An Son and the Neolithic of Southern Vietnam
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The Site of An Son

An Son (An Sơn in Vietnamese) is situated on the edge of the active floodplain of the Vam Co Dong, a relatively small river that rises close to the Cambodian border and flows southward through Tay Ninh and Long An Provinces of southern Vietnam to meet the Vam Co Tay River (Fig. 1). It then flows jointly to the sea with the Vam Co Tay across the northern side of the Mekong Delta. In recent years, a large number of archaeological sites dating from the Neolithic to the Iron Age have been investigated in the two Vam Co drainage systems and the adjacent Dong Nai and Saigon River valleys, all forming the hinterland to modern Ho Chi Minh City. Many date from the Bronze and Iron Ages (1000 B.C.–A.D. 500), but the Vam Co Dong has a concentration of tested Neolithic sites dating from the late third and second millennia B.C., including An Son, with Loc Giang and Dong Canh Nong nearby, and Dinh Ong farther upstream in Tay Ninh Province (Nishimura 2002; Nishimura and Nguyen 2002).

Peter Bellwood, Marc Oxenham, Anna Willis, Carmen Sarjeant, Philip Piper and Fredeliza Campos are affiliated with the School of Archaeology and Anthropology, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia. Bui Chi Hoang, Nguyen Quoc Manh, Dang Ngoc Kinh, and Nguyen Khanh Trung Kien are affiliated with the Center for Archaeological Studies, Southern Institute of Sustainable Development, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. Nguyen Kim Dzung, Vo Thanh Huong, and Nguyen Lan Cuong are affiliated with the Institute of Archaeology, Vietnam Academy of Social Sciences, Hanoi, Vietnam. Noel Amano is affiliated with the Archaeological Studies Program, University of the Philippines, Diliman, Quezon City, Philippines. Hirofumi Matsumura is affiliated with the Department of Anatomy, Sapporo Medical University, Japan. Katsunori Tanaka is affiliated with the Department of Anatomy, Sapporo University, Japan. Nancy Beavan-Athfield is affiliated with the Otago School of Medical Sciences, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand. Thomas Higham is affiliated with the Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit, RLAHA, University of Oxford, United Kingdom. Van Ngoc Bich, Tran Thi Kim Quy, and Nguyen Phuong Thao are affiliated with the Long An Provincial Museum, Tan An City, Vietnam. Yo-Ichiro Sato is affiliated with the Research Institute of Humanity and Nature, Kyoto, Japan.
An Son is located in An Ninh Tay commune, Duc Hoa District, close to the northern border of Long An Province, where the Vam Co Dong approaches close to a Cambodian border salient. The site is now about 75 km from the sea as the crow flies and lies about 300 m east of the Vam Co Dong River, on a slightly raised Quaternary alluvial terrace that flanks the modern active floodplain. It thus lies very close to the greater Mekong deltaic plain, in a location that was probably fairly close to the shoreline during the period of maximum Holocene marine transgression. Exactly when this transgression occurred is discussed by Nguyen et al. (2000), Ta et al. (2002), and Proske et al. (2010), who all favor a sea level high stand of +2.5 to +4.5 m between 4000 and 3000 B.C. It is possible that the future site of An Son was under mangrove vegetation at this time, and very close to the contemporary shoreline. However, by the time the archaeological site was founded, between 2500 and 2000 B.C., the sea had already retreated and the shellfish from the site suggest lower riverine or upper
estuarine conditions that could have been up to 10 km or more inland. The site itself contains no evidence for consumption of open shoreline marine foods.

An Son was initially reported in 1938 by Louis Malleret and Paul Levy (Malleret 1963: 94–95), who collected a number of stone adzes from the surface and dug a 2 m deep sondage, finding decorated pottery and animal bones. A second location produced some bricks and a piece of worked sandstone, suggesting the possible former presence of Khmer architecture, presumably now beneath the modern temple building on top of the mound (Fig. 2). Today, the An Son mound rises to about 4 m above the natural alluvium on which the site is founded, and the 1:25,000 Hiep Hoa map sheet indicates that its base is about 2.5 m above the present river bank. The mound was 160 m in maximum west to east dimension and 90 m across when surveyed in 1997, thus covering an area of about 1.5 ha. Today, the cutting away of most of the outer fringes of the former mound has reduced the now-levelled summit to about 90 by 65 m.

