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Abstract

Instagram, a prominent social networking site, has become a popular online shopping platform among young people. In this study, we have attempted to understand what drives people to purchase in virtual stores on Instagram. Specifically, we built upon the integrative framework of trust and identified three groups of factors explaining consumer trust in Instagram stores: trustworthiness of Instagram stores (i.e., perceived benevolence, perceived integrity, and perceived competence), propensity to trust, and external environment (i.e., Key Opinion Leader (KOL) endorsement and peer customer endorsement). These factors are expected to influence consumer trust in Instagram stores, and trust in turn determines consumer intention to purchase. The model was empirically tested with 157 Instagram users. Perceived benevolence, perceived integrity, and KOL endorsement were found to be significant factors affecting consumer trust in Instagram stores, and trust was found to have a strong relationship with consumer purchase intention. The results of this study are expected to advance the trust literature in the context of social commerce and to offer practical guidelines to Instagram storeowners.

1. Introduction

The popularity of online shopping along with the wide adoption of social networking sites (SNSs) have recently given rise to a new e-commerce paradigm called social commerce (s-commerce). S-commerce is considered a subset of e-commerce that uses SNSs to facilitate social interactions between consumers and vendors and thus promotes the buying and selling of products and services [1-3].

Instagram has recently become the most popular s-commerce site among young people. By September 2015, the number of active monthly Instagram users had reached 400 million, accounting for 20% of all internet users [4]. Among these active users, over 40% were young people aged below 24 [5]. Instagram had an average order value of US$65 per order, while Facebook had only US$55 per order [6]. Furthermore, Instagram provides brands with 25% more engagement than other SNSs [7].

In recent years, increasing numbers of people have opened virtual stores on Instagram. Doing so is simple: users open an account, post sample pictures of products and descriptions, and encourage their followers to shop online. Consumers usually show interest in the products they like by leaving messages on the posts or by contacting the storeowner using messaging apps, such as WeChat, WhatsApp, or Line. Payment is made through PayPal or other traditional methods. Selling products in a virtual store on Instagram represents a new shopping mode for most consumers, and consumer trust is a critical issue in consumer purchase decision on Instagram.

Prior studies have indicated that building consumer trust is a key antecedent of online purchasing [8, 9]. Because online transactions are not done face-to-face, buyers usually require trustworthiness and useful information to better understand stores and products [10]. However, the extant literature focuses mainly on consumer trust in the context of e-commerce [11]. With the growing popularity of s-commerce, more attention should be paid to how trust could affect online purchasing in such a highly interactive online business environment (i.e., SNSs). In e-commerce, consumer trust is mainly determined by the trustworthiness of online stores (e.g., integrity, competence, benevolence) [12]. Consumers may evaluate the trustworthiness of companies in e-commerce through direct online shopping experiences. However, in the s-commerce context, many consumers attempt to gather more product-related information before they actually buy [13]. Specifically, customers can derive product-related information from actual buyers and from other SNS users. Thus, understanding the role of consumer trust in the s-commerce context has
significant meaning for understanding the contemporary model of online purchasing.

Accordingly, this study aims to develop a research model explaining consumer purchase decision in Instagram stores. We build upon the integrative framework of trust [14] and identify three groups of factors: trustworthiness of Instagram stores (i.e., perceived benevolence, perceived integrity, and perceived competence), propensity to trust, and external environment (i.e., Key Opinion Leader (KOL) endorsement and peer customer endorsement). These factors are expected to influence consumer trust in Instagram stores, and trust in turn determines consumer intention to purchase.

We believe that this study makes important theoretical and practical contributions. On the theoretical side, we extend and empirically validate the integrative model of consumer trust in the context of Instagram, thus enriching the trust literature in the context of e-commerce. On the practical side, we identify the key elements for consumer trust in Instagram shopping. We believe that the findings will provide valuable insights for virtual storeowners.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we provide a review of the previous literature on consumer trust. We then introduce the research model and hypotheses. Later, we describe the research methodology and report the findings. Finally, we conclude our paper by discussing implications for both research and practice.

2. Literature Review

Trust is a vital concept in a business relationship. This concept has been examined in various contexts, including bargaining [15], industrial buyer–seller relationships [16], distribution channels [17], partner cooperation in strategic alliances [18], and market research [19]. With the electronic commerce boom in the early 2000s, the concept of consumer trust in the online environment has received a great deal of attention from IS researchers [e.g., 20, 21, 22]. Cheung and Lee [14] proposed an integrative framework explaining consumer trust in online shopping built upon Lewicki and Bunker’s [23] classification of trust research with three major theoretical perspectives: the views of social psychologists, the views of personality theorists, and the views of sociologists and economists.

2.1. The views of social psychologists

Social psychologists consider trust at the interpersonal and group levels. They define trust as an expectation about the behavior of others in transactions. This perspective focuses on how contextual factors enhance or inhibit trust development or maintenance [23]. Mayer and Schoorman [24] identified the three most frequently cited attributes of trustworthiness: competence, benevolence, and integrity. These three attributes have also been widely used in the context of electronic commerce [e.g., 10, 20, 25].

2.2. The views of psychologists

Personality theorists view trust as a belief, expectancy, or feeling that is deeply rooted in the personality [26]. They focus on the specific developmental and social contextual factors that shape the readiness of trust. In other words, they believe that people with different developmental experiences, personality types, and cultural backgrounds vary in their formation of trust. Propensity to trust is a frequently examined concept in the electronic commerce context [e.g., 14, 22].

2.3. The views of sociologists and economists

Sociologists and economists investigate the issue of trust in terms of institutional mechanisms. In other words, individuals must generalize their trust to large organizations made up of individuals with whom they have low familiarity, low interdependence, and low continuity of interaction. They focus on how institutions and incentives are created to reduce anxiety and uncertainty associated with transactions among relative strangers [27]. The institutional mechanisms have been empirically tested in the electronic commerce context [e.g., 28, 29, 30].

3. Research Model and Hypothesis

Consumer purchasing in Instagram stores is a relatively new phenomenon. To the best of our knowledge, there is no empirical study that uses a theoretical framework to examine the factors driving consumer purchase intention in Instagram stores. This mode of shopping represents a new experience for most consumers; thus, we expect that consumer trust is a key driver of consumer purchase decision.

In this study, we build on Lee and Turban’s [22] definition of trust and define consumer trust in Instagram stores as the willingness of a consumer to be vulnerable to the actions of an Instagram store based on the expectation that the storeowner will behave in certain agreeable ways irrespective of the ability of the consumers to monitor or control them.
Furthermore, we build upon the integrative trust framework [14] and propose three major types of factors associated with the formation of consumer trust in Instagram stores.

Figure 1 depicts our research model. Consumer trust is a key factor in determining consumer purchase decision. Drawing from the social psychological perspective, the perceived trustworthiness of Instagram stores (i.e., perceived benevolence, perceived integrity, and perceived competence) is hypothesized as one of the determinants of consumer trust in an Instagram store. The view of personality theorists suggests that the propensity of trust is associated with consumer trust in an Instagram store. Finally, the sociological viewpoint highlights that factors related to the external environment (i.e., key opinion leader endorsement and peer customer endorsement) are important to consumer trust in an Instagram store.

### 3.1. Perceived trustworthiness

The social psychological perspective emphasizes interpersonal trust and directs us to focus on the relationship between consumers and Instagram storeowners. Because of the lack of physical presence and the newness of this mode of shopping, most consumers do not know the Instagram stores well enough to buy products from them. In other words, consumers do not know which Instagram stores are trustworthy. In line with the potential threats consumers perceive about shopping in Instagram stores, we propose that Instagram storeowners should build up their trustworthiness in three key areas: (1) perceived benevolence, (2) perceived integrity, and (3) perceived competence.

#### 3.1.1. Perceived benevolence

The concept of perceived benevolence refers to the perception that the trustee is doing something good for the trustors [24]. One important characteristic of Instagram stores is that they are usually owned by a small-scale business or a one-person business. The interaction between consumers and Instagram stores is closer: consumers can easily observe how storeowners interact with other consumers and thus form perceptions of the benevolence of the virtual stores. Thus, we expect that when consumers find that Instagram storeowners provide care and good service to their customers, they are more likely to develop trust in their Instagram stores.

_Hypothesis 1:_ The perceived benevolence of an Instagram store is positively related to consumer trust in an Instagram store.

#### 3.1.2. Perceived integrity

The concept of perceived integrity refers to the trustors’ perceptions of the honesty of the trustee [24]. One of the major challenges of Instagram stores is that Instagram is not a formal online marketplace. Unlike Taobao or other well-established third-party online marketplaces, Instagram was not originally built for commerce. When consumers show interest in the products shown in a virtual store on Instagram, they contact the storeowner directly and arrange payment through other channels or by traditional methods. The products are delivered through the mail or by physical pick-up in certain locations. In other words, consumers cannot change their mind after they have paid, and they have to arrange product delivery with the virtual storeowners. Thus, when consumers find that Instagram stores show consistent actions and a fair buying–selling process, they are likely to form trust toward these stores.

_Hypothesis 2:_ The perceived integrity of an Instagram store is positively related to consumer trust in an Instagram store.

#### 3.1.3. Perceived competence

The concept of perceived competence is related to the ability of the trustee [24]. There are many virtual stores on Instagram, most of which sell similar products. Accessories, clothes, and cosmetics are the most popular products sold on Instagram stores. As Instagram is a photo-driven platform, the ability to display products with high-quality photos is the most important way to draw consumers’ attention. In addition, if storeowners are able to demonstrate knowledge about their products, consumers are more likely to form trust in them. For instance, some
storeowners provide their consumers with additional information about their products on their Facebook pages or blogs.

Hypothesis 3: The perceived competence of an Instagram store is positively related to consumer trust in an Instagram store.

3.2. Propensity to Trust

The psychological perspective focuses on personality traits. Propensity to trust refers to the general propensity to be willing to depend on others [24]. This disposition of trust is stable over time and across situations. Thus, we expect that it should also play a role in explaining consumer trust in Instagram stores. We expect that a person with a higher propensity to trust is more likely to trust an Instagram store.

Hypothesis 4: Propensity to trust is positively related to consumer trust in an Instagram store.

3.3. External Environment

Stewart [31] adapted theories from sociology and marketing and suggested that trust can be transferred. Specifically, trust can be transferred from trusted individuals or trusted groups. In the context of Instagram stores, consumers are not able to check the products before purchasing. They may have to rely on other parties to evaluate the Instagram stores. Therefore, we expect that endorsements from other parties affect consumer trust in Instagram stores. In the Instagram environment, there are two major parties, the key opinion leader (KOL) and other consumers, that are visible to consumers.

3.3.1. KOL endorsement. KOL refers to a consumer who provides information and leadership to followers in making their consumption decisions [32]. KOLs are considered experts in specific areas, and their followers trust their recommendations [33]. The perceived effectiveness of KOL endorsement is the degree to which a KOL is perceived to provide trustworthy and useful information that guides consumption decisions. Indeed, one important characteristic of Instagram is that it is built on an infrastructure of hashtags and tagging, which enables users to accumulate a large number of loyal followers, giving rise to KOLs. These KOLs have established their authority on niche products and are able to influence followers’ consumption choices. In the absence of direct information regarding Instagram stores’ reputation, consumers rely on endorsements from KOLs, whose recommendations are valued.

According to attribution theory [34], a trustor (i.e., a potential customer) could transfer his/her trust in an associated entity (i.e., a KOL) to a trustee (i.e., an Instagram store). We expect that the higher the perceived effectiveness of a KOL’s endorsement is, the higher the trust formed toward the Instagram store. In that sense, if Instagram storeowners are able to get these KOLs to recommend their products, consumers are likely to form trust toward the stores.

Hypothesis 5: The perceived effectiveness of a KOL’s endorsement is positively related to consumer trust in an Instagram store.

3.3.2. Peer customer endorsement. Peer customer endorsement refers to positive recommendations made by existing customers to potential customers [35]. In the context of e-commerce, researchers have found that consumers tend to exhibit more trust toward those with similar characteristics to them [10]. In that sense, peer customer endorsement is an important source of trust evaluation [35]. One challenge of Instagram stores is that consumers are not able to check the products before purchasing; they have to rely on third parties to evaluate the stores. Potential customers tend to perceive existing customers with similar characteristics and values in a positive light [36, 37]. Thus, we expect that the higher the perceived effectiveness of peer customer endorsement is, the higher the trust formed toward the Instagram stores.

Hypothesis 6: The perceived effectiveness of peer customer endorsement is positively related to consumer trust in an Instagram store.

3.4. Consumer Purchase Decision

Trust is a vital concept for online purchasing because it can help ease consumers’ uncertainties and reduce the risks associated with buying from Web-based vendors [12]. Thus, building up trust-related behavior is the initial step for web vendors and consumers. Previous studies have shown that when consumers develop trust in an Internet store, they are more willing to share information, make purchases, and interact with others [10]. Similarly, we expect that consumer trust in an Instagram store influence purchase intention.

Hypothesis 7: Trust in an Instagram store is positively related to consumer intention to purchase.
4. Study Design and Method

4.1. Data Collection

Given the predominantly Internet-savvy target audience of Instagram users, we used an online survey for data collection. We invited students at a local university to participate in an online survey because the most active age group among Instagram users is between 16 and 24 years of age [5, 38]. An invitation message with a URL to the online survey was sent by email and posted on a number of platforms, including Facebook groups. A screening question was asked to ensure that the respondents had prior experience in visiting Instagram stores.

4.2. Measures

All the measurement items for this study were adopted from valid scales with modifications made to fit the current context (See appendix A). We assessed all the constructs using perceptual scales with responses measured on a seven-point Likert scale (7 = strongly agree; 1 = strongly disagree). To ensure construct validity and reliability, we used multiple items to assess each construct.

4.3. Sample Profile

A total of 205 responses were collected. 26 were screened out because of a lack of Instagram store visiting experience and 20 were deleted because of incompletion, yielding a sample of 157 for subsequent statistical analysis. Of the 157 respondents, 66 were male and 91 were female, which is consistent with the gender distribution of Instagram users, of whom over 60% were females in 2014 [38]. Table 1 summarizes the descriptive statistics for the sample.

Table 1. Demographic profile of the respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic Characteristics</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Previous Instagram store visiting experience(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>85.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 or under</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>95.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Results

The measurement model and structural model were validated using the partial least squares (PLS) technique, which employs a component-based approach to estimation and imposes minimal restrictions on data distribution. PLS is preferred in this study over other analytical techniques because it is exploratory in nature. Following the two-step analytical approach, we first performed the psychometric assessment of the measurement model, followed by an evaluation of the structural model. This approach ensures that the conclusions of the structural model are drawn from a set of measures with desirable psychometric properties [39, 40].

5.1. The Measurement Model

5.1.1. Convergent validity. Convergent validity indicates the extent to which the items of a scale that are theoretically related to each other should correlate highly. Convergent validity is assessed using three criteria: (1) the composite reliability (CR) should be at least 0.70 [41], (2) the average variance extracted (AVE) should be at least 0.50 [42], and (3) all item loadings should be greater than 0.70 [39, 43]. As illustrated in Table 2, all latent constructs exceed the recommended thresholds, with CR values ranging from 0.86 to 0.96, AVE values ranging from 0.69 to 0.92, and item loadings higher than 0.70, thus supporting convergent validity.
5.1.2. Discriminant validity. Discriminant validity is the extent to which a measurement is not a reflection of some other variable. It examines the correlation between the measure of interest and the measures of other constructs [43]. Discriminant validity can be verified when the square root of the average variance extracted for a construct is higher than its correlations with all other constructs [43]. As illustrated in Table 3, the square roots of all the AVEs were larger than all of the cross-correlations, suggesting adequate discriminant validity.

5.1.3. Common Method Variance. Because the data were collected from a single source (i.e., a self-report questionnaire), there is the potential for the occurrence of common method bias [45]. Harman’s one-factor test [46, 47] was performed to determine the extent of the method variance in the current data. All the variables in the questionnaire were subjected to an exploratory factor analysis (principal components factor analysis with no rotation). According to this test, if a single factor emerges from the factor analysis or one “general” factor accounts for most of the variance (>50%), common method variance is deemed to be present. The results suggest that no single factor explained more than 50% of the variance, indicating that the common method effects are not a likely contaminant of the results observed in this investigation.

5.2. The Structural Model

Using SmartPLS (Version 3.0), the structural model and hypotheses were assessed by examining path coefficients, their significance levels, and their associated t-values [41]. The significance of all the paths in the model was assessed via 500 bootstrap runs. The results support four of the hypotheses (see Figure 2).

Our model explains 57.0% of the variance in consumer trust in Instagram stores and 47.0% of the variance in intention to shop in Instagram stores. Consumer trust has a significant effect on purchase intention ($\beta = 0.69, t = 16.13$). Surprisingly, not all the structural paths are statistically significant in the proposed research model. Among the six factors affecting consumer trust, only perceived benevolence ($\beta = 0.36, t = 3.77$), perceived integrity ($\beta = 0.21, t = 2.24$), and key opinion leader endorsement ($\beta = 0.23$,...
were found to be significant, providing support for H1a, H1b, and H1e.

Figure 2. Results

6. Discussion

Motivated by the need to better understand consumer purchase decision in Instagram stores, we synthesized the three key theoretical perspectives of trust and developed an integrative model explaining consumer purchase decision in Instagram stores. Three groups of factors are identified in the study: the trustworthiness of Instagram stores (i.e., perceived benevolence, perceived integrity, and perceived competence), propensity to trust, and external environment (i.e., Key Opinion Leader (KOL) endorsement and peer customer endorsement). These three groups of factors are hypothesized to influence consumer trust in Instagram stores, and trust in turn determines consumer intention to purchase.

Empirical testing of our research model on 157 active Instagram users found that consumer trust has a significant effect on purchase intention in Instagram stores. This supports the theoretical argument that building trust is a vital element for eliminating uncertainties and triggering consumer purchase intention. In addition, our results show that the perceived benevolence and perceived integrity of Instagram stores and key opinion leader (KOL) endorsement are significant factors explaining consumer trust in Instagram stores. However, the effects of perceived competence of Instagram stores, propensity to trust, and customer endorsement are not found to be significant. This paper contributes to our conceptual and empirical understanding of consumer purchase decision in Instagram stores. The implications of this study are noteworthy for both researchers and practitioners.

6.1. Implications for Researchers

This is one of the few studies that contribute a holistic review of the underlying factors affecting consumer trust in the context of Instagram. The diverse views of trust illustrate the complementarity of the three streams of trust literature. In this study, the proposed model explains 57% of the variance of consumer trust in Instagram stores. The results demonstrate that an integration of cross-disciplinary studies is important to advance our theoretical understanding of consumer trust in social commerce. Furthermore, the integrative model has been validated in the context of social commerce in general and in Instagram stores in particular.

In addition to empirically establishing the relationship in the integrative model, this study has introduced factors specific to social commerce. KOL endorsement, a newly proposed factor, exhibits a strong relationship with consumer trust. While customer endorsement is a key factor in explaining trust in the e-commerce context, it is interesting that it is less important in the social commerce environment.

6.2. Implications for Practice

Consumer trust remains an important currency in the online environment. To encourage consumers to purchase in virtual stores on Instagram, Instagram storeowners should spend resources to build consumer trust. Internally, they should pay more attention to the perceived benevolence and perceived integrity of their stores. In other words, they should demonstrate care and goodwill to their customers (e.g., through campaigns) and keep their promises to their customers (e.g., delivery times and payment arrangements). Externally, Instagram storeowners should line up with KOLs. Specifically, they should launch campaigns with KOLs or invite KOLs to try their products and provide reviews and comments.

7. Limitations and Directions for Future Research

When interpreting the results, a number of limitations should be acknowledged, which may lead to several avenues for further research. This research adopts the integrative model of trust with a focus on three key theoretical perspectives: social psychologists, psychologists, and sociologists. One possible extension of this model is to incorporate technology-specific variables to provide a more accurate depiction of the technologies examined. For
instance, the quality of photos and videos are core components of Instagram, and the current model does not capture how these features influence the formation of trust.

Care must be taken when extrapolating the findings of this study to other cultures and technologies. The respondents were recruited predominantly from Asia, which has stronger collectivism. Our use of a single sample of respondents might have introduced a bias that lessens the generalizability of our findings. Thus, future researchers should test the theoretical model with new datasets obtained from respondents from different cultures to increase its generalizability.

As this study investigates one of the most popular social platforms, Instagram, its results may be generalizable only to Instagram users. Future research should replicate and validate the theoretical model for other social commerce platforms to improve its generalizability. Finally, the data were collected in a cross-sectional research setting. Future studies should incorporate the longitudinal research design to demonstrate how trust influences purchase intention, which in turn influences purchase behavior.
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9. Appendix A

Table A1. Measurement items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchase Intention (PIT)</td>
<td>I am considering purchasing from Instagram now.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I would seriously contemplate buying from Instagram.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I am likely to make future purchases from this site.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I am likely to make future purchases from this site.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer customer endorsement (CE)</td>
<td>Instagram web site displays testimonials from satisfied customers.</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I can see from the comments in Instagram store that existing customers are satisfied with the Instagram store.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I believe customer recommendation from Instagram to be true.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer feedback in Instagram store will improve my online shopping performance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer feedback in Instagram store will enhance my shopping effectiveness.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer feedback in Instagram store will increase my productivity when shopping online.</td>
<td>[30,45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer opinion leader endorsement (KOL)</td>
<td>There are many reputable third party certification bodies (for example, Celebrities, Key Option Leader available for assuring the trustworthiness of Internet vendors.</td>
<td>[30,45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I think third party recognition bodies are doing a good job.</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Existing third-party recognition bodies are adequate for the protection of Internet shopper interest.</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived benevolence (PB)</td>
<td>You will closely followed the suggestions from reputable third party certification bodies and went to the recommended Instagram store.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Competence (PC)</td>
<td>I believe that Instagram vendor would act in my best interest.</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If I required help, Instagram vendor would do it best to help me.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Integrity (PI)</td>
<td>Instagram vendors have the ability to handle sales transactions on the Instagram.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Instagram vendors have sufficient expertise and resources to do business on the Internet.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propensity to Trust [44]</td>
<td>Instagram vendors have adequate knowledge to manage their business on the Instagram.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Trust (TR)</td>
<td>Instagram vendors will not charge Instagram shoppers more for Instagram shopping.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Instagram vendors are honest to their consumers.</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Instagram vendors act sincerely in dealing with customers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>My tendency to trust a person/thing is high.</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I tend to trust a person/thing, even though I have little knowledge of it.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I trust Instagram vendors keep customers the best interests in mind.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Instagram shopping is trustworthy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I think that Instagram vendors will not do anything to take advantage of its customers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I believe that the Instagram stores I visit keep its promises and commitments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I trust information on Instagram to be true.</td>
<td>[30,45]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


