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1. Introduction

Hotspots dominate volcanism interior to Earth’s tectonic plates and are related to convective processes and chemical heterogeneity in the underlying mantle. The characteristics of the Hawaiian hotspot, in particular, have been key to the development of classical plume theory, a well-established paradigm for understanding the hotspot phenomenon (Morgan, 1972). According to this theory, a high-temperature, buoyant plume rises vertically from the base of the mantle to pond beneath the lithosphere in a ∼100-km-thick “pancake.” The ascending plume supports a broad area of uplifted seafloor, known as the hotspot swell, and sustains localized decompression melting that feeds age-progressive volcanism on the overriding plate (Morgan, 1972; Sleep, 1990; Ribe and Christensen, 1994, 1999).

Regional seismic tomographic studies of the Hawaiian hotspot (Wolfe et al., 2009, 2011; Laske et al., 2011) have called aspects of this model into question. Whereas anomalously low seismic velocities found in the lower and upper mantle confirm the presence of a high-temperature mantle plume, the upper-mantle low-velocity anomaly appears to have a greater vertical extent and is laterally more asymmetric about the island chain than predicted by the classical plume theory (Figs. 1–2). In particular, the station-averaged, body-wave travel-time residuals across the Hawaiian swell are larger than would be expected from a ∼100-km-thick pancake on the basis of independent surface-wave constraints (Wolfe et al., 2009, 2011; Laske et al., 2011). Moreover, episodic, high-amplitude variations in volcanic flux along the chain are evident in the geologic record for the past ∼85 Myr (van Ark and Lin, 2004; Vidal and Bonneville, 2004). These characteristics of the Hawaiian hotspot suggest that plume upwelling is more complex in space and time than portrayed by the classical model.

The classical plume theory emphasizes thermal buoyancy of typical mantle material, or peridotite, to drive upwelling. However, there is growing petrologic and geochemical evidence, especially at Hawaii, for the presence of eclogite in the magma source region (Hauri, 1996; Farnetani and Samuel, 2005; Sobolev et al., 2005, 2007; Herzberg, 2011; Jackson et al., 2012; Pietruszka et al., 2013), thought to originate from subducted oceanic crust and to be entrained by upwelling flow in the lower mantle (e.g., Deschamps et al., 2011). Because eclogite is denser than peridotite throughout the upper mantle and most of the lower mantle (Hirose, 2002; Aoki and Takahashi, 2004), the ascent of plumes containing both peridotite and eclogite will be influenced by a competition between non-diffusive, negative chemical buoyancy and diffusive, positive thermal buoyancy (e.g., Davaille, 1999). Compared with classical thermal plumes, such thermochemical plumes therefore display much more complex dynamics. For example, dense materials carried by the plume can induce large fluctuations in ascent...
Fig. 1. Overview of bathymetry, volcanism, and seismic tomography of the Hawaiian hotspot. Bathymetry (colors) and contours of shear-wave velocity anomaly (Wolfe et al., 2009) at 200 km depth (1% contour interval for thick contours), two independent datasets, display consistent asymmetry about the island chain and indicate more buoyant asthenosphere southwest than northeast of the island of Hawaii. Triangles show sites of recent (<1 Ma) volcanic activity (Hanyu et al., 2005; Robinson and Eakins, 2006; Dixon et al., 2008). The pink dashed line denotes the location of the cross-section in Fig. 2. (For interpretation of the colors in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Fig. 2. Vertical cross-section of shear-wave velocity beneath Hawaii (Wolfe et al., 2009) along a northwest–southeast-trending profile (denoted by the pink dashed line in Fig. 1). (For interpretation of the colors in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