An Son was initially excavated on a large scale in 1978, both on top of the mound and below it to the northeast. The mound-top trench was dug to a depth of 4.5 m, and one of the northeastern trenches beyond the mound yielded an adult and two juvenile extended burials. The 1997 excavation by Nishimura and Nguyen (2002) was located on top of the mound at its eastern end. Like the mound-top trench in 1978, the 1997 trench was excavated to archaeologically sterile soil at a depth of 4 m, revealing a series of horizontal layers that were divided into three main stratigraphic units by the excavators (see published section in Nishimura and Nguyen 2002: Fig. 3). The basal Unit 3, varying from 10 to 80 cm thick, incorporated the alluvial palaeosol that forms the stratigraphic foundation of the site and predated the visible importation of alluvial floor layers. Unit 2 above, between 2 and 3 m thick, contained a large number of alternating floors of quarried alluvial silt separated by occupation layers. The floors appear to have supported houses with posts set in holes up to 50 cm deep, although no specific plans could be reconstructed and it is unclear whether or not they had raised floors. Unit 1 above contained disturbed and historical period materials. Because the original section published as Nishimura and Nguyen 2002, Fig. 3, is no longer available for reproduction we show here instead (Fig. 3) a very similar section from the neighboring mound of Loc Giang (Fig. 1), drawn by Philip Piper and Armand Mijares in 2010. Loc Giang has similar layer characteristics to An Son.

By the time our excavation began in 2009, the mound top had been leveled and planted with trees, and the temple had been rebuilt on a much larger scale with many additional outbuildings. The west end of the mound had been terraced back from the road to create a steep slope 5 m high to make way for parking and a teahouse. The southern side of the mound was terraced into a vertical cliff to make space for a yard and houses. The eastern end had been stripped of soil to an unknown thickness, in part to construct some of the earthen house foundations that lift nearby modern houses above the Vam Co Dong monsoon season flood level, and in part to create some now abandoned rice fields to the east of the mound. In 2004 this area was chosen for the major excavation, which produced most of the An Son extended burials (Pham et al. 2006). A further trench that produced 2 juvenile skeletons and the burial of an adult female was dug at the base of the mound in 2007 (Van et al. 2008).

In 2009, three long trenches were laid out close to the 2004 trench series with the major intention of locating and excavating more burials. Trench 1 was 3 by 12 m,
Fig. 2. The site of An Son, showing excavated trenches in 1978, 1997, 2004, 2007, and 2009.
Fig. 3. A four-meter high section from the neighboring mound of Loc Giang (see Fig. 1 for location), drawn by Philip Piper and Armand Mijares in 2010. Loc Giang has similar layer characteristics to An Son.
Trench 2 was 5 by 5 m, and Trench 3 was 2 by 10 m in size. A 2 by 1 m test pit was dug at the western end of the site to intersect the basal levels that still survived beneath the recent terracing (see Fig. 2 for locations).

**AN SON STRATIGRAPHY AND THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE SITE**

The 1997 Pit 1, dug to a depth of 4 m from the top of the mound at its eastern end, indicates that most of the stratigraphy within the main mound was built up horizontally as a result of the constant renewal of occupation floors by layers of imported alluvial soil (see Fig. 3 for Loc Giang) presumably mined close to the site. Around the edge of the main mound, the picture is very different. Trench 1 dug in 2009 revealed clearly the outward dumping of sloping layers of occupation material, often containing large quantities of pottery sherdage, succeeding one another in what was probably a concentric fashion around the edge of the mound. Some of these layers slope downward and away from the mound at angles of 30 degrees or more.

The upper layers in the area of 2009 Trench 2 had all been stripped away before the 2004 and 2009 excavations commenced, but the excavated layers also sloped one over the other at angles of about 30 degrees, in this case toward rather than away from the main mound. Photos of the adjacent 2004 excavation also show clearly these sloping layers, which belonged to a subsidiary mound that incorporated both the 2004 and 2009 Trench 2 excavation areas, located to the east of the main mound. This is quite an important observation because it shows that large mounds such as An Son did not always accumulate continuously around only one center in a completely uniform fashion, as noted also by Higham and Higham (2009:138) for the culturally related mound of Ban Non Wat, located in the Mun River basin, a tributary of the Mekong, in northeastern Thailand.

Trench 2 of 2009 contained no certain postholes, so presumably this area was not used for house construction. The layers in Trench 2 give the impression of being successive rake-out deposits emanating from the eastern subsidiary mound, and those in the western half of the trench contained high densities of large potsherds, including many easily identifiable rims and lugs of the pottery stoves (ca rang in Vietnamese; see Fig. 5d below) that are very characteristic of Vam Co Dong Neolithic assemblages. They also contained many freshwater gastropod shells, fish bones, and baked clay lumps, the latter of uncertain function but perhaps placed in cooking fires to retain heat, similar to the use of large stones in other regions of Southeast Asia and Oceania (stones do not occur naturally in the environs of An Son). Many soil concretions were also found in the eastern part of the trench, thought to indicate intensive cooking and perhaps the soaking into the ground of large amounts of animal fat.

