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Abstract

This paper investigates how freelancers’ use of signals predicts earnings in online labor markets. Extant literature has questioned the usefulness of some assessment signals to evaluate a freelancer’s quality. We find that conventional signals – signals based on non-verifiable information – can be predictors of higher revenue, when they are based on anecdotes of positive past events (self-promotion). However, mere kindness and flattery towards the customer (ingratiation) is negatively associated with a freelancer’s earnings in OLM. Moreover, we find evidence that the number of tests performed on the platform is significantly associated with higher earnings - with each test that is added to the profile a freelancer’s revenue increases by 4.1 %. We base our analysis on a sample of 1065 freelancers using objective financial earnings data, independent codings and survey data.

1. Introduction

There has been a growing number of research on “online labor markets” (OLM), online platforms for individuals and organizations “to quickly identify short-term workers who have skills required for particular, often one-time, tasks” [1]. However, as contracts are negotiated very quickly, independent of time and space and with minimized personal contact, increased information asymmetry yields insecurity regarding the hiring process. Thus, clients have to rely on diverse factors and cues to judge if a particular freelancer is likely to perform well.

A prominent factor are signals visible on a freelancer’s profile page. Signaling is a process in which “one party (termed the agent) credibly conveys some information about itself [through different signals] to another party (the principal)” [2]. This information in the form of signals is costly. Costs associated with sending the signal outweigh the benefits of sending if the sender does not possess the respective qualities [3]. In turn, costly signals may reduce information asymmetry and are assumed to be beneficial for forming contractual relationships thus resulting in higher earnings and revenue for the freelancer.

Accordingly, signaling literature has focused on the performance impact of signals in different markets and contexts, such as markets of public goods [4], the job market [3], the stock market [5] and the consumer market [6]. There are some studies in extant literature that have applied signaling theory to online labor markets. Signals assessed by this literature stream include the number of portfolio items [7], and the presence of a top rated status [8 - 9]. However, for some signals, such as ratings, literature mentions an upward bias and the ability to reduce information asymmetry is questionable [10 - 11].

To date, extant literature in online labor markets has focused on “assessment signals” - verifiable and costly information, that can be directly validated by the receiver, such as ratings, tests and portfolio-items [12]. However, in highly competitive environments like online labor markets, freelancers do not solely rely on these types of signals to attract customers and stand out from the crowd.

In fact, freelancers make extensive use of so called “conventional signals” [12] that express intentions, anecdotes and opinions of the sender, such as self-promotion - an individual’s claims to possess certain qualities. In contrast to assessment signals, these signals are not costly and cannot be directly validated. Surprisingly, literature on online labor markets has largely neglected conventional signals and their implications. Additionally, recent studies on assessment signaling neglect the fact that there might be other factors involved in signaling such as character traits and skills. To fill this gap, this study addresses the following research question:
How do assessment signals and conventional signals affect freelancers' performance? We assess the financial dimension of buyer supply exchanges – a freelancer’s total earnings in USD for a period of one year – to reflect freelancers’ performance [13-14]. Measuring the earnings as a proxy of freelancing success has major benefits compared to other success measures, e.g., a natural unit that is easily interpreted, less bias than single source or dyadic data and the approximation of many dimensions of success in a single measure (customer satisfaction as well as successful management and sales activities) [15]. Additionally, we go beyond the level of visible signals and assess individual character traits – habitual patterns of behavior that are stable over time [16] and key skills / individual differences that might affect performance in OLM. Moreover, we add other variables that are likely to influence a freelancer’s earnings, such as a freelancer’s availability, past project experience, education level and English verbal proficiency.

Our study contributes to extant literature in three ways. First, this study is the first to contrast conventional signals with assessment signals in online labor markets and shows that their performance implications differ. Thus, the research broadens the spectrum of signaling theory and opens up new avenues for OLM research. It helps to better understand the complexity of signaling behavior in OLM and offers various new signaling types future research could use to better assess performance in OLM. At the same time, we enable clients to infer crucial job related factors and improve their hiring decisions.

Second, we build our analysis on earnings, an outcome measure that is largely neglected in OLM research. Accordingly, we extend literature by pointing to possible differences between hiring decisions and financial outcomes as a proxy for performance in OLM.

Third, stressing the differences between signaling types contributes to research and practice on the design of OLM platforms, e.g., the optimal position of signaling spots (e.g., test scores, self-descriptions) on profile pages according to their relevance.

We obtain self-report measures of character traits, objective measures of performance and various assessment signals as well as measures of conventional signals as perceived by third raters.

2. Literature review

2.1. Online labor markets and related fields

In information science, particularly in economics and accounting, there is an emerging body of literature on online labor markets, defined as online “tool for individuals and organizations to quickly identify short-term workers [- freelancers -] who have skills required for particular, often one-time, tasks.” [1].

Thus, freelancing in the light of this study is the completion of major or minor business tasks by an individual in an online market place – the online labor market. The most important pillars of freelancing in online labor markets are the online representation of the freelancer by a profile page and the creation of an online reputation (e.g., via reviews and past jobs). Valuable candidates are virtually assessed and interviewed to work on jobs and complete project milestones. Thus, the activities within the entire project value chain are conducted digitally [14]. Some examples for major online labor markets are freelancer.com and upwork.com. A closely related concept is crowdsourcing, the “act of a company or institution taking a function once performed by employees and outsourcing it to an undefined (and generally large) network of people in the form of an open call” [17]. However, crowdsourcing emphasizes collaboration and problem-solving, often through “contests” [18] or “micro tasks” [19 - 20].

There has been a growing body of literature in the field of online labor markets. Studies in this field have focused on the differences regarding classical, “offline” labor markets, such as female hiring biases [21], country preferences [22], language, time-zone and cultural differences [23], and auction preferences, such as the influence of bid format - sealed and closed bids - on buyer surplus [24]. There has been some literature on signaling in online labor markets, which we will review in the following chapters. We will organize the review by the type of signal – namely assessment and conventional signals – and review studies on both antecedents and outcomes of signals.
2.2. Signaling in online labor markets

Recall that signaling is defined as a process in which “one party (termed the agent) credibly conveys some information about itself [through different signals] to another party (the principal)” [2]. Central to the concept of signaling is the cost associated with a signal. Spence [3] discussed an individual’s education as a reliable signal because low performing individuals are not able to (successfully) obtain higher education and thus lack the ability to successfully send it. In other words, in the case of education, the costs associated with the signal outweigh the benefits for individuals that are not likely to succeed. The same is true for tests that can be taken on freelancing sites and are displayed publicly. Tests are a costly signal, because producing the signal without having the skills to take the test would not have benefits for the sender (a bad result is displayed on the page). We term these types of signals “assessment signals” – signals that are “reliable, because producing the signal requires possessing the indicated quality” [12]. The same is true for a freelancer’s ratings and portfolio items. Showing portfolio items – samples of past projects - would not be beneficial for the client if he or she has not been able to successfully perform his tasks in the past. If past projects did not end successfully or did not produce any outcome, showing portfolio items would not be beneficial or impossible. In general, portfolio items are directly verifiable as they are connected to a certain contract in the past. For an overview of assessment signals used in our study, see table 1 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signal</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tests</td>
<td>The number of passed knowledge tests as displayed on each profile site.</td>
<td>Number of passed tests for different Levels of PHP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio items</td>
<td>Number of work samples displayed on the profile page.</td>
<td>Links to webpages, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating</td>
<td>Average review score of all projects completed, range 1-5.</td>
<td>4.85 Stars</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In contrast to assessment signals, conventional signals do not require the sender to possess the quality signaled, rather, “the link between signal and quality is arbitrary, a matter of social convention” [12]. In other words, anyone could use e.g., his self-description to tell people about positive past events and his desirable qualities (self-promotion) or act like a favorable person by using flattery and being overly polite (ingratiation). Another conventional signal often used in OLM is the price of a product – only social mores or conventions prohibit freelancers to demand a higher price for their services. For an overview of the conventional signals used in our study, see table 2.

2.2.1. Assessment signals in OLM literature

Recall that we have defined assessment signals as reliable, costly signals that can be directly validated by the receiver. We have pointed out earlier that we already observe a broad variety of literature on different types of assessment signals. Some studies have assessed the antecedents of assessment signals, such as skills [27], market threats and market concentration [28], contract type, motives [1] and various demographics [29 -30]. To date, research has not assessed the role of character traits regarding assessment signaling in online labor markets.

There are already some studies in extant literature that have applied signaling theory to explain online platform outcomes. Some of these studies have assessed the influence of assessment signals on performance outcomes such as consumers’ willingness to transact [31] and perceptions of product quality [32]. An outcome studied widely in OLM literature are hiring decisions. Assessment
signals addressed by this literature stream include the
presence of an agency affiliation [33], the number of
portfolio pieces [7], and the presence of a top rated
status [8-9]. However, to our knowledge, there is no
study assessing financial performance outcomes of
signals in online labor markets.

2.2.2. Conventional signals in OLM literature

We have described earlier that conventional signals
are not based on verifiable information and thus
cannot be directly validated. These signals have been
the subject of studies on impression management in
an offline environment such as hiring, interviews and
evaluations [34 - 37]. Research on antecedents of
conventional signals in information science literature
is scarce. However, a few articles indirectly referring
to the use of conventional signals are concerned with
outcome measures such as “envy” [38], “first
impression bias” [39], “online isomorphism” [40] and
“swift guanxi” – the creation of intimacy perceptions
[41]. This stream does not differentiate between
certain tactics and thus applies a unidimensional
approach to conventional signaling. Research
regarding the outcomes of conventional signals
includes the effect of viewing social media profiles
on subjective well-being and self-esteem [42 - 43] or
evaluations of others [44 – 45]. In summary, it still
remains unclear how conventional signaling in social
media, particularly in online labor markets, is linked
to individual work-related success measures.

3. Hypotheses

3.1. Performance implications of signals

According to signaling theory, information
asymmetry between two parties can be reduced by
sending costly market-related signals, which in turn,
makes an existing contractual relationship more
viable and helps to attract new customers [46-47].
Specifically, the freelancer needs to decide whether
and how to provide relevant information toward a
customer, who in turn interprets these signals [48].

Recall that assessment signals are difficult to be
imitated by competitors and are only beneficial for
the sender if he or she possesses the qualities to be
signaled. Assessment signals require high costs and
risks from the freelancer to transfer the signal in
absence of authenticity [49]. Thus, these signals
reduce information asymmetry through credible
information such as portfolio items and tests and
positively affect the freelancer – client relationship.
Signals assessed within this category, are the increase
of CEOs’ ownership stakes to promote diversification
strategies [50], the stack of a firm’s board with
prominent directors to signal legitimacy [51] and the
establishment of heterogeneous boards to signal
compliance with social values [52, 48].

In our case, the OLM provides some
opportunities to engage in assessment signaling:
References in the form of portfolio items, a quality
rating provided by past customers and tests to
showcase a freelancer’s knowledge. In the light of
signaling theory, all these signals should be costly
and “hard to fake” and thus reliable indicators of true
positive qualities. Thus, information asymmetry
should be decreased which is beneficiary for sales
and ultimately increases a freelancer’s overall
success measured by his earnings [14]. Thus, we
hypothesize the following:

H1a: There is a positive association of the number of
portfolio items with earnings.

H1b: There is a positive association of a freelancer’s
rating displayed on the page with earnings.

H1c: The number of tests displayed on the profile
page is positively associated with a freelancer’s
earnings.

Unlike assessment signals, conventional signals
are not directly linked to specific qualities, high costs
and verifiable information. In contrast, only social
conventions and mores (e.g., terms of conduct) may
prevent the sender from providing exaggerated or
false information. However, these “costs” are not
high enough to guarantee honesty of the sender [12].
Consequently, research has assumed that these
signals may be less reliable concerning the true
qualities of the signaling-subject [49].

However, this rationale may not be universally
true for all types of conventional signals. In contrast,
consumer studies have shown that some conventional
signals may increase short term sales performance.
Advertising industry tries to convince potential
customers by telling “success stories” including
brand and store information [53]. Thus, we propose
that some conventional signals might increase
earnings if the customer associates them with
beneficial facts about the freelancer. One such signal
is a product’s price, where a higher price incorporates
notions of higher quality [53]. Likewise, self-
promotion – tactics that are used to create positive
associations through anecdotes of past achievements
– may also lead to a reduction of information
asymmetry and increase credibility. We base this
proposition on the fact that anecdotes of past
achievements – especially in a freelancing
environment that is mainly concerned with knowledge work - require at least some knowledge about the underlying techniques and thus incorporate reliable elements. In other words, freelancers with insufficient knowledge about the underlying techniques may not be able to form suitable anecdotes.

However, for freelancers engaging strongly in ingratiating tactics - flattery behavior towards the customer – we do not expect a reduction in information asymmetry. In contrast, credibility is likely to decrease as a customer might get suspicious that the freelancer is trying to cover his negative qualities. This can be explained by attribution theory that deals with “how the social perceiver uses information to arrive at causal explanations for events” [54]. Attribution theory’s discounting principle states that contractors in OLM are likely to accurately discount ingratiation for the presence of plausible ulterior motives in the situation (e.g., trying to sell services). This discounting for ulterior motives ultimately results in lower perceptions of the trustworthiness of the freelancer [55]. Thus, we hypothesize the following:

\( H2a: \) The amount of ingratiating tactics use is negatively associated with a freelancer’s earnings.

\( H2b: \) There is a positive association of the amount of self-promotion tactics with earnings.

\( H2c: \) The price is positively associated with a freelancer’s earnings.

3.2. Other factors affecting earnings

Besides the signals described before, other factors are likely to affect earnings in OLM. One such factor are specific character traits that are correlated with entrepreneurial behavior and innovativeness. These traits have been shown to affect peoples’ behavior [56]. Five “cardinal traits” – also called the “Big Five” – have been defined in extant literature: extraversion, agreeableness, neuroticism, conscientiousness and openness to experience [56]. Among these five traits, two have been shown to be good proxies for entrepreneurial behavior and innovativeness - extraversion and openness [57]. Moreover, the configuration of these traits might also affect how conventional signals are sent, e.g. research on social networks has shown that extroverted people tend to transfer their behavior from real to virtual life by providing information on profile pages differently and more extensive [42 - 45]. Thus, we will assess these traits as a moderator of the conventional signal – earnings relationship. Besides the influence of traits, the individual skill and experience set of the freelancer such as the level of verbal proficiency in English, the educational level, level of availability on the platform and past project experience - the number of past projects - might be an important determinant of earnings and are included as control measures.

4. Method

To assess the proposed relationships, we gather three types of data – survey data of traits, objective data of earnings, assessment signals and the price gained directly from a freelancing platform and independent codings of self-promotion and ingratiation.

As a first step, we used web crawling technology to generate a list of 56,000 freelancers from a major freelancing platform. To ensure random sampling, the crawler used each of more than 3,000 fine grained skill-tags gathered from the platform to search for candidates and saved each freelancers’ publicly accessible information in an SQL database. To ensure that no duplicates are saved, respective code was added to the crawler. As a result, we were able to obtain a random database of over 56,000 unique freelancer profiles.

In a second step, we drew a random sub-sample from the original list to generate candidates that received an invitation to take part in the survey. An incentive of $5 was given to reduce non-response bias. This procedure resulted in 1174 completed questionnaires. We repeated the crawling process for the earnings measure after one year and were able to gain earnings data from all past projects a freelancer engaged in for the period of one year after the first measurement. This procedure enabled us to spot inactive users or fake profiles.

After merging survey and objective data, we obtained independent codings from three judges that rated each freelancer’s signaling behavior based on their self-descriptions posted on the profile page. Our obtained dataset was reduced as we excluded (a) inactive users (b) suspended / deleted users (c) users lacking a rating (d) users with incomplete information. The final dataset included 1065 users.

4.1. Sample

Our final sample of 1,065 freelancers contains 29% females and 71% males. The major proportion – 39% - of freelancers contained in the sample were located in the Indian subcontinent (India, Pakistan, Bangladesh etc.), the second largest proportion was Asia (22%) while 16% accounted for developed
countries (Western Europe, Australia, USA) and 15% for Eastern Europe (Russia, Ukraine, Poland, Baltic States etc.), 9% were freelancers from other regions. Regarding the educational level, 52% of the freelancers had an undergraduate degree, 32% hold a graduate degree with 1.4% holding a postgraduate and 7.9% holding high school or lower degree, 6.5% had another type of degree. Descriptive statistics of other variables included in our model are depicted in table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Descriptive Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earnings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingratiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-promotion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Experience</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4.2. Measures**

To assess earnings, we collected the job history of each freelancer in our final sample containing jobs started from January 2015 – January 2016. In a second step we aggregated the earnings over each freelancer, thus transforming wide project level to individual level data. Accordingly, we were able to collect earnings information for each freelancer for the year after the signaling information and all other measures were collected.

Moreover, we collected the project experience in terms of the number of projects completed on the platform for each freelancer and the self-rated availability of the freelancer on the platform (likert scale ranging from 1-5 with 5 referring to the highest measure of availability on the site).

The trait measures reflect existing scales developed in prior research and were assessed on the basis of the big five personality traits—openness to experience and extraversion. For this purpose, we used the non-reverse coded items of the standard IPIP scale for extraversion (5 items) and openness (7 items) [56]. Sample items include “I am the life of the party.” (extraversion) and “I have a vivid imagination.” (openness). Subjects were asked how well these items described them on a 7 point likert scale ranging from “(1) strongly disagree” to “(7) strongly agree”. Cronbach’s alpha was above .7 for all items.

Self-promotion and Ingratiation signals were measured through independent coding of the self-descriptions present on each freelancer’s profile page. The coding is conducted by three independent coders applying the consensual assessment technique described by Amabile [58]. Building on the respective definitions (see Table 2), coders were trained to rate each self-description regarding the intensity of the form of signaling behavior from (1) not at all to (7) very intense. Thus, we gained each coder’s scores for each freelancer. We calculated the respective average ICC measure. All ICC values were above the value of .6 suggesting good overall consistency of the codings allowing us to average them to a single measure. The number of tests, the rating, the number of portfolio items and the price are obtained directly from the profile pages as objective measures.

**4.3. Analysis**

As we had to deal with a count outcome variable, parametric tests, such as linear regression are not sufficient. In contrast, poisson regression models and negative binominal models better approximate a right skewed distribution as present in count outcomes. As the dispersion parameter exceeded the value of 0 in all our models and deviance exceeded critical cut off levels, we concluded that negative binominal regression is more sufficient to test our hypotheses than poisson regression. To better interpret our findings we calculated the \( \text{Exp(b)} \) coefficients. The latter represent odds-ratios that can be interpreted by multiplying them with the DV to approximate the effect of a one-unit IV increase. Thus, any value \( \text{Exp(b)} >1.00 \) represents a positive impact and every value \( \text{Exp(b)} <1.00 \) indicates a negative impact on the outcome variable.

**5. Results**

The results of our regression model can be found in Table 4. As expected, we can observe significant positive effects of individual differences such as english verbal proficiency (english level) and number of completed projects. The degree of availability of the freelancer on the site was also significantly related to higher earnings. Moreover, we observed significant country differences for freelancers from Asia \( \text{Exp(b)} = 2.739, p=.000 \) and India \( \text{Exp(b)} = \)
1.675, p=.029). However, we observed no direct effect of traits and no moderating effects leading us to discard the moderation model.

**Table 4: Effect of skills, traits and signals on freelancers’ 1-year earnings in USD – negative binomial regression.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>1.356***</td>
<td>1.375***</td>
<td>1.545***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience</td>
<td>1.020***</td>
<td>1.020**</td>
<td>1.020***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[No Degree]</td>
<td>.134</td>
<td>.119</td>
<td>.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[High School]</td>
<td>1.080</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td>1.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Bachelor]</td>
<td>.845</td>
<td>.822</td>
<td>.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Master]</td>
<td>1.094</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Doctorate]</td>
<td>.692</td>
<td>.576</td>
<td>.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[India]</td>
<td>1.315</td>
<td>1.272</td>
<td>1.675*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Asia]</td>
<td>1.992**</td>
<td>1.949**</td>
<td>2.739***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Developed]</td>
<td>1.637</td>
<td>1.621</td>
<td>1.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[East Europe]</td>
<td>1.862*</td>
<td>1.748*</td>
<td>1.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>.806</td>
<td>.809</td>
<td>1.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Level</td>
<td>1.575**</td>
<td>1.582**</td>
<td>1.557**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraversion</td>
<td>.933</td>
<td>.921</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness</td>
<td>.921</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td>.991*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating</td>
<td></td>
<td>.978</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tests</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.041**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingratiation</td>
<td></td>
<td>.873*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Promotion</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.277***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.047***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>1,065</td>
<td>1,065</td>
<td>1,065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersion P.</td>
<td>3.591</td>
<td>3.580</td>
<td>3.412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>df</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delta -2LL</td>
<td>120.76***</td>
<td>4.68 (n.s)</td>
<td>74.14 ***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance levels: ***p<.001  ** p < .01  * p< .05

1 Exp(B) values are displayed. Education, Country and Gender are dummy coded, baseline groups: Other education, other country and male.

Inspection of the -2LL ratio deltas reveals that signals add explanatory value compared to Model 1. However, H1a and H1b could not be confirmed in our analysis. Besides an initially (weak) positive correlation (r=.061, p=.04), portfolio items had a slightly negative significant impact on earnings. At the same time the variable was correlated with past experience (r=.354, p<.01). Thus, we assume a suppression effect of past experience. Moreover, we find no significant influence of the rating on a freelancer’s earnings. However, as seen in table 3 (mean rating = 4.84), a possible explanation of this non-finding could be upward biases present in OLM – we will elaborate further on that in the discussion section.

H1c was confirmed showing a significant positive effect of adding tests on earnings. Accordingly – as shown by the odds ratio in Table 4, adding one test to the profile increases earnings by 4.1 %.

For H2a – the negative influence of ingratiation - we can observe a significant negative effect, leading us to accept the hypothesis. H2b and H2c were confirmed: Self-promotion tactics and increased price showed a significant positive association with earnings.

6. Discussion

Our analysis shows that self-promotion, price and the number of tests taken by a freelancer are valid predictors of a freelancer’s performance in terms of 1-year earnings in OLM. For ingratiation tactics – flattery behavior towards the customer – we see a negative effect on earnings. We find no significant earnings impact of the freelancers’ rating. Prior research on hiring decisions has pointed to a potential upward bias in ratings reducing their effect on information asymmetry and ultimately their predictive validity in terms of performance. We can particularly confirm this finding through our analysis. Before risking a bad rating and potential reputation flaws, freelancers may offer their clients to reduce the charge in exchange for a good rating. Thus, its ability to reduce information asymmetry decreases. However, we find other signals to be positively associated with earnings.

First, we propose that customers should more carefully look at less structured signals that freelancers send via their profile pages, especially in self-descriptions. In fact, the use of self-promotion tactics – a conventional signal – shows a significant positive impact on performance in terms of 1-year earnings. With every unit increase of self-promotion, the odds-ratio suggests earnings to rise by 27%.

However, if conventional signaling is based on ingratiation tactics, such as flattery, we find a negative association with earnings - a unit increase in
ingratiation lowers the earnings by 13%. In a supplementary analysis, we let three independent coders judge each freelancer according to their overall impression of credibility on a 7-point scale using consensual assessment as described in the method section (“According to your impression, how credible do you think this freelancer is?”). ICC > .6). We found that credibility showed a significant positive correlation with self-promotion (r= .38, p=.000). These findings support our argument that the way people describe themselves seems to matter in OLM, particularly for the successful formation of contracts and freelancing success – even if these descriptions are not directly verifiable. Thus, in search for suitable freelancers, customers should pay attention to self-descriptions and the conventional signaling tactics employed.

Second, the suppression effect of experience on portfolio items shows that the project experience on the platform is a driver of earnings rather than references shown on the profile page.

Third, we find that the number of tests taken by a freelancer is significantly associated with higher earnings - adding a test score to the profile is associated with a 4.1% increase in earnings. This finding points to the number of knowledge tests performed by a freelancer as a viable measure for assessment. The finding that a higher price is associated with higher earnings could be interpreted twofold: On the one hand, price is a natural driver of higher earnings. On the other hand, in our dataset, price was explicitly measured as a signal (the price displayed on top of the page). This price is not identical to the average price of past projects. This opens up avenues for further research on the role of price as a signal in OLM.

Moreover, future studies could include the assessment of long term financial data through methods such as time series and add dyadic performance evaluations of customers to capture a broader variety of performance measures. It was not the focus of the current study to assess the influence of character traits on the choice of signals. However, it might be promising for future research to extend the set of character trait and skill variables that might affect how freelancers send signals in OLM. Regarding the role of character traits, we propose that future research might apply different assessment techniques apart from self-rated measures. Though we used a major freelancing platform with a high market share, we were restricted to a single platform to assess our model. Future research could extend the model to other platforms or combine multiple platforms. Last but not least, the assessment of self-descriptions did not allow us to assess defensive or reactive signals, such as disclaimers. Thus, future research could extend the range of conventional signals and assessment signals to include defensive signaling tactics.

Our research leads to different implications: We enable freelancers to better market their services by showing the potential and bandwidth of various types of signals – especially conventional signals. At the same time, clients may infer crucial job-related factors from signaling activities to improve their hiring decisions. Last but not least, our research has implications for the design of OLM platforms in terms of optimizing the positioning of signaling opportunities on the site according to their relevance.

7. Conclusion

In this study, we assessed alternative predictors of performance in OLM. In summary, we show, that both forms of signals - assessment as well as conventional signals – show the potential to reduce information asymmetry in OLM. Among others, the number of knowledge tests passed by the freelancer and the amount of self-promotion seem to predict performance in terms of increased 1-year earnings and may guide customers’ decisions for hiring freelancers in online labor markets. In contrast, we find initial evidence that ingratiation tactics, such as flattery towards the customer, are negatively associated with earnings.
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