The modeling simulations were produced using an extended version (see Section 2.1) of the finite element code Citcom (Moresi et al., 1996). The model domain of the numerical experiment was 5280 km long, 3300 km wide, and 660 km deep. It was divided into 768 × 512 × 96 finite elements with rectangular faces and with the smallest elements (i.e., highest resolution) about 4.5 × 4.5 × 4.5 km in dimensions and located in the asthenosphere near the hotspot. A velocity condition of 80 km/Myr was applied at the top boundary to simulate Pacific plate motion. Accordingly, the boundaries at the front and back were open to inflow and outflow, respectively. The other boundaries were closed except for a small circular area of radius 360 km around the base of the plume (which is centered 3135 km from the front boundary and 1650 km from the sides) to allow influx of plume material. At the bottom boundary, the plume’s thermal anomaly was specified to decrease as a Gaussian function of radial distance from the center, with a peak amplitude of 300 K and a half width of 75 km.

The modeled plume contains enclaves within a radial distance r_p of its center, and the enclaves make up 15% of the mass of this portion of the plume (cf. Sobolev et al., 2005). Outside of r_p, the ambient mantle was taken to contain no eclogite, but instead 15%
of a refractory lithology that does not melt beneath the hotspot (cf. Stracke et al., 2011). The remaining 85% of the mantle both within and beyond $r_P$ was taken to be peridotite, of which 20% was hydrous peridotite with a water content of 300 ppm by weight, and 65% was dry peridotite. We considered two models with different values of $r_P$: 90 km in case A and 100 km in case B. Accordingly, the excess temperature at the distance $r_P$ from the deep plume axis is $\sim$110.4 K in case A and $\sim$87.3 K in case B, and only the portion of the plume core with higher excess temperatures is assumed to contain eclogite.

2.1. Numerical approach

Compared with the original, parallelized version of Citcom (Moresi et al., 1996), the numerical code has been extended to allow modeling of the dynamics and melting of thermochemical plumes. The extended Boussinesq approximations have been used to solve the equations of conservation of mass, momentum, and energy. Numerical particles have been added to track compositional feedbacks required the application of a second-order Runge–Kutta predictor–corrector scheme for time integration of the aforementioned equations.

2.2. Melting parameterization

The mantle source was assumed to be composed of a fine-scale mixture of the distinct lithological components, each with a different melting behavior. For eclogite, we used the melting parameterization derived from the batch melting experiments of Yaxley et al. (1994), and we assumed that eclogitic melts react instantly with ambient therzolites in a 1-to-1 fashion to form silica-free garnet pyroxenites (Yaxley and Green, 1998; Sobolev et al., 2005, 2007). Eclogite melting and hybridization of the ambient mantle were taken to consume and release equivalent amounts of latent heat, respectively. Pyroxenites were assumed to melt at a much shallower depth than eclogites, according to the experiments of Pertermann and Hirschmann (2003). As such, the volume fraction (porosity) of pyroxenite melts relative to the pyroxenite part of the mantle $\Phi_{PYX}$ exceeded a critical threshold of $\Phi_{PYX} = 10\%$, about half of the pyroxenitic melts were extracted instantaneously to leave a residual porosity of $\Phi_{PYX} = 5\%$. We capped melting of eclogite and pyroxenite at degrees of melting of 60% and 55%, respectively (Sobolev et al., 2005, 2011). Peridotite was assumed to melt dynamically according to the parameterization of Hirschmann (2000), with critical and residual porosities in the peridotite part of the mantle $\Phi = 0.4\%$. Relative to dry peridotite, the solidus of hydrous peridotite was reduced as a function of the water content in the liquid $c_L$ [i.e., by 43\% K/\%wt (Katz et al., 2003)] using

$$c_L = \frac{c_0}{D_{H_2O} + D_{H_2O}(1 - F_{HP})}$$

for $F_{HP} < \Phi_C$, and

$$c_L = \frac{c_0}{D_{H_2O} + D_{H_2O}(1 - \Phi_C)}$$

for $F_{HP} \geq \Phi_C$, where $c_0$ is the starting water content in hydrous peridotite, $c$ is the water content in hydrous peridotite, $F_{HP}$ is the melt depletion of hydrous peridotite, and $D_{H_2O}$ is the bulk partitioning coefficient of water (Zou, 1998). The values of the above parameters are given in Table 1.