Trench 3 contained mainly a dense and internally unstratified deposit consisting of clay and many small potsherds, the clay transported in suspension off the main mound by rainfall. A similar mass of transported clay and small potsherds also occurred in the southern end of Trench 1.

**THE DATING OF THE AN SON OCCUPATION**

Thirty-three radiocarbon dates are available for An Son, although two marine shell samples from 1978 are from unknown contexts and are not included in Table 1, a summary of the radiocarbon dates. The remaining 31 dates include a series of 13 (all
Table 1. Radiocarbon dates from An Son, all seasons of excavation (negative ages are B.C.), calibrated at 68.2% probability using the INTCAL09 calibration curve (Reimer et al. 2009) and OxCal 4.1 (Bronk Ramsey 2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>AMS ANU#</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C</th>
<th>$^{14}$C Age</th>
<th>±</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>68.2% Cal. Range</th>
<th>95.4% Cal. Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Samples from the lowest stratigraphic occupation horizon (the palaeosol), 1997 and 2009</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 2009 H3 B5 layer 12</td>
<td>AMS ANU 9711</td>
<td>-35.69</td>
<td>3880</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>residue on potsherd*</td>
<td>-2457</td>
<td>-2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 2009 H2 A1 palaeosol</td>
<td>AMS ANU 10105</td>
<td>-19.45</td>
<td>3825</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>-2344</td>
<td>-2201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 2009 H2 D5 palaeosol</td>
<td>AMS ANU 13012</td>
<td>-28.50</td>
<td>3450</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>-1871</td>
<td>-1694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 1997 Layer 3-5</td>
<td><strong>Tka 11541</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3990</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>-2863</td>
<td>-2214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 1997 Layer 3-5</td>
<td>Tka 11526</td>
<td>3840</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>-2428</td>
<td>-2206</td>
<td>-2461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 1997 Layer 3-5</td>
<td>Tka 11817</td>
<td>3780</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>-2434</td>
<td>-2035</td>
<td>-2566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 1997 Layer 3-5</td>
<td>Tka 11816</td>
<td>3690</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>-2198</td>
<td>-1964</td>
<td>-2341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Samples from intermediate horizons, post-palaeosol but pre-burials</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 1997 Layer 3-4</td>
<td>ANU 10880</td>
<td>3820</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>-2435</td>
<td>-2145</td>
<td>-2471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 1997 Layer 3-3</td>
<td>Tka 11823</td>
<td>3310</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>-1737</td>
<td>-1458</td>
<td>-1890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 1997 Layer 3-2</td>
<td>Tka 11824</td>
<td>3310</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>-1727</td>
<td>-1496</td>
<td>-1874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 1997 Layer 3-1</td>
<td>Tka 11822</td>
<td>3390</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>-1866</td>
<td>-1537</td>
<td>-1890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 1997 Layer 2-12</td>
<td>Tka 11821</td>
<td>3320</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>-1748</td>
<td>-1451</td>
<td>-1946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 1997 Layer 2-17</td>
<td><strong>ANU 10881</strong></td>
<td>3370</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>-1749</td>
<td>-1532</td>
<td>-1884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 1997 Layer 2-17</td>
<td>Tka 11820</td>
<td>3310</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>-1727</td>
<td>-1496</td>
<td>-1874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 1997 Layer 2-14</td>
<td>Tka 11818</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>-1608</td>
<td>-1395</td>
<td>-1690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 1997 Layer 2-12</td>
<td>Tka 11819</td>
<td>3190</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>-1613</td>
<td>-1320</td>
<td>-1741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 2009 H2 C4 50–60</td>
<td>AMS ANU 9710</td>
<td>-18.15</td>
<td>3370</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>freshwater shell</td>
<td>-1736</td>
<td>-1616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human burial 2004 H3 M10</td>
<td>NZA 34102</td>
<td>−14.20</td>
<td>3231</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>tooth enamel</td>
<td>−1521</td>
<td>−1456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human burial 2004 H3 M14</td>
<td>NZA 34110</td>
<td>−13.80</td>
<td>3209</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>tooth enamel</td>
<td>−1499</td>
<td>−1448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human burial 2004 H3 M8</td>
<td>NZA 34101</td>
<td>−14.10</td>
<td>3199</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>tooth enamel</td>
<td>−1495</td>
<td>−1443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human burial 2004 H3 M13</td>
<td>NZA 34109</td>
<td>−13.60</td>
<td>3187</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>tooth enamel</td>
<td>−1494</td>
<td>−1433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human burial 2004 H3 M3</td>
<td>NZA 34100</td>
<td>−13.70</td>
<td>3168</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>tooth enamel</td>
<td>−1491</td>
<td>−1416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human burial 2009 H1 M1</td>
<td>NZA 34050</td>
<td>−13.40</td>
<td>3149</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>tooth enamel (cranium only)</td>
<td>−1451</td>
<td>−1406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human burial 2009 H1 M3</td>
<td>NZA 34173</td>
<td>−13.50</td>
<td>3109</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>tooth enamel</td>
<td>−1420</td>
<td>−1325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human burial 2009 H1 M2</td>
<td>NZA 34172</td>
<td>−14.20</td>
<td>3060</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>tooth enamel</td>
<td>−1386</td>
<td>−1310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human burial 2007 H1 M3</td>
<td>NZA 34112</td>
<td>−13.20</td>
<td>3009</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>tooth enamel</td>
<td>−1313</td>
<td>−1213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human burial 2004 H3 M1</td>
<td>NZA 34092</td>
<td>−13.20</td>
<td>2967</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>tooth enamel</td>
<td>−1259</td>
<td>−1130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human burial 2004 H3 M17</td>
<td>NZA 34111</td>
<td>−13.40</td>
<td>2956</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>tooth enamel</td>
<td>−1253</td>
<td>−1129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human burial 2009 H2 M3</td>
<td>NZA 34174</td>
<td>−13.80</td>
<td>2953</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>tooth enamel</td>
<td>−1212</td>
<td>−1129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*60.88±0.57% and 61.72±0.25% modern carbon respectively.

