana?'
3.12 ASPECT. Tindal distinguishes between completive aspect for actions that are already finished, and incompletive aspect for actions that have not yet finished (and may not have even begun). Completive aspect is marked by several different affixes, explained below, whereas incompletive aspect is generally unmarked. As noted above, the patient-focus suffix -on occasionally has a future meaning, but in general, future meanings are derived by adding a time expression, such as suab 'tomorrow'. I will also describe the continuative marker below, which is optionally used for ongoing actions.
3.12.1 COMPLETIVE. The verbal prefix noko- indicates completive aspect with an accidental or nonintentional meaning. It is either an actor focus prefix, or it lacks overt marking for focus, where actor

[^13]focus is the default interpretation. In either case noko- takes nominative pronouns for the actor of the sentence.

```
(168) noko-intoy oku i wayan
    CPL-watch 1SG.NOM NM show
    'I watched a show.'
(169) noko-rikot i Trixie diháy
    CPL-arrive NM Trixie just.now
    'Trixie came here earlier.'
```

The infix -in- indicates completive aspect, with an intentional meaning. It co-occurs with actor focus verbal morphology.

| (170) | $\mathrm{m}<$ in>o-moli <br> $\mathrm{m}<$ in $>$ on-boli | oku | punti konihab |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | <CPL>AF-buy | 1SG.NOM | banana yesterday |
|  | 'I bought bananas yesterday.' |  |  |

The completive prefix no- occurs only with patient or benefactive focus. It does not co-occur with the patient focus suffix -on, but sentences such as (171) below nevertheless seem to be in patient focus because the patient is in the focus position, and the pronouns for actors are in non-focus forms.
(171)

> no-boli punti ku
> CPL-buy banana 1SG.GEN
> 'My banana was bought.'

| na-sabak-an | yolo? | i | t-ulun | mantad Guar |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CPL-angry-BF | 3PL.NOM | NM | NLZR-people | from | Guar |
| 'The people from Gaur got angry at them.' |  |  |  |  |  |

However, the following example with no- was elicited on two separate occasions, once with an patient-focus interpretation ((171) above), and once with an actor-focus interpretation ((173) below).

| (173) | no-boli | oku | i | ti |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CPL-buy | 1SG.NOM | NM | na |
|  | 'I (finally) | bought the |  |  |

On a separate occasion, the consultant stated that (173) above could be grammatical only with the meaning 'The banana bought me.' This may be evidence that the Tindal focus system is in transition, and speakers rely on pragmatics for interpretation.

It is tempting to say that no- is an allomorph of -in- which occurs only before vowel-initial roots, and that there is a separate prefix -o which prevents the root from co-occurring with actor-focus morphology. But verbs that take the prefix no- are not always able to take a prefix o-, suggesting that the completive prefix is no-, with a vowel. For example, the verb boli 'to buy' occurs with no-, as in (173), but the form *o-boli is ungrammatical.
3.12.2 Recent completive. The prefix koko- is used to indicate a recently completed action. The only examples of this prefix in the current data set had actor focus interpretations, and it remains to be investigated whether this form can occur with patient focus interpretations.

| (174) | koko-irak | i t-anak | Maria |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RECPL-laugh | NM NLZR-child | NM Maria |
|  | 'Maria's child | ust laughed.' |  |
| (175) | kaka-panaw | yolo? |  |
|  | RECPL-walk | 3SG.NOM |  |
|  | 'They just left. |  |  |

3.12.3 Continuative. The prefix poin- indicates that an action is continuing, and can make a stative verb from an eventive one. In (176), the action described by the verb may be completed, but it was continuative while it took place. The nasal of the prefix poip- assimilates to the place of the following segment. Before a vowel, it remains velar.

| alaid | sio | poim-pasih |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| long.time | 3SG.NOM |  |
| 'He lived a long time.' |  |  |

poin-dikaw i t-anak siriba? i pu:n
CNT-sit NM NLZR-child under NM tree
'The child is sitting under the tree.'
This prefix could be a combination of two prefixes po- and iy-, but there were no cases of this prefix po- occurring on its own (the only other po- in the data is a causative, which does not fit the meanings seen here). Possible instances of iy- without po- are ambiguous, and could always be explained as some affix other than the one that potentially forms the continuative. As mentioned above, the diphthong [oy] may become [ $\varepsilon:]$, so this prefix also surfaces as $p \varepsilon \eta-$.
pen-odop nopo isio
CNT-sleep always
'He is always sleeping.'

| pen-yon oku | hilod | Makiki |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CNT-reside | 1SG.NOM | at | Makiki |
| 'I live in Makiki, |  |  |  |

This final example was apparently a shortening of penion, as the speaker gave the longer form when he pronounced the word syllable by syllable. In this instance, the final nasal becomes alveolar $/ \mathrm{n} /$ after the palatal glide, because Tindal does not have a palatal nasal, and the alveolar is the only [+coronal] nasal.
3.13 OTHER AFFIXES. Tindal has a number of verbal affixes which indicate neither focus nor aspect.
3.13.1 CAUSATIVES. The prefix po- marks causative. This morpheme loses the final vowel before a vowel-initial root. Interestingly, in (180) the causative morpheme forces a patient-focus interpretation. The theme of the sentence, which is the first person pronoun, is in nominative form.

| (180) | $\mathrm{p}<$ in>ihad oku i <br>  CAUS $<$ CPL $>$ cry 1SG.NOM <br>  'Laura made me cry.'  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

In (181), in contrast, the first person pronoun is the agent of the sentence, and it is in nominative form.
$\mathrm{p}<$ in>a-babak oku i galás
<CPL>CAUS-break 1SG.NOM NM glass
'I broke the glass.'
Therefore, the causative prefix po- does not specify actor or patient focus, but the focus is determined by the particular verb if no focus affixes are present. There may be a preference for the focused element to be to be animate, but in the following sentence, the agent of the sentence, the third person plural pronoun diolo?, is not nominative.
(182) p<in>a-ta:p diolo? i walé: i t-odu konihab <CPL>CAUS-roof 3PL.GEN NM house NM NLZR-grandmother yesterday 'They roofed grandmother's house yesterday.'
Tindal also contains the causative prefixes popo- and momo-, the latter of which may be analyzed as a combination of popo- and -um-. Examples (183), (184), and (185) below illustrate the three different causatives with the stative verb gayo 'large'.
po-goyop-ón kamah i waláy nu
CAUS-large-PF or.else NM house 2SG.GEN
'You'd better enlarge your house.'
(184)
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { p<in>opo-goyo }{ }^{22} & \text { oku } & \text { i } & \text { walé: } & \text { ku } \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { <CPL>CAUS-large } \\ \text { 'I }\end{array} & \text { 1SG.NOM } & \text { NM } & \text { house } & \text { 1SG.GEN }\end{array}$
'I enlarged my house.'
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { (185) } & \begin{array}{ll}\text { mama-gayo } & \text { oku } \\ \text { CAUS-large }\end{array} & \text { isG.NOM } & \text { i } & \text { waláy } & \text { ku } \\ \text { house } & \text { 1SG.GEN }\end{array}$
'I'm enlarging my house.'
The basic causative po- generally occurs with the patient-focus suffix -on, whereas the other two prefixes never do. In general, momo- occurs with intransitives (although (185) above is clearly an exception), and popo- occurs with transitives.
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { (186) } & \begin{array}{l}\text { kada? } \quad \text { momo-dula? } \\ \text { NEG } \\ \text { NAUS-saliva }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { hilod } \\ \text { onto }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { ralan } \\ \text { street }\end{array} \\ & \text { 'Don't spit on the street!' } & & \end{array}$

| po-dulap-on | lo? | t-ulan | sada? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CAUS-saliva-PF | that | NLZR-bone | fish |

'Spit out the fishbone!'
In (186) above, we see the typical intransitive use of momo-, and in (187) above, we see the typical po- + -on combination.
3.13.2 Miy- AND PII- The prefixes piy- and miy- seem to have many functions, among which are repetitive actions, dual reciprocals, and divisives. As with other affixes mentioned here, min- is probably a combination of piy- and -um-, and thus has an actor focus interpretation. The following seem to be repetitives.
(188) min-ipih nopo i Wendell m-uli

RPT.AF-dream always NM Wendell AF-go.home
'Wendell is always dreaming of going home.'
(189) min-ukab-ukab i t-ina? dow i beg mog-ihum sigup

RPT.AF-open-open NM NLZR-mother 3SG.GEN NM bag AF.INF-look.for cigarettes 'His mother kept opening his bag looking for cigarettes.'
In both of the above cases, however, the repetitive meaning can also be derived from other elements in the sentence (nopo 'always' in (188) and reduplication in (189)). The prefix piy- and its counterpart miy- exhibit sporadic nasal substitution and nasal deletion, which differ in conditioning from the nasal substitution with the prefixes pon- and mon-. In some cases, the final nasal assimilates to the root, in some, nasal substitution takes place, and in still other cases, the final nasal is deleted altogether. The conditioning for these alternatives is not yet clear.

| $\mathrm{m}<$ in>in-tapay | yolo? | yanay |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| <CPL>RPT.AF-make.wine | 3PL.NOM | all |

'They all made wine.'

| m<in>i-nanom <br> $<$ in $><$ um $>$ pin-tanom | oku | pare: | turuhe: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<$ CPL><AF>RPT-plant | 1SG.NOM | rice | little.while |

I planted rice for a while.
In (190) above, the final nasal assimilates, whereas in (191), it undergoes nasal substitution.
The following instances of piy- are dual reciprocals. In (192) below, the final $/ \mathrm{y} /$ neither assimilates nor coalesces with the $/ \mathrm{s} /$.

| (192) | m<in>in-sodu oku <br> <CPL>RPT.AF-far  | 1SG.NOM | mantad | walé: | ku |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | from | house | 1SG.GEN |  |  |

'I distanced myself from my house'

[^14](193)

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { p<in>i-odop } & \text { oku } & \text { i } & \text { t-ina? } & \text { ku } \\ <\text { CPL }>\text { RPT-sleep } & \text { 1SG.NOM } & \text { NM } & \text { NLZR-mother } & \text { 1SG.GEN }\end{array}$
'My mom put me to sleep.'
(194) mi-ruba? toko sumonu

RPT.AF-meet 1PL.INCL next.time
'We meet again next time.' (a common leave-taking expression)
In (193) and (194) above, we see instances of the final nasal being deleted. This is unexpected with the initial vowel, and may represent another affix pi-.

The following forms have a divisive meaning.
(195)
pi-duo-on
RPT-two-PF
'divide into two'
(196)
pi-dua-ay toko lo? sada?
RPT-two-IMP 1PL.INCL that fish
'We divide the fish (and give two to each).'
The differences between the various meanings and forms of the affix(es) warrant further investigation.
3.13.3 REQUESTS. The verbal prefix poki- indicates request or demand. Its counterpart moki- can be analyzed as a combination of $-u m-+$ poki-, and thus has an actor-focus interpretation. These request forms are not imperatives, and the requestor does not need to be in the first or second person, as shown in (198).
(197) moki-sinsib oku dia?

AF.REQ-cut 1SG.NOM 2SG.OBL
'I want you to cut my hair.'
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { moki-panaw } & \text { i } & \text { t-odu } \\ \text { AF.REQ-walk } & \text { NM } & \text { NLZR-grandmother }\end{array}$
'The grandmother wants/needs help to walk.'
Much as other $p$-initial prefixes, the form poki- surfaces when there is either an auxiliary or the sentence is an imperative. Example (199) is both.

```
(199) оŋモ́ poki-sinsib i Michael go REQ-cut NM Michael
```

'Go ask Michael for a haircut.'
3.13.4 RECIPROCAL. The prefix mogi- is used with a plural agent to indicate reciprocality. The default interpretation with this prefix is actor focus, which is expected of an $m$-initial prefix (assuming an underlying -um-, although the back formation ${ }^{* *}$ pogi- is unattested).
(200) mogi-lisih yolo?

RECP-love 3PL.NOM
'They love each other.'
(201) mogi-andad toko m-uli

RECP.wait 1SG.INCL.NOM AF-go.home
'Let's go home together.'
3.13.5 ImPERATIVE. The suffix $-o$ ? is used for commands.
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{llll}\text { (202) } & \begin{array}{l}\text { kogos-o? }\end{array}
$$ \& lo? \& siduon <br>

tite-IMP \& that firewood\end{array}\right]\)|  | 'Tie up the firewood!' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

The suffix -ay is also an imperative, used primarily for transitive commands. It is unclear if there are any situations in which -ay is mandatory as opposed to -o?. Given the similarity between examples such as (202) above and (204) below, I will assume that the alternation between -o? and -ay is optional for transitive sentences. As mentioned above, the diphthong [ay] may be reduced to [ $\mathrm{\varepsilon}:$ ].

## (204) <br> tagad-ay hodiad pu:n <br> cut-IMP that tree <br> 'Cut down that tree!'

(205) kada? kodut-e: lo? t-adi nu

NEG pinch-IMP that NLZR-younger.sibling 2.g.GEN
'Don't pinch your younger sibling!'
In a few cases, $-a y$ is used without an object, however. This may be limited to cases where the object is implied.

```
(206) kada? urun- \(\varepsilon:\)
    NEG push-IMP
    'Don't push!'
```

3.13.6 Abilitative. The prefix $k o$ - is used indicate a person's ability or inability to do something. The only examples of this prefix in the current data set have actor-focus interpretations, and it remains to be investigated whether this form can co-occur with other focus interpretations.

| (207) | a:? | isio | ko-odop |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | NEG | 3sG.NOM | ABY-sleep |
|  | 'He couldn't sleep.' |  |  |

(208) ka-dagan iti dia? di

ABY-sell this 2SG.OBL $Q$
'Can you sell this?' (Literally, 'Is this salable by you?')
In (208) above, the pronoun is not the second element in the sentence, but is preceded by iti 'this', which may also be a clitic, and thus can proceed the pronoun. It is precisely in cases such as these where more extensive investigations of Tindal word order are needed.

A prefix ko- is also used for ordinal numbers and, in one instance, to create the meaning 'daytime' from the word 'day' (with the nominalizing $t$-).

```
(209) ko-iso? ‘first'
    ko-duoh 'second'
    ko-tolu 'third'
    ko-t-odu 'daytime'
```

3.13.7 Desiderative. The prefix si- indicates desire to do something. Again, the only examples of this prefix in the current data set have actor focus interpretations, and it remains to be investigated whether this form can co-occur with other focus interpretations.
(210)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { si-akan } & \text { oku } & \text { manok } \\ \text { DS-eat } & \text { 1SG.NOM } & \text { chicken }\end{array}$
'I feel like eating chicken.'

| in-san | t-odow | si-onóy | i | Gonsudik | hilod |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CNT-one | NLZR-day | DS-go | NM Gonsudik | to | NLZR-market |
| 'One day, Gonsudik really wanted to go to the market' |  |  |  |  |  |

3.13.8 Serial distribution. The prefix soro- indicates serial distribution. This affix, too, seems to have a default actor focus interpretation, but its inherent focus could be the subject of further investigation.
(212)

4. CONCLUSION. While it is tempting to reduce the polysyllabic affixes to combinations of monosyllabic affixes, at this point there is not sufficient evidence to do so in most cases. So nokocould be a combination of a completive no- and an affix ko-, where ko- is also seen in the recent completive koko- and in the abilitative ko-. However, there do not seem to be any underlying semantic similarities between these affixes in the synchronic grammar of Tindal. This same logic applies to complex forms such as momo- and popo-, which resemble other affixes, as well as poip, which may be a combination of $i \eta$ - and some unknown affix po-. Nevertheless, the similar shapes of the various affixes are surely not coincidences, and with further investigation, it may be possible to sort out the commonalities. Much work remains to be done on Tindal, including sorting out the various affixes, determining the exact rules for pronoun fronting, investigating the default focus interpretations for the non-focus affixes, and placing the language in a historical context.

## AbBREVIATIONS:

1: first person
2: second person
3: third person
ABY: ability
AF: actor focus
BF : benefactive focus
CAUS: causative
CNT: continuative
CPL: completive aspect
DISTR: serial distribution
DS: desiderative
DUP: reduplicant
EMP: emphatic pronoun
EXCL: exclusive
EXT: existential
GEN: genitive
IMP: imperative
INCL: inclusive

INF: infinitival
LOC: locative
NEG: negative
NLZR: nominal prefix
NM: noun marker
NOM: nominative/focused argument
OBL: oblique argument
PF: patient focus
PL: plural
Q: question particle
RECP: reciprocal
RECPL: recent completive
REQ: request
RPT: repetitive
SG: singular
SV: stative verb
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this paper, the IPA diacritic $<:>$ will be used to mark vowel length, while the true colon $<:>$ will be used in its more traditional function as a punctuation marker.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In this paper, stress has only been indicated if it is not penultimate.
    ${ }^{3}$ See appendix for a list of abbreviations used in this paper.
    ${ }^{4}$ The equal sign here represents the phonological fusion of two distinct elements.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ This is separate from the allowable elements in syllable-final position, as $/ \mathrm{R} /$ is not allowed morphemeinternally, as mentioned above.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ An extra line is used in the interlinear translations of some sentences to show the underlying morphology.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ This has been reported as a completed change in Kimaragang Dusun (Kroeger 1993:37).

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ This is the only known form in Tindal to have three vowel slots within a single syllable. If Tindal syllables may contain only two vowel phonemes, then the either the sequence [aod or the long vowel [a:] must act as a unit phoneme in this form.

[^6]:    ${ }^{12}$ Malay roh
    ${ }^{13}$ Although sumbuh was reported by the consultant as a Malay loan, and it contains exceptional phonology consistent with Malay loans, the word is not found in modern Malay dictionaries.

[^7]:    ${ }^{14}$ Although this generalization is controversial (Anderson and Zwicky 2003), it provides some evidence, along with the pronouns' violation of general Tindal word order, that these pronouns have a special syntactic status. ${ }^{15}$ It is unclear what the function of this affix is, and it does not appear elsewhere in the data. Clearly, much work remains to be done on Tindal.

[^8]:    ${ }^{16}$ sio is an alternate form of isio.

[^9]:    ${ }^{17}$ Apart from the exceptional genitive pronoun with sumorili?, mentioned above.

[^10]:    ${ }^{18}$ Although this form has what appears to be a regular actor focus infix -um-, this affix seems to be fossilized in this form, as sakit was not reported to be a possible form, and no other inflected forms of this word were found to occur.

[^11]:    ${ }^{19}$ In this case, the pronoun does not occur as the second element of the sentence. It may be the case that this position is reserved for nominative and genitive pronouns only.

[^12]:    ${ }^{20}$ The underline here represents a rising intonation and associated lengthening of the vowel, which is frequently used for emphasis.

[^13]:    ${ }^{21}$ Examples of nokuro and piro? with focused pronouns were not available, but are theoretically possible.

[^14]:    ${ }^{22}$ Given the root gayo, the expected form is pinapagayo.

