THE PROBLEM

It’s necessary in both sociolinguistics, esp. sociophonetics, and language documentation to efficiently process large corpora of recorded speech.

Processing recordings for acoustic analysis is very time consuming.

By some estimates, manual phone-level alignment may take up to 800x the duration of the audio!

Today we will focus on how these similar processing problems may share similar solutions.
THE PROBLEM

The time and cost associated with processing audio recordings can limit the amount and kinds of data analyzed and even the kinds of questions explored.

Such limitations are especially problematic when they inhibit work on underdocumented languages.

Is there a way to expedite this process?
In recent years, new tools have been developed to time-align orthographic transcriptions to recorded speech at the word and phone level.

These forced alignment tools use speech recognition technology to create a statistical model associating phonetic symbols to speech signals.

Sociophonetics has benefited greatly from the use of forced alignment technology

- Developed primarily for majority languages like English, with large extant corpora available

Examples: Forced Alignment and Vowel Extraction (FAVE) (Rosenfelder 2013, Rosenfelder et al. 2011); EasyAlign (Goldman 2011); MAUS/WebMAUS (Kisler, Schiel, and Sloetjes 2012); Prosodylab-Aligner (Gorman, Howell, and Wagner 2011); and the Dartmouth Linguistic Automation suite (DARLA) (Reddy and Stanford 2015)
FORCED ALIGNMENT

Two digital tools developed for forced alignment of underdocumented languages:

- Prosodylab-Aligner (PL-A)
- Montreal Forced Aligner (MFA)

(Both developed at McGill University Prosody Lab)

Key features:

- Don’t require a pretrained model or a large corpus
- Allow model training and alignment using the same dataset
Large-scale ethnographic and linguistic study of post-migration Tongans/Tongan Americans in the U.S. (Adrian Bell, PI)

- Formation of new post-migration ethnolinguistic identities
- Longitudinal and cross-sectional data
- Includes data collection by crowdsourcing
  - Leads to huge linguistic data set
  - Must expedite the linguistic analysis

To identify potentially important linguistic variables in these newly formed U.S. Tongan American communities, exploring

- **linguistic variation in Tonga**
  - potential Tongan sociolinguistic variables
  - varieties of English used in Tonga
- **linguistic variation** the U.S. English contact varieties in Salt Lake Valley

Best to use same digital tools for both Tongan & ambient English
PROSODYLAB-ALIGNER
For Training and Alignment (Understudied Languages)
WHAT IS PROSODYLAB-ALIGNER?

- A set of scripts that use HTK (Hidden Markov Toolkit) speech recognition software to create time-aligned TextGrid transcriptions
- Designed with laboratory data in mind, best with short audio files
- Includes a pre-trained North American English model
- Supports model training on user-supplied data
- Does not require and time-aligned training data (uses simple text transcriptions)
- Has been used for a variety of majority and minority languages

  English (U.S.A., Canadian, British, Aviation, South African), French, Arabic (Gulf), Irish, Cantonese, German, Polish, Mandarin, Tagalog, Spanish, Cho’ol, Mi’gmaq, and Kaqchikel. (Gorman, p.c.)

http://prosodylab.org/tools/
GETTING STARTED
Instructions, Issues, and Solutions
WHAT YOU NEED

Requirements/Recommendations

Hardware
- Instructions provided for Mac, Linux, can also be used with Windows

Software Downloads
- Prosodylab-Aligner—GitHub
- Xcode (compiler)—Mac App Store
- HTK (Hidden Markov Toolkit)—HTK website
- Homebrew
- Python
- SoX utilities

What we used

Hardware: Microsoft Surface Pro ¾
- Intel Core i5-4300U / 6300U
- 8GB LPDDR3 RAM
- 256 GB SSD (data files on 200GB micro SD)

Software
- Compiled HTK (x64) on Windows using nmake
- Installed Python environment and required packages
WHAT WE LEARNED

The software can be a bit tricky and buggy, but we got it to work.

The Aligner’s developer, Kyle Gorman, was very accessible and helpful.