**University of Tokyo.
charcoal) from the 1997 stratified main mound sequence; another 12 (all human tooth enamel) from the 2004, 2007, and 2009 adult burials; 3 charcoal and 2 food residue dates from the basal layers reached in 2009; and a single freshwater shell date from mid-sequence in 2009 Trench 2. All are incorporated into the plot shown in Fig. 4. They reveal a very coherent sequence of 21 samples, both charcoal and tooth enamel, that date from about 1800 to 1200 B.C., preceded by a series of determinations from six charcoal and two food residue samples that predate 2100 B.C. Samples ANU 9712 and 13012, both of charcoal from the base of 2009 Trench 2, are intermediate in date.
Figure 4 suggests that An Son was occupied continuously from about 2300 to 1200 B.C., with no stratigraphic break or hiatus visible anywhere in the excavations. The cultural sequence, in terms of pottery rim form and decoration, also shows no interruption. The dates are slightly bunched toward the beginning and end of the sequence because this is where most of the samples were collected—basal layers at one extreme versus the later burial sequence at the other. Admittedly, the tooth enamel dates, without exception, are younger than the charcoal dates, but the burials excavated in 2004, 2007, and 2009 are all younger than the excavated layers in those trenches, because the upper layers from which they were cut had been removed before excavation began. So the correlation of date with stratigraphic order is very convincing. So too are the dates for the charcoal and food residue samples, because all the oldest ones come from the basal layers in the site. None stand out as strikingly inverted or out of place. Although one might wish to argue that some of the charcoal dates are too old (the old wood factor), and conversely that some of the tooth enamel dates are too young, there is really nothing in the site stratigraphy that could support such conclusions for all of the dates.

Determinations from tooth enamel have been shown to be problematic (e.g., Hedges et al. 1995), therefore some comments on the validity of the method need to be presented. The view of Zazzo and Sali’ge (2010), who recently reviewed the dating of bioapatite, is that tooth enamel is more resistant than bone to diagenetic alteration. It has proven reliable for extraction of stable isotope signals from archaeological samples because enamel is characterized by a closed and sequestered mineral structure, and is thus resistant to diagenetic effects (Lee-Thorp 2000; Lee-Thorp and Sponheimer 2003; Sponheimer and Lee-Thorp 1999). The δ13C of biogenic apatite with very low porosity, such as tooth enamel, can survive most diagenesis and retain a primary isotopic signature (Wang and Cerling 1994), although the degree of alteration varies significantly within and between sites (Lee-Thorp and Sponheimer 2003). Enamel has thus been routinely used for stable isotope paleodiary studies for over fifteen years.