2.3. Buoyancy parameterization

Melt retention, temperature, the abundance of eclogite, and depletion in peridotite were assumed to control the density of the mantle:

$$\rho = \rho_0 - \alpha(T - T_0) + (\rho_M - \rho_0)\Phi$$

$$+ \Delta \rho_F(F_{DP}\Phi_{DP} + F_{HP}\Phi_{HP}) + \Delta \rho_{ECL}\Phi_{ECL}$$

where

$$\Phi = \Phi_{PYX} + \Phi_{DP} + \Phi_{HP}$$

### Table 1

Governing parameters in the geodynamical models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$c_{dy}$</td>
<td>water content below which peridotite behaves like dry peridotite</td>
<td>6 (ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_0$</td>
<td>reference water content in hydrous peridotite</td>
<td>300 (ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_P$</td>
<td>specific heat capacity</td>
<td>1250 (Jkg$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{H_2O}$</td>
<td>water partitioning coefficient</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E^*$</td>
<td>activation energy</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-5}$ (J/mol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>gravitational acceleration</td>
<td>9.8 (m/s$^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>latent heat of melt</td>
<td>$5.6 \times 10^4$ (J/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{plume}$</td>
<td>half width of plume thermal anomaly</td>
<td>75 (km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_0$</td>
<td>reference temperature</td>
<td>1350 ($^\circ$C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V^*$</td>
<td>activation volume</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-5}$ (m$^3$/mol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>thermal expansivity</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-5}$ (K$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>adiabatic gradient</td>
<td>0.3 (K/km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta T_{plume}$</td>
<td>plume peak thermal anomaly</td>
<td>300 (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta \rho_{ECL}$</td>
<td>excess density of eclogite</td>
<td>110–220 (kg/m$^3$) (see text)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta \rho_{PYX}$</td>
<td>density change with depletion</td>
<td>$-160$ (kg/m$^3$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\zeta$</td>
<td>melt lubrication exponent</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{eff}$</td>
<td>effective anisotropic viscosity</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{19}$ (Pas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa$</td>
<td>thermal diffusivity</td>
<td>$10^{-6}$ (m$^2$/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\xi$</td>
<td>depletion stiffening coefficient</td>
<td>310.6383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_D$</td>
<td>reference density</td>
<td>3300 (kg/m$^3$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_M$</td>
<td>density of melt</td>
<td>2800 (kg/m$^3$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi_C$</td>
<td>critical porosity in peridotite</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi_{C, PYX}$</td>
<td>critical porosity in pyroxenite</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi_R$</td>
<td>residual porosity in peridotite</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi_{R, PYX}$</td>
<td>residual porosity in pyroxenite</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and subscripts PYX, ECL, HP, and DP refer to the pyroxenite, eclogite, and hydrous and dry peridotite components, respectively. Regarding the other variables, T is temperature, \( T_0 \) reference temperature, \( \rho \) density, \( \rho_0 \) reference density, \( \alpha \) thermal expansivity, \( \Phi_{XX} \) volume fraction of a component, \( \psi_{XX} \) porosity in a component, \( \varphi \) bulk porosity, \( F_{XX} \) depletion of a component, \( \rho_m \) density of melt, and \( \Delta \rho_{ECL} \) excess density of solid eclogite. Deposition in dry and hydrous peridotite affects density, as heavy minerals and oxides are preferentially consumed during melting (Schutt and Lesher, 2006), so \( \Delta \rho_{P} \) is the density reduction from depletion. The excess density of solid eclogite \( \Delta \rho_{ECL} \) was fixed at 220 kg/m\(^3\) in the depth range 300 to 410 km and 110 kg/m\(^3\) elsewhere (Aoki and Takahashi, 2004).

2.4. Rheology parameterization

The effects of composition on rheology were also taken into account (in addition to those of temperature and depth). Retained melt lubricates mantle rocks (Kohlstedt and Zimmerman, 1996), but stiffening related to dehydration of hydrous peridotite (Hirth and Kohlstedt, 1996) is thought to be dominant (Karato, 1986). A Newtonian rheology with an activation energy that is consistent with experimental constraints (Karato and Wu, 1993) has been applied:

\[
\eta = \eta_0 \exp \left( \frac{E^* + \rho_m g z V^*}{RT} - \frac{E^*}{RT_m} \right) \exp(-z^\xi) \Phi_{WP}^{-\xi}
\]

where \( \eta \) is viscosity, \( \eta_0 \) reference viscosity, \( z \) depth, \( g \) gravitational acceleration, \( \xi \) melt lubrication exponent, \( V^* \) activation volume, \( E^* \) activation energy, \( R \) ideal gas constant, and \( \rho_m \) the water content below which peridotite behaves as dry peridotite (values given in Table 1). The depletion stiffening factor \( \xi \) was scaled such that viscosity of a peridotite that is dehydrated from \( c = 100 \) ppm by weight to \( c \leq c_{dry} \) increases by a factor of 100 (Hirth and Kohlstedt, 1996). The reference viscosity \( \eta_0 \) used translates into an effective asthenospheric viscosity (far from the hotspot) of \( \eta_{eff} \approx 1.8 \times 10^{19} \) Pas.

3. Thermochemical plume dynamics in the upper mantle

Our models were designed to simulate the Hawaiian plume, and their predictions are in general agreement with the most robust geological and geophysical constraints (also see Supplementary material). The modeled plume is up to 300 K hotter than the ambient mantle (cf. Herzberg et al., 2007), and its hottest core carries a fine-scale mixture of 15% eclogite and 85% peridotite. Eclogite is denser than ambient-mantle peridotite throughout the upper mantle, thereby slowing down and widening the thermochemical plume compared with an equally hot classical thermal plume. The thermochemical plume sustains (i.e., in case A) a volcanic flux of \( \sim 175,000 \) km\(^3\)/Myr (cf. van Ark and Lin, 2004; Robinson and Eakins, 2006) and supports a hotspot swell of height \( \sim 1 \) km and width \( \sim 1200 \) km (cf. Wessel, 1993; Crosby and McKenzie, 2009).

Model results show that the doubling of the density difference between eclogite and peridotite in the depth range \( \sim 300–410 \) km has a major effect on the dynamics of the upwelling plume (Fig. 3C; Aoki and Takahashi, 2004). Once the hot and initially buoyantly rising plume core rises through the olivine–wadsleyite phase transition at 410 km depth, it becomes slightly negatively buoyant (Fig. 4). Accordingly, as material continues to rise through this phase transition from below, it accumulates above 410 and spreads laterally in the mid upper mantle to form a deep eclogitic pool (DEP; Figs. 3–4). The warm eclogite-barren material that initially surrounded the eclogitic core in the deep plume conduit rises and becomes deflected up and around the DEP, wrapping it as a warm, buoyant sheath. This buoyant sheath restricts extensive lateral spreading of the DEP and, together with the underlying buoyant plume, dynamically supports the excess weight of the DEP.

The flux of plume material rising into the base of the DEP becomes approximately balanced by outflow, with most such outflow through the roof of the DEP and only a small fraction leaking out of its trailing edge (i.e., “downwind” in the ambient flow driven by plate motion). When the material rising out of the DEP crosses the 300-km-deep coesite–stishovite phase transition...
Fig. 4. Net buoyancy in cross-sections through the plume perpendicular to plate motion. The sum of thermal and compositional buoyancy is colored for cases A (A, C) and B (B, D). Contours denote potential temperature (i.e., temperature with adiabatic heating removed) with the 1500°C isotherm dashed. Locations of cross-sections (A) and (C) are marked in Fig. 3C by dashed pink and dotted pink lines, respectively; locations of cross-sections (B) and (D) are marked in Fig. 3C by dotted pink and dashed pink lines, respectively. (For interpretation of the colors in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Fig. 5. Summary of model results for case B. For description, see Fig. 3 caption. For an animated version of three-dimensional representation in panel (A), see movie S2. The pink dotted line marks the location of the cross-section shown in Fig. 4B. (For interpretation of the colors in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

in eclogite, it regains a positive net buoyancy (Fig. 4). This positive buoyancy creates a positive feedback, in which the material rises more quickly and draws more material from below. At depths of about 250–150 km, upwelling is reinforced further by melting of eclogite and the assumed instantaneous reaction of eclogitic melts with peridotite to form pyroxenite. Since the density of pyroxenite is not expected to differ markedly from that of peridotite, this process effectively removes the negative compositional buoyancy intrinsic to the plume core (shading in Figs. 3B–C, 5B–C). Consequently, the shallow upwelling behaves similar to that of a classical thermal plume. It is narrower than the underlying DEP and thermochemical plume conduit, and when it encounters the base of the lithosphere, it deflects into a thin (<100 km) thermal pancake, which supports the hotspot swell.

Near the deflection point, decompression melting is most voluminous and expected to feed hotspot volcanism. In terms of its flux and geographic age progression, the predicted volcanism agrees well with the Hawaiian shield stage (Supplementary material). The high isobaric melt productivity of mafic materials in the simulations causes the pyroxenite-derived lavas to compose the major volume fraction, $X_{PX} = 80–90\%$, of the magmas produced. This prediction is high compared with recent estimates for Hawaii, i.e., $X_{PX} = 30–60\%$ (Sobolev et al., 2005). This discrepancy could be indicative of lower eclogite contents in the most central Hawaiian plume core (e.g., ≤30 km from the axis), which melts most extensively beneath the hotspot, than modeled. In such an alternative scenario, the dynamics and geometry of the DEP are expected to be only marginally affected as long as the material surrounding the most central core (i.e., radial distances from $\sim30$ km to 90–100 km), which predominantly feeds inflation of the flanks of the DEP, contains $\sim15\%$ eclogite. However, we note that the predicted $X_{PX}$ values are upper bounds: melt–melt and melt–solid interactions, not simulated here, would tend to increase the effective melt productivity (and decrease the solidus temperature)
The result is asymmetric convection in the DEP, a tilted plume convection (Fig. 3B, 4, 5B, 7). These model predictions are influenced by ambient-mantle downwellings [from small-scale subduction] and a bilaterally asymmetric thermal structure in the shallow pancake (Fig. 5B). The predicted small flux of peridotite relative to that of pyroxenite (Mallik and Dasgupta, 2012). Small adjustments in melt productivity and solidsus temperature would indeed substantially reduce \( X_{\text{px}} \), as degrees of melting of peridotite in our models are limited by latent heat consumption during pyroxenite melting (cf. Katz and Rudge, 2011).

Additional decompression melting well away from the hotspot occurs above the upwelling material around the periphery of the DEP and as a result of small-scale convection within the shallow pancake (cf. Ballmer et al., 2011). The predicted small flux of this melting and its widespread geographic distribution agrees well with observed occurrences of rejuvenated-stage (Dixon et al., 2008) and arch volcanism (Hanyu et al., 2005) (Figs. 1 versus 6). The predicted difference in origin for the rejuvenated-stage and arch volcanism (i.e., from the plume core) is consistent with geochemical evidence that these two forms of volcanism have distinct source materials (Yang et al., 2003; Hanyu et al., 2005; Fekiacova et al., 2007; Dixon et al., 2008).

Our models further predict asymmetries in upper-mantle thermochemical structure. The rise of the hottest plume-core material through the DEP is driven by an internal pressure gradient between the deep plume conduit feeding its base (high pressure) and the shallow plume drawing material out of its roof (low pressure). This pressure gradient overcomes the negative buoyancy intrinsic to the DEP material (Fig. 4) and drives material upward but not necessarily vertically, as the pressure field in the DEP is also influenced by ambient-mantle downwellings [from small-scale sub-lithospheric convection (Ballmer et al., 2011)] that compete with the rising plume outskirt material to shape the sides of the DEP. The result is asymmetric convection in the DEP, a tilted plume conduit above, and a bilaterally asymmetric thermal structure in the shallow pancake (Figs. 3B, 4, 5B, 7). These model predictions are consistent with observations of asymmetries in mantle seismic velocity (Wolfe et al., 2009, 2011; Laske et al., 2011) and seafloor bathymetry that both indicate more buoyant asthenosphere southwest than northeast of the island of Hawaii (Fig. 1).

The time-dependent behavior of our thermochemical plume models is sensitive to the distribution of eclogite material in the deep plume stem. In case A, for which the radius of the eclogite-bearing part of the plume stem is 90 km, upwelling is nearly steady. In contrast, in case B, for which eclogitic material extends outward to just a slightly larger radius of 100 km, upwelling is strongly time-dependent (movies S1 versus S2). On its less hot sides, the DEP in case B is denser and supported by a thinner outer sheath of warm, eclogite-barren material (Fig. 4). The DEP is less well confined and hence wider than in case A. Flow out of the roof of such a less stable DEP (as governed by the positive feedback mechanisms related to the coesite–stishovite phase transition) cannot be steadily sustained by plume ascent from below. Any such outflow intermittently drains the hottest core of the DEP, thereby reducing its average density. The resulting fluctuations in average DEP density, in combination with ambient-mantle convection (Fig. S5B), cause the DEP and shallow plume to pulse and wobble. Consequently, the rise of material out of the DEP changes in flux, eclogite content, and location relative to the lower mantle.

Such a temporal variability of plume behavior can account for some of the large fluctuations in magmatism and inferred variations in crustal thickness along the Hawaiian chain (van Ark and Lin, 2004). In case B, hotspot volcanic flux changes by 50–100% on timescales of ~10 Myr (Fig. 8; movies S2, S3). Fig. 8 shows that the amplitudes of these variations are similar to those documented, with the predicted spacing between the major peaks (i.e., 8.4–14.3 Myr) bracketed by those measured (i.e., 8.3–17.4 Myr) (van Ark and Lin, 2004). We note that this is one example calculation, and we have yet to explore the range of parameters that control the pulsations. We further emphasize that the predicted variations arise entirely out of the dynamics of thermochemical convection in the upper mantle, not from any fluctuations below 410 km depth. Any thermochemical plume pulsations rising out of the lower mantle are likely to operate on longer timescales (\( \geq 30–50 \) Myr, Lin and van Keken, 2005) and would be superimposed on those rising out of the DEP.

The predictions for case B also have important implications for the geochemical distinction between the two subchains of the youngest Hawaiian volcanoes, the so-called Loa and Kea trends (Fig. 1) (Abouchami et al., 2005; Greene et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2011; Weis et al., 2011). Variations in the form and position of the upwelling plume above the DEP cause the drainage pattern of the DEP to be episodically strongly asymmetric in case B; material predominantly rises out of the DEP from where it has accumulated, and not necessarily from directly above the deep plume conduit (Fig. 5B; movie S2). Such an asymmetric drainage ultimately affects the thermal structure (Figs. 5, 7) and the distribution of pyroxenite in the melting zone. The associated asymmetry predicted in the geographical expression of pyroxenite-derived versus peridotite-derived lavas \( X_{\text{px}} \) (Fig. 6) is consistent with geochemical evidence for a stronger pyroxenite-source signature along the Loa side of the Hawaiian chain than along the Kea side (Sobolev et al., 2005; Greene et al., 2010; Herzberg, 2011). Bianco et al. (2011) further demonstrate that such geographical variations in \( X_{\text{px}} \) would be expressed as subparallel trends in \( ^{208}\text{Pb}^{204}\text{Pb} \) versus \( ^{206}\text{Pb}^{204}\text{Pb} \), which is a characteristic criterion used to distinguish Loa versus Kea compositions (Abouchami et al., 2005). Thus, the geochemical difference between Loa and Kea lavas may be related to an asymmetric rise of the hottest eclogite-bearing plume core through the upper mantle rather than to a bilateral asymmetry of the deep plume conduit with distinct materials on the two sides (cf. Abouchami et al., 2005; Farnetani and Hofmann, 2009; Huang et al., 2011; Weis et al., 2011).
4. Comparison with seismic models

Finally, we investigated how the double layering of a thermochemical plume would affect the seismic velocity anomalies resolved by regional tomography. To do so, we predicted synthetic shear-wave velocities from case A. In computing a first synthetic, we focused on the effects of temperature on seismic velocity (Faul and Jackson, 2005), because such dependence is better understood than the effects of composition or melt. A second synthetic (Fig. 9A) includes the effects of eclogite on seismic velocities [according to Xu et al. (2008), cf. Table S1]. Seismic resolution tests [as described by Wolfe et al. (2009), cf. Supplementary material] were performed for these two synthetic structures to create images that can be compared directly with shear-wave velocity models determined from the inversion of actual seismic data (Fig. 2). For benchmark purposes, we repeated the resolution test for a synthetic structure derived from a classical thermal plume model (Ballmer et al., 2011) (Fig. 9B). When optimal dynamic plume models (thermal and thermochemical) have different plume radii but similar buoyancy fluxes to closely match the most robust observations at Hawaii (e.g., swell dimensions and volcanic flux), an important prerequisite for comparison with each other and with seismic data.

Visual comparison of the resolution tests lends credulity to our thermochemical plume models. The predicted double layering of plume material is not expected to be resolved by the current body-wave inversions; vertical smearing produces a single, broad low-velocity body with a thickness that is comparable to that imaged by regional tomography (Fig. 2), independent of whether (Fig. 9C) or not (not shown) the effects of eclogite on seismic velocities were considered [both these images are visually almost indistinguishable; hence, our interpretations do not critically depend on the poorly constrained effects of eclogite on seismic velocities (cf. Connolly and Kerrick, 2002)]. The single-layer, classical thermal plume is instead predicted to be imaged as a much less pro-
Fig. 9. Comparison of predicted seismic anomalies for two different plume models. Vertical cross-sections through three-dimensional models of synthetic seismic shear-wave velocities for (A) a double-layered thermochemical plume model (i.e., case A of this study including the effects of eclogite on seismic velocities), and (B) a single-layered thermal plume model without eclogite [i.e., the reference case of Ballmer et al. (2011)]. The location of the cross-section is denoted in Fig. 1 as a pink dashed line. Insets (top) display predicted (red) and observed (black) station-averaged, travel-time residuals along the profile of the cross-sections. For comparison, the blue dashed line shows predicted travel-time residuals for case A excluding the effects of eclogite on seismic velocities. Resolution tests of the synthetic models for (C) the thermochemical plume model and (D) the thermal plume model can be directly compared with the shear-wave velocity model (Fig. 1) from Wolfe et al. (2009) derived from tomographic inversion of observations from seafloor and land stations. For visibility, the color scales in (C) and (D) are saturated with localized minima at \(< -3.5\%\), and localized maxima at \(\sim 2.5\%\). (For interpretation of the colors in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

nounced low-velocity body in the upper mantle, in terms of its overall size, particularly its width at the characteristic depths of the DEP, as well as the maximum velocity anomaly in the shallowest 400 km (Fig. 9D). Also, in all predicted seismic models, the low-velocity body is surrounded on three sides by a high-velocity curtain made of downwelling material from the base of the lithosphere. This material is more apparent in Fig. 9D (thermal plume) than in Fig. 9C (thermochemical plume) as an artifact of the location of the cross-sections relative to the coolest (fastest) portion of the downwellings.

That the seismic data can indeed better be matched by the thermochemical plume model is also well illustrated by quantitative comparison of station-averaged travel-time residuals (insets in Figs. 9A–B). The observed and predicted residuals are directly computed from the data and the synthetics, respectively, thereby excluding any potential bias from the distribution of sources and stations, or the inversion process. The travel-time residuals are an integrative quantity of the thickness and velocity anomaly of the seismic structure underlying each station. The total variation of \(\sim 2.52\) s (peak to peak) in the observed residuals (Wolfe et al., 2009) is better matched by the thermochemical plume model (\(\sim 1.24\) s and \(\sim 1.40\) s with and without the effects of eclogite on seismic velocities, respectively) than by the thermal plume model (\(\sim 0.81\) s), once note is taken that the effects of melt and volatile content are not included. These effects should add to the variation predicted in both cases, but more so for the thermochemical plume model, because eclogitic melts can be stabilized up to much higher porosities (10–15%) and over greater depth ranges than...
periodotitic melts (Yasuda et al., 1994; Yaxley and Green, 1998; Spandler et al., 2008; Mallik and Dasgupta, 2012). Abundance of deep eclogitic melts, stabilized at depths of 150–250 km, would increase the travel-time residuals and expand the apparent thickness of the predicted low-velocity body. Independent seismic evidence for a widespread thermal anomaly much like that of the predicted DEP has come from a recent receiver-function study that images a broad depression of the 410 km discontinuity beneath the Hawaiian swell (Huckfeldt et al., 2013).

5. Discussion and conclusion

This study characterizes the dynamics of an eclogite-bearing thermochemical plume, and by comparing model predictions to observations, provides evidence for such a plume beneath the Hawaiian hotspot. The rise of a thermochemical plume out of a near-neutrally buoyant layer in the mid upper mantle (i.e., the DEP) can give a self-consistent explanation for the asymmetric and time-dependent nature of the Hawaiian hotspot as documented in seafloor topography across the swell (Fig. 1) and crustal thickness variations along the chain (van Ark and Lin, 2004; Vidal and Bonneville, 2004), respectively. As revealed by our resolution tests, a single upper-mantle pancake layer from a classical thermal plume occupies a volume that is too small to explain seismic constraints, whereas thermochemical convection can stabilize greater volumes of hot plume material in the upper mantle. Finally, the asymmetric character of a double-layered plume offers alternative explanations for geographical variations in lava chemistry such as the Loa and Kilauea trends.

Other studies of mantle tomography and convection on a global scale have shown that hot and compositionally dense layers are present in the lower mantle and can markedly affect Earth’s interior evolution (Nakagawa and Tackley, 2005; Labrosse et al., 2007; Garnero and McNamara, 2008; Deschamps et al., 2011). Our work reveals evidence for entrainment and transport of these dense materials by mantle plumes. Probably the most critical episode in the successful ascent of a thermochemical plume from its deep, lower-mantle source to the base of the lithosphere is its crossing through the narrow depth range of about 300–410 km, where the negative buoyancy of mafic materials peaks due to the effects of phase transitions (Aoki and Takahashi, 2004). Less critical may instead be the passage through the thick lower-mantle shell, in which the negative buoyancy decreases with increasing depth, as mafic materials are less compressible than ambient-mantle pyrolite (cf. Samuel and Bercovici, 2006). Thus, it may be the passage through the upper mantle that limits the content of mafic materials that can appear in the source of hotspot volcanism. Like Hawaii, other hotspots may be underlain by thermochemical upwellings, and identifying their geochemical and geophysical surface expressions will improve our understanding of heat and chemical transport through the mantle.
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