It’s good to have one of these on hand.
## WHAT YOU NEED

### Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Audio files (.wav)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• default at 16 kHz (automatically resamples, but you can override)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHAT YOU NEED

Requirements

Audio files (.wav)

Transcription files (.lab)
- Plain text, UTF-8
- Prescribed format: All caps, single spaces between words, no carriage returns or punctuation, regular spelling conventions (with Unicode characters)

Example
BARACK OBAMA WAS TALKING ABOUT HOW THERE’S A MISUNDERSTANDING THAT ONE MINORITY GROUP CAN’T GET ALONG WITH ANOTHER SUCH AS AFRICAN AMERICANS AND LATINOS AND HE’S SAID THAT HE HIMSELF HAS SEEN IT HAPPEN THAT THEY CAN AND HE’S BEEN INVOLVED WITH GROUPS OF OTHER MINORITIES
WHAT YOU NEED

Requirements

Audio files (.wav)
Transcription files (.lab)
Configuration file (.yaml)
  - Not mentioned in tutorials for older versions
  - Contains settings and a “list of phones”
  - English example included in download

Example
for human reading only

authors: Kyle Gorman

language: English


URL: http://prosodylab.org/tools/aligner/

basic features

tsampling: 15000 # in Hz

phoneset: [AA0, AA1, AA2, AE0, AE1, AE2, AH0, AH1, AH2, AO0, AO1, AO2, AW0, AW1, AW2, AY0, AY1, AY2, EH0, EH1, EH2, ER0, ER1, ER2, EY0, EY1, EY2, IH0, IH1, IH2, YI0, YI1, YI2, OW0, OW1, OW2, OY0, OY1, OY2, UH0, UH1, UH2, UW0, UW1, UW2, R, CH, D, DH, F, G, HH, JH, K, L, M, N, NH, F, R, S, SH, T, TH, V, W, Y, Z, ZH]
WHAT YOU NEED

Requirements

Audio files (.wav)
Transcription files (.lab)
Configuration file (.yaml)

Dictionary file
- Provides pronunciation
- Uses “phones” listed in .yaml file
- Follows prescribed format
- North American English example included in download (others available)

Example

| 115276 | TRANSISTORS T R AEO N Z IH1 S T ERO Z |
| 115277 | TRANSIT T R AE1 N Z IH0 T |
| 115278 | TRANSITED T R AE1 N Z IH0 T IH0 D |
| 115279 | TRANSMIT T R AE1 N Z IH0 T IH0 N0 |
| 115280 | TRANSITION T R AEO N Z IH1 SH AHO N |
| 115281 | TRANSITIONAL T R AEO N S IH1 SH AHO N AHO L |
| 115282 | TRANSITIONAL T R AEO N Z IH1 SH AHO N AHO L |
| 115283 | TRANSMITTING T R ADO N Z IH1 SH AHO N IH0 N0 |
| 115284 | TRANSITIONS T R AEO N Z IH1 SH AHO N Z |
| 115285 | TRANSITORY T R AE1 N Z AHO T AO2 R IYO |
| 115286 | TRANSITS T R AE1 N Z IH0 T S |
| 115287 | TRANSEY T R AE1 N Z K EY2 |
| 115288 | TRANSLETE T R AEO N S R EY1 T |
| 115289 | TRANSLATE T R AEO N S L EY1 T |
| 115290 | TRANSLATE T R AEO N S L EY1 T IH0 D |
| 115291 | TRANSLATING T R AEO N S R EY1 T IH0 N0 |
| 115292 | TRANSLATING T R AEO N S L EY1 T S |
| 115293 | TRANSLATES T R AE1 N S L EY2 T S |
| 115294 | TRANSLATING T R AEO N Z L EY1 T IH0 N0 |
| 115295 | TRANSLATING T R AE1 N S L EY2 T IH0 N0 |
| 115296 | TRANSER T R AEO N S L EY1 SH AHO N |
| 115297 | TRANSLATION T R AEO N Z L EY1 SH AHO N |
| 115298 | TRANSLATIONS T R AEO N S L EY1 SH AHO N Z |
WHAT YOU NEED

What we used

Audio files (.wav)

- Word list readings
- Collected in the field
- Recorded with lavalier mics and Zoom H4n digital recorder
- 16 bit, 44.1 kHz (did not resample)
- Some files “cleaned” in Praat (22 files, 1:41:30), others left “dirty” with only extraneous speech removed in Audacity (16 files, 2:39:44 + 5 “dirty” versions of clean files 1:23:01)
What you need

What we used

Audio files (.wav)

Transcription files (.lab)

- Originally created in Elan using controlled vocabulary
- Transcriptions of “clean” files: extracted non-empty intervals, and concatenated in Praat, then exported and formatted in Word and Notepad++
- Transcriptions of “dirty” files exported from Elan and prepared in Word and Notepad++.
- Used Tongan orthography (with ʔ instead of ‘)
What we used
WHAT YOU NEED

What we used

Audio files (.wav)

Transcription files (.lab)

Configuration file (.yaml)

- “Phone list” uses 1 digraph (ng) and one Unicode IPA character (ʔ)
- Changed 1 setting (targetrate) to prevent crash.
# for human reading only

authors: Kyle Gorman
language: Tongan
URL: http://prosodylab.org/tools/aligner/

# basic features
# samplerate: 16000 # in Hz
# CJ - modified to 44100 to match the recordings and avoid a downsample
samplerate: 44100 # in Hz

phoneset: [a, ä, e, ë, i, í, o, ö, u, ü, f, h, k, l, m, n, ng, p, s, t, v, ?]

# specs for feature extractor; change at your own risk

HCopy:

SOURCEKIND: WAVEFORM
SOURCEFORMAT: WAVE
TARGETRATE: 100000.0
TARGETKIND: MFCC_D_A_0
WINDOWSIZE: 250000.0
PREEMCOEF: 0.97
USEHAMMING: T
ENORMALIZE: T
CEPLIFTER: 22
NUMCHANS: 20
NUMCEPS: 12

# pruning parameters, to use globally; change at your own risk
pruning: [250, 100, 5000]
WHAT YOU NEED

What we used

Audio files (.wav)

Transcription files (.lab)

Configuration file (.yaml)

Dictionary file

- Created for this project from word list.
- Pronunciations based on orthography
- No alternate pronunciations included
AFI a f i
AKA a k a
ANGI a n g i
ANO a n o
AU a u
EFU e f u
EFUEFU e f u e f u
ENGEENGA e n g e e n g a
FAHI f a h i
FAKAKAUKAU f a k a k a u k a u
FANONGO f a n o n g o
FA?È f a ? è
FEFINE f e f i n e
FETU?U f e t u ? u
FÊ f ê
FÊFÊ f ê f ê
FOAKI f o a k i
FONU f o n u
FONUA f o n u a
FO?I f o ? i
FO?OU f o ? o u
FULIHI f u l i h i
FUOPOTOPOTO f u o p o t o p o t o
ISSUES AND SOLUTIONS

• Files must be saved as UTF-8 without “byte order mark” (BOM or “signature”)
• May need to check for extra spaces and carriage returns at the end of the text file
• Dictionary file must be sorted in Python’s sort order (script included)
• Apostrophes can be problematic
• May need to check for hidden .txt extensions
TONGAN TESTS
Training and Alignment
TRAINING

• Produces a acoustic model by which alignments can be created.
• Requires pairs of audio (.wav) files and transcription (.lab) files in the same folder.
• Is accomplished in three cycles, with a set number of iterations (“epochs”) in each cycle.
• Is executed by entering a Python script (command line) into Terminal (Mac) or Command Prompt (PC).

Key elements of command line:
• -c lang.yaml (configuration file path)
• -d lang.dict (dictionary file path)
• -e 5 (number of epochs)
• -t lang/ (path of folder containing training data)
• -w lang-mod.zip (zip file to which model will be written)
ISSUES AND SOLUTIONS

• Problems can be difficult to diagnose and resolve.
• Problems with script syntax or file prep/organization cause process to fail.
• It can be hard to determine which files contain out-of-dictionary words.
• Some HTK error codes are not included in the PL-A docs or HTK Book. (“ERROR [+7390] StepAlpha: Alpha prune failed”)

• Added a few lines of diagnostics to the code.
• Follow instructions carefully.
• Added code to include this information in the output. (We can make this available.)
• Had to Google the error to see how others had solved the problem. increased Targetrate setting in configuration file from 100000 (default) to 125000; feature measurements extracted every 12.5 ms rather than every 10 ms.
## TRAINING TESTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test ID #</th>
<th>Type and Number of Audio Files</th>
<th># of Epochs</th>
<th>Target Rate</th>
<th>Name of Acoustic Model Created</th>
<th>Runtime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TonT001</td>
<td>clean (22 files)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>ton-001-mod.zip</td>
<td>1:04:43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonT002</td>
<td>clean (22 files)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>ton-002-mod.zip</td>
<td>0:28:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonT003</td>
<td>clean (22 files)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>ton-003-mod.zip</td>
<td>1:00:49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonT004</td>
<td>dirty (16 files)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>125000</td>
<td>ton-004-mod.zip</td>
<td>1:11:05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonT005</td>
<td>clean &amp; dirty (38 files)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>125000</td>
<td>ton-005-mod.zip</td>
<td>1:44:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonT006</td>
<td>clean (22 files)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>125000</td>
<td>ton-006-mod.zip</td>
<td>0:17:52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonT010</td>
<td>clean (17 files)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>ton-010-mod.zip</td>
<td>0:16:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonT011</td>
<td>dirty (11 files)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>125000</td>
<td>ton-011-mod.zip</td>
<td>0:18:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ALIGNMENT

- Produces aligned TextGrids based on a previously created acoustic model.
- Requires pairs of audio (.wav) files and transcription (.lab) files in the same folder.
- Is executed by entering a Python script (command line) into Terminal (Mac) or Command Prompt (PC).

Key elements of command line:
- `-r lang-mod.zip` (‘read’: path to language model)
- `-a data/` (‘align’: directory containing files to be aligned)
- `-d lang.dict` (dictionary file path)
ISSUES AND SOLUTIONS

• Program produces no output to show progress through the process.

• Problems with script syntax or file prep/organization cause process to fail.

• Unicode characters display properly in word tier of output TextGrid but as number codes in phone tier.

• Used Task Manager (processes tab) to monitor process.

• Follow instructions carefully

• Can search and replace in TextGrid, but the characters are unique consistent so the intended Unicode character is clear.
## ALIGNMENT TESTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test ID #</th>
<th>Type and Number of Aligned Files</th>
<th>Acoustic Model Used in Alignment (and Type of Training Files)</th>
<th># of Epochs</th>
<th>Targetrate</th>
<th>Runtime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TonA001</td>
<td>clean (22)</td>
<td>ton-001-mod.zip (trained on clean)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>0:13:19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonA002</td>
<td>clean (22)</td>
<td>ton-002-mod.zip (trained on clean)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>0:12:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonA003</td>
<td>clean (22)</td>
<td>ton-003-mod.zip (trained on clean)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>0:20:20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonA004</td>
<td>dirty (16)</td>
<td>ton-001-mod.zip (trained on clean)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>0:36:58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonA005</td>
<td>clean &amp; dirty (38)</td>
<td>ton-004-mod.zip (trained on dirty)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>125000</td>
<td>0:30:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonA006</td>
<td>clean &amp; dirty (38)</td>
<td>ton-005-mod.zip (trained on clean &amp; dirty)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>125000</td>
<td>0:51:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonA007</td>
<td>dirty (16)</td>
<td>ton-002-mod.zip (trained on clean)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>0:25:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonA008</td>
<td>dirty (16)</td>
<td>ton-003-mod.zip (trained on clean)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>0:26:20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonA009</td>
<td>dirty (5)</td>
<td>ton-001-mod.zip (trained on clean)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>0:10:57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonA010</td>
<td>dirty (5)</td>
<td>ton-002-mod.zip (trained on clean)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>0:13:06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonA011</td>
<td>dirty (5)</td>
<td>ton-003-mod.zip (trained on clean)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>0:13:38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonA012</td>
<td>dirty (5)</td>
<td>ton-004-mod.zip (trained on dirty)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>125000</td>
<td>0:14:25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonA013</td>
<td>dirty (5)</td>
<td>ton-005-mod.zip (trained on clean &amp; dirty)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>125000</td>
<td>0:16:46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonA014</td>
<td>clean &amp; dirty (43)</td>
<td>ton-006-mod.zip (trained on clean)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>125000</td>
<td>0:12:02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonA017</td>
<td>clean (5)</td>
<td>ton-010-mod.zip (trained on clean)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>0:04:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonA018</td>
<td>dirty (5)</td>
<td>ton-011-mod.zip (trained on dirty)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>125000</td>
<td>0:05:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ALIGNMENT COMPARISONS  Reliability and Validity
TRAINED ON CLEAN VS. ON DIRTY

TonA001 vs. TonA005
Clean File. Beg. of Recording
TRAINED ON CLEAN VS. ON DIRTY
TRAINED ON CLEAN VS. ON DIRTY

TonA001 vs. TonA005
Dirty File. Beg. of Recording
TRAINED ON FILES TO BE ALIGNED?

TonA001: Yes
TonA017: No
QUANTITATIVE MODEL COMPARISON

Mean Euclidean Distance for Model Comparisons (F1 & F2, Averaged across All Speakers and Vowels)
QUANTITATIVE MODEL COMPARISON

Mean Euclidean Distance for Model Comparisons (F1 & F2, Averaged across All Speakers and Vowels)
Mean Euclidean Distance for Model Comparisons (F1 & F2, Averaged across All Speakers and Vowels)

Cleaning up the files used for training the acoustic models had a large effect on the alignments. (Comparisons A and D)
Cleaning up the files used for training the acoustic models had a large effect on the alignments. (Comparisons A and D)

Including dirty files along with clean files in the training data had a moderate effect on the alignments. (Comparison B)
Cleaning up the files used for training the acoustic models had a large effect on the alignments. (Comparisons A and D)

Including dirty files along with clean files in the training data had a moderate effect on the alignments. (Comparison B)

Changing the Targetrate setting from 100000 to 125000 had some effect on the alignments. (Comparison G)
Cleaning up the files used for training the acoustic models had a large effect on the alignments. (Comparisons A and D)

Including dirty files along with clean files in the training data had a moderate effect on the alignments. (Comparison B)

Changing the Target rate setting from 100000 to 125000 had some effect on the alignments. (Comparison G)

Whether the data used to train the acoustic model included the exact files to be aligned had little effect on the alignments. (Comparison I)
Cleaning up the files used for training the acoustic models had a large effect on the alignments. (Comparisons A and D)

Including dirty files along with clean files in the training data had a moderate effect on the alignments. (Comparison B)

Changing the Targetrate setting from 100000 to 125000 had some effect on the alignments. (Comparison G)

Whether the data used to train the acoustic model included the exact files to be aligned had little effect on the alignments. (Comparison I)

The number of epochs in each cycle of the acoustic model training process had little effect on the final alignments. (Comparisons K and L)
DIFFERENCE BETWEEN PL-A AND HUMAN ALIGNERS

Average Boundary Difference in Milliseconds

ProsodyLab-Aligner - Human 1
ProsodyLab-Aligner - Human 2
Human 1 - Human 2
DIFFERENCE BETWEEN PL-A AND HUMAN ALIGNERS

Average Boundary Difference in Milliseconds

- ProsodyLab-Aligner - Human 1
- ProsodyLab-Aligner - Human 2
- Human 1 - Human 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Average Boundary Difference in Milliseconds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Word Start</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word End</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Start</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone End</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Phone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DIFFERENCE BETWEEN PL-A AND HUMAN ALIGNERS

Average Boundary Difference in Milliseconds

ProsodyLab-Aligner - Human 1
ProsodyLab-Aligner - Human 2
Human 1 - Human 2

Word Start | Word End | Phone Start | Phone End | Average Phone
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Word Start | Word End | Phone Start | Phone End | Average Phone

19.23
18.18
17.15
PL-A SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Removing background noise from files used to train acoustic models seems to improve alignments, whether the files to be aligned contain background noise or not.

Cleaning files to be aligned also seems to improve performance, though not as much as cleaning the training files does.

It is better to use a smaller number of clean files than a larger number of mixed clean and dirty files when training acoustic models, even if the files to be aligned are dirty.

It is acceptable to use the same files in both the training and the alignment processes.

The default Targetrate setting of 100000 seems to produce better alignments than the adjusted 125000 setting.

Increasing the number of epochs used in the training process did not produce better alignments, though it did increase the time required to train the acoustic models.
MONTREAL FORCED ALIGNER
A New Alternative
MONTREAL FORCED ALIGNER

Created at the same lab as Prosodylab-Aligner

Like PL-A, can train and align same data or use pretrained acoustic model

Uses Python scripts like PL-A

Uses a different underlying technology:
- Kaldi ASR toolkit instead of HTK

Goes through three stages of training:
- First pass with monophone models
- Second pass using triphone models, which take into account the sound on both sides of the target phone
- Final pass that enhances triphone models by taking into account speaker differences

Has been used on:
- Bulgarian, Mandarin, Croatian, Czech, French, German, Hausa, Korean, Polish, Portuguese, Russian, Swahili, Spanish, Swedish, Thai, Turkish, Ukrainian, Vietnamese, English, Afrikaans, English, Ndebele, Xhosa, Zulu, Setswana, Sesotho sa Leboa, Sesotho, siSwati, Tshivenda, Xitsonga (working on Japanese)
ADVANTAGES OF MONTREAL FORCED ALIGNER

1. Accounts for interspeaker differences by considering speaker ID during acoustic model training.
2. Can align for multiple speakers in the same file.
3. Can align without a dictionary if working from a fairly transparent and consistent orthography.
4. Does not crash when encountering out-of-dictionary words, unknown word marked as <unk> in the output and list of unknown words generated.
5. Automatically strips punctuation from ends of words in transcripts and converts capital letters to lowercase.
6. Accepts two kinds of transcription inputs: PL-A format or Praat TextGrid format.
MFA INPUT

Audio Files

Must be in .wav format

Any sampling rate above 16kHz* accepted—consistent sampling rate for each speaker

Audio “chunks” should be less than 30 seconds (sound files for PL-A format and intervals for Textgrid format)

Transcription Files

Two allowable formats:

1. PL-A format (plain text, as described in previous slides)

2. TextGrid format (with transcribed “chunks” > 100ms and < 30 seconds)
INPUT EXAMPLE (TIER NAME = SPEAKER ID)

OUTPUT EXAMPLE (WORD AND PHONE TIERS)

TRAINING AND ALIGNMENT

Accomplished in one step using a Python script

```
bin/mfa_train_and_align corpus_directory [dictionary_path] output_directory
```

Training can be skipped if aligning with a pretrained model

```
bin/mfa_align [model_path] corpus_directory output_directory
```

List of available options for both processes:
MFA TESTS

Model Training and Alignment
# MFA Training and Alignment Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test ID #</th>
<th>Type and Number of Audio Files</th>
<th>Type of Transcription</th>
<th>Name of Acoustic Model Created</th>
<th>Runtime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MFA001</td>
<td>clean WL (same as PL-A) (22 files)</td>
<td>TextGrid</td>
<td>ton-001MFA.zip</td>
<td>:39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFA002</td>
<td>clean WL (same as PL-A); dirty WL (20-second chunks) (38 files)</td>
<td>TextGrid</td>
<td>ton-002MFA.zip</td>
<td>1:14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFA003</td>
<td>clean WL (same as PL-A); dirty WL (1-word chunks) (38 files)</td>
<td>TextGrid</td>
<td>ton-003MFA.zip</td>
<td>1:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFA004</td>
<td>clean (same as PL-A); dirty (1-word chunks); reading passage (59 files)</td>
<td>TextGrid</td>
<td>ton-004MFA.zip</td>
<td>1:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFA005</td>
<td>clean and dirty WL excerpts (10 files)</td>
<td>Text (PL-A)</td>
<td>(aligned only)</td>
<td>:02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MFA ALIGNMENT

Connected Speech
(Speaker 029)
MFA ALIGNMENT | Connected Speech
(Speaker 22)
MFA ALIGNMENT

Connected Speech
(Speaker 33)
MFA SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Quality
- Using MFA TextGrid input seems to eliminate the dirty file effects we saw with PL-A.
- MFA produced good alignments with long recordings, allowing us to preserve token context for analysis.

Efficiency
- In our experience, MFA file preparation was much more efficient than PL-A file prep.
- MFA’s “no dictionary” option will save considerable time when we begin to analyze free conversation and interview speech. (Note, this may not be as effective for languages with less transparent orthography.)
- MFA’s ability to process speech from multiple speakers in the same file will save prep time and preserve discourse context.
IMPLICATIONS AND APPLICATIONS

Feasibility and Efficiency
SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Efficiency

- Forced alignment can greatly reduce the time required to prepare files for acoustic analysis.
- It is possible and efficient to force align field recordings, even with background noise.
  - TextGrid input using MFA produces good alignments with less clean-up time
  - The amount of time saved will vary by language and the type of analysis planned

Reliability and Validity

- Forced alignment may improve general consistency and replicability
- It’s necessary to make manual boundary adjustments of TextGrids output from forced alignment
  - Positive: It allows you to dig deeply into an understudied language early on


Special thanks to Kyle Gorman, Michael McAuliffe, and Craig Johnson
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