The native radiocarbon isotope signal may allow for accurate dating, but no systematic study has been reported since Hedges et al. (1995). Zazzo and Sali’ge (2010), however, have recently presented a compilation of published dates obtained on enamel (n = 14) indicating that, while it is not exempt from isotopic exchange in temperate/wet environments, it might provide accurate 14C ages for the Holocene, particularly in arid locations (Zazzo and Sali’ge 2010: fig. 5A, appendixes 337 and 343). The dating of bone collagen and tooth enamel from the same individual provides further evidence for the reliability of enamel dating from some contexts. Woodman and Beavan-Athfield (2009) have reported on the close agreement between radiocarbon determinations on paired samples of enamel and bone collagen from a Post-Clovis (<10,530 14C yr B.P.) mastodon in North America. Similarly, preliminary results from paired bone collagen and tooth enamel from Iron Age sites in Cambodia have also produced congruent results. While acknowledging that further scientific work is required, these results suggest that tooth enamel has some potential for age determination on archaeological teeth.

Two of the early dates from An Son, ANU 9709 and 9711, are on burnt food residues inside potsherds. These have very negative δ13C values that imply terrestrial rather than marine food sources, hence obviating any need for a marine reservoir correction. There is also no known limestone in the catchment of the Vam Co Dong.
Sample ANU 9709 comes from the base of the 2 by 1 m test excavation, and ANU 9711 from a sherd cluster at the base of Trench 3. The calibrated age ranges span ~2800–2200 B.C. The carbon contents of both samples were sufficiently high to allow some confidence in the reliability of the measurements (S. Fallon, ANU AMS Laboratory, pers. comm.).

The samples ANU 9712 and 13012 are both slightly younger than the basal series, with a calibrated range of ~2000–1700 B.C. It is suggested that this time span may represent the beginning of occupation in the area of 2009 Trench 2 and the 2004 excavation. The youngest date from an occupation layer in 2009 Trench 2, on a freshwater shell from layer 4 in square C4 (ANU 9710), has a calibrated range from 1750–1531 B.C. The whole set thus suggests dates in the first half of the second millennium B.C. for the deposition of the Trench 2 rake-out layers, just prior to the beginning of the burial phase.

Obviously, there are uncertainties associated with the dating of potentially old wood, tooth enamel, and unidentified food residues in pottery, magnified by the fact that the site has been excavated on separate occasions, with stratigraphic sequences that are difficult to merge into a common site history. In an ideal scenario we would apply Bayesian methods to the modeling of the calibrated ages, but in this instance there are so many uncertainties, both in the stratigraphic constraints that could be applied within such a model and in the sample issues with the dated series, that this could only be undertaken in the broadest and most general terms. Under these conditions it is possible that modeling would produce results that are importantly wrong. Instead, we conclude provisionally that initial occupation on the site occurred before 2000 B.C., and possibly as early as 2400 B.C. A simple Bayesian model, including a charcoal-specific outlier detection approach (after Bronk Ramsey 2009), of samples from the earliest stratigraphic horizons (as shown in Table 1) suggests that occupation started between 2530 and 2205 B.C. (68.2% range). Modeling also shows that the phase of activity represented by determinations dating to the intermediate horizons finished between 1540 and 1480 B.C. (again at 68.2% prob.). The placing of the burials, if all of the dates are assumed to be reliable, occurs between approximately this date and much later, at ~1250–1050 B.C. The termination of usage of the site could, therefore, have been as late as 1050 B.C., in which case the total absence of copper or bronze is interesting in light of recent debates on the chronology of metallurgy in Southeast Asia. No early historical material (post–A.D. 1) was found during our 2009 excavations, probably because of the removal of the upper layers beforehand.

THE AN SON POTTERY

The pottery sequence described in 1997 was divided into four cultural periods, from 1 at the base to 4 at the top (Nishimura 2002; Nishimura and Nguyen 2002). The excavators noted that the very base of the site underneath the main mound, layer 3-5 (cultural period 1), lacked the fiber tempers and wavy-rimmed forms that characterize the layers immediately above. We confirmed this by examining the materials stored from the 1997 excavation in the Long An Museum, and while the sample size is very small there can be no doubt that the 1997 layer 3-5 pottery also has more punctate decoration and calcareous sand temper than occur in the later layers. We did not hit very clear traces of this layer in 2009, although we did find materials of this phase
mixed into the basal palaeosols in Trenches 1 and 3. Only a large future excavation in the center of the main mound is likely to clarify the full content of 1997 layer 3-5. Otherwise, the pottery excavated in 2004 and 2009 essentially replicated that from 1997 periods 2 to 4. The main additions from the more recent excavation seasons have been the complete pots found as grave goods (Fig. 5).

The major aim of the 2009 An Son ceramic analysis, undertaken by Carmen Sarjeant for her completed PhD thesis at ANU (Sarjeant 2012a, 2012b), has been to characterize the sequence thoroughly for variations in vessel and rim shape, fabric and decoration. She is currently preparing the details for publication and here we focus only on describing the complete vessels found with the burials (1500 to 1200 B.C.), together with the punctate and incised sherds from the lowest layers in the site.

The complete vessel forms identified with the An Son burials in 2004 and 2009 are independent-restricted or unrestricted in the terminology of Anna Shepard (1964; see also O’Reilly 2004:233–236). Figure 5f, g, i, j, and k are examples of independent-restricted vessels with everted rims, often with ring feet. Figure 5f and i have internally hollowed rims and are an especially common form, sometimes being finely decorated with horizontal incised and rouletted bands around their upper bodies. Figure 5a (with Fig. 6), e, and h are examples of unrestricted bowls with direct rims, often on high pedestals. Figure 5a/Figure 6 is an absolute masterpiece within this group, discarded broken rather than found as a grave good. Figure 5b and c represent the special classes of wavy- and serrated-rimmed vessels, and Figure 5d is a reconstruction of a typical *ca rang* pottery stove (no *ca rang* were found complete).

The wavy- and serrated-rimmed bowls are especially common in the burials, with the former apparently predating the latter. A significant presence of the wavy-rimmed form occurred to the base of the deposits excavated in 2009, especially in the test pit and Trenches 1 and 2. However, this form was absent at the very base of the main mound in 1997 (layer 3-5; Nishimura and Nguyen 2002:104), and made its first appearance during the 1997 cultural period 2.

The recorded decoration types and/or surface treatments on the An Son burial pottery include coarse cord marking and multiple-toothed combing over the lower parts of pots, together with burnishing, incision, red slipping, roulette stamping, moulding/appliqué, and white lime or clay infill in the decorated areas (Figs. 6 and 7). Cord marking and combing occur throughout the sequence, but there is no carved paddle decoration.

The basal pottery assemblage in the site is particularly interesting. Punctate decoration within incised lines is common in 1997 layer 3/5 and the lower layers in 2009 Trenches 1 and 3. The motifs present at An Son (Fig. 8) resemble those from Nong Nor Phase 1 (O’Reilly 1998: fig. 42) and Khok Phanom Di Ceramic Period 1 (Vincent 2004: chap. VII) in central Thailand, dated together between c. 2400 and 1800 B.C. Khok Phanom Di Ceramic Period 1 also has hole-mouthed and restricted cord-marked rims (Vincent 2004: fig. 41, top left), a form common in the lower layers at An Son. Unfortunately, the absence of complete pots from the lower layers in Nong Nor and An Son makes full shape comparisons very difficult. Nevertheless, the similarities are striking, particularly because all three sites went on to develop pottery styles in their middle and upper layers that were much more localized in distribution. For instance, punctate stamping receded in importance in the middle and upper layers at An Son, and a form of rouletting became more common, perhaps made with a
Fig. 5. Pottery from An Son 2009 dating between c.1600 and 1200 B.C., drawn by Nguyen Quoc Manh (except for a and b).

a. Grit-tempered pedestalled dish with alternating bands and triangular motifs outlined with incision, and filled with either burnishing or rows of fine rouletting, the latter made by a multiple-toothed implement that appears to have been rolled over the surface. From an occupation context in Trench 1. The decoration on the pedestal base is shown separately in Fig. 6.

b. Grit-tempered wavy-rimmed open bowl, placed as item 4 with Trench 1 burial 3 (Fig. 8: 1431-1314 BC, NZA 34173).

c. Grit-tempered serrated-rimmed open bowl, from a discard zone in Trench 1.

d. A ca rang pottery cooking stove reconstructed from sherds, these being fiber-tempered open bowls with three internal and upwards-projecting lugs to support cooking pots (not drawn to scale).

e. Undecorated fiber-tempered pedestalled dish with rice impressions, from discard zone in Trench 1.

f. Footed grit-tempered and burnished vessel with extremely intricate bands and triangles outlined by incision and filled with parallel rows of fine punctation, made by a multiple-toothed implement (photo includes detail reproduced at 3.5 times the scale of item F). The lower part of the pot is cord-marked. Placed as item 2 with Trench 1 burial 2 (Fig. 7: 1397-1267 BC, NZA 34172).

g. Undecorated sand-tempered pedestalled dish placed as item 1 with Trench 2 burial 3 (Fig. 7: 1262-1057 BC, NZA 34174).

h. Fiber-tempered pedestalled dish with rouletted bands around pedestal, like those on vessel A (above), from a discard zone in Trench 1.

i. Upper part of a vessel similar to vessel F (above) but without the punctate stamping, from a discard context in Trench 1. The lower body is finely comb-incised.

j. Undecorated fiber-tempered vessel placed as item 4 with Trench 1 burial 2 (Fig. 7: 1397-1267 BC, NZA 34172).

k. Sand-tempered footed vessel with cord-marked lower body and a high neck, from an occupation context in Trench 1.

l. Fiber-tempered footed beaker, undecorated. Placed as item 1 with Trench 1 burial 2 (Fig. 7: 1397-1267 BC, NZA 34172).

Fig. 6. The decorated pedestal of the vessel shown as Fig. 5a, with alternating zones of fine punctate stamping or rouletting, and burnishing. The outer triangular motifs also occur on pedestal bases from the nearby site of Loc Giang.
rolled or rocked implement (see Fig. 7). This form of rouletting appears to be less developed or absent in contemporary Thailand Neolithic sites such as Ban Non Wat (Higham and Kijngam 2009, 2010).

The An Son pottery fabrics, primarily fiber or sand, have been analyzed by scanning electron microscopy with an energy dispersive spectrometer attachment (SEM–EDS). Both mineralogical and chemical compositional analyses were conducted. Fiber-tempered fabrics contain plant remains that in most cases can be identified as rice chaff (see Tomber et al. 2011 for comparable images), and these are present from the base of the deposits excavated in 2009 but were not present in the very basal layer 3-5 excavated in 1997. Fiber tempers were sometimes associated with calcium phosphate (apatite) and iron phosphate (vivianite), which may be the result of phosphatic mineralization of the plant fibers (Green 1979) in the temper, or the addition of crushed bone as well as fiber to the clay fabric (Kuczumow et al. 2010; Piga et al. 2009).

The sands include feldspars (predominantly orthoclase) and quartz. Sometimes, these sand-tempered vessels also incorporate lateritic materials, usually micas and amphiboles, which most likely weathered naturally into the clay sources. A few rare examples of shell temper include grains with jagged edges and a composition of cal-
calcium carbonate. The shell-tempered fabrics sometimes also include fibers, quartz, and amphibole. The clay matrices frequently include zircon and ilmenite (iron and titanium oxides), regardless of the selected temper.

In terms of regional comparisons, Nishimura (2002; Nishimura and Vuong 1997) has compared the pottery from the An Son 1997 excavations to that from other Vam Co Dong and Dong Nai Neolithic sites. The nearby site of Loc Giang has the same material assemblage as An Son, specifically with pedestal vessels similar to Figures 5a and 6, wavy-rimmed bowls, and ca rang stove sherds (Quang and Ngo 1994). The Cu Lao Rua ceramic assemblage from the Dong Nai valley to the east also shares some features with An Son, including incised wave, linear, triangular, and circular incision, punctate stamping, and waves incised over paddle-impressed or combed lines (Sarjeant, personal observations in Binh Duong Provincial Museum). This site also has sand, fiber, and shell tempers, like An Son. A number of the rim forms at Cu Lao Rua are paralleled at An Son, including concave everted rims (cf. Fig. 5f and i), carinated bowls, and ca rang with rounded projections. The nearby site of Binh Da contains several of the An Son rim forms and ca rang with square projections, but like Cu Lao Rua it lacks the wavy-rimmed bowls. Binh Da also shares with An Son the incised wave motif over paddle or comb impressions. Nishimura (2002) suggests that Binh Da and An Son have contemporaneous sequences, although An Son commenced earlier.

Da Kai (Nishimura et al. 2009), located 150 km to the east of An Son in the upper reaches of the Dong Nai River in Binh Thuan Province, also shares many An Son rim forms. Decoration includes incised zones filled with punctate or incised fills, as at An Son, and again it is likely that the two sites were contemporary (Nishimura 2002; Nishimura et al. 2009).

These sites in southern Vietnam indicate that there was a common Neolithic ceramic tradition throughout the region with common rim forms and decoration. Perhaps the most distinctive marker for the region, together with the ca rang form of pottery stove, is the everted rim restricted vessel with shoulder bands of punctate and roulette stamping between horizontal incised lines (Fig. 5f), although this was not present in the oldest occupation phase. On the other hand, it can be expected that each site will also possess unique ceramic forms, perhaps for purposes connected with identity, and in the case of An Son and neighboring Loc Giang the wavy-rimmed ceramics appear to be have been such a unique component.
Beyond southern Vietnam, many sites in northern Vietnam and central and northeast Thailand contain Neolithic assemblages contemporary with An Son. The parallels with the early sites of Nong Nor and Khok Phanom Di have already been noted, but cultural sharings continued onward well into the second millennium B.C. The Neolithic ceramic assemblage at Tha Kae, in central Thailand (Rispoli 1992, 1997, 2007), includes a zigzag incised pattern, not generally common in central Thailand, which resembles the band motifs on some vessel shoulders at An Son (Rispoli 2007). The Tha Kae ceramics were tempered with either quartz sand or coarse vegetal particles, much like those at An Son. In northeast Thailand, the Neolithic layers of Ban Non Wat, dating from 1800 B.C. onward (Higham and Higham 2009, 2010), also contain everted concave rimmed restricted vessels like those at An Son (cf. Fig. 5f, i). The panels at An Son with punctate and roulette stamped fill, in association with burnishing, are paralleled especially in this site (Sarjeant 2012; Higham and Kijngam 2009; and compare Fig. 6 here with Higham and Wiriyaromp 2010, Fig. 5.8).

Indeed, the presence of an “incised and impressed” tradition among ceramics from Neolithic contexts in Southeast Asia (Rispoli 2007), going back into the late third millennium B.C., undoubtedly extended into southern Vietnam. Sites in central and northeast Thailand appear to have the closest shared ceramic affiliations with those in southern Vietnam, and there is apparently less held in common between northern and southern Vietnam Neolithic assemblages. For instance, the pottery from the Phung Nguyen–related site of Man Bac, in Ninh Binh Province in northern Vietnam, is dominated by carved paddle impression rather than cord marking and combing, and shares few specific forms and decorative techniques with An Son (see illustrations in Nguyen et al. 2011). This suggests the former existence of a “Greater Mekong” cultural network dating from about 2500 B.C. onward, with a foundation that involved migration and settlement by a Neolithic population that lacked any obvious Hoabinhian cultural antecedents.

THE AN SON NON–POTTERY ASSEMBLAGE

As in 1997, the stone tool assemblage excavated in 2009 included shouldered and unshouldered adzes and axes (Fig. 9g) and flakes with polished surfaces that were presumably derived from the rejuvenation of these tools. A flaked (unpolished) lithic technology was conspicuously absent, and no evidence was found for the initial stages of adze or axe manufacture. Of the 170 identifiable cross sections of adzes or axes excavated in 2009, either complete or fragments, all were quadrangular in cross section. There was a mixture of both shouldered and unshouldered adzes throughout, although the unshouldered ones were absent in the lowest spits in Trench 1, suggesting a sequence from shouldered to unshouldered similar to that reported for An Son in 1997, and from other nearby late Neolithic and Bronze Age sites such as Rach Nui (Bui et al. 1997).

A total of 70 small baked clay pellets (Fig. 9e) were identified in the 2009 excavation, none in burial contexts. These items are generally considered to have been used with the pellet bow for hunting small animals and birds. Interestingly, baked clay spindle whorls were absent, as in other Neolithic sites in southern mainland and island Southeast Asia. This may be due to the absence of a need for clothing (following suggestions by Gilligan 2010) in these hot and humid tropical latitudes. Conversely, spindle whorls are present in Neolithic sites in northern Vietnam, northern Philip-
Apart from 15 small shell beads found in burials in 2009, all remaining shell beads were recovered from 1.2 and 2 mm wet sieving. 129 were disc shaped, 63 were elongated rectangular or oval, and 4 were square (Fig. 9e). Most were very small, the disc-shaped ones between 2 and 4 mm in diameter and just 1 mm thick. One broken bone fishhook was found at the base of Trench 2, similar to several found in previous excavations at the site (Fig. 9a).

Like the pottery, the An Son non-ceramic material culture is also remarkably similar in many respects to that from contemporary Neolithic sites in central and northeastern Thailand, such as Nong Nor, Khok Phanom Di, and Ban Non Wat (Higham...
and Kijingam 2009, 2010). For instance, Ban Non Wat also contained small spherical pellets of baked clay used as missiles with a pellet bow, clay beads, small shell disc beads, and round potsherd “counters” similar to those from An Son, although An Son lacked the baked clay mushroom-shaped potting anvils present in Ban Non Wat. Potting anvils, however, do occur in other Neolithic sites such as Suoi Linh in the Dong Nai valley, as well as in Red River delta sites such as Man Bac in northern Vietnam.

THE HUMAN BURIALS FROM AN SON 2009

Six skeletons and a separate skull were recovered during the 2009 field season, bringing the total number of individuals (not all complete skeletons) