

1-9-95

Conversations w/ John Briscoe + Bill Tam

Hawaii's Archipelagic Claim

- ① 3 historians did a 700-800 page historical discussion for State of Hawaii - in the mid 1970s - only 2 copies in existence - Bill Tam has, John Briscoe has seen.
- ② ~ 1990 - Briscoe (John) did a 150-200 page legal & strategic analysis of the history & law for State Ab (Bill Tam has) - focused on "Historical Waters" claim, rather than Archipelagic Claim - his focus -

historical waters in channels - existed as of 1897.

Did it disappear after annexation ~~is~~?

If so, how did it disappear?

Can executive branch abandon such US property without an act of Congress?

Ron Bauston

{ Saoo Zone & Principes. Archipelagic Parallelogram
Chagos Archipelago - UK thought it could not use, Art 46(a) ^{got they drew straight baseline!}
and principles became of US jurisdiction!

Chris Carr - State Dept views Hawaii's archipelagic claim as "Kooky". State Dept protests Canada's Archipelagic waters vigorously.
but is open to historic claim.

Focus on Gulf States & Puerto Rico & their historic claims.

Jacobson - Ct ruled against states; Congress granted larger area as "quasi claim" in 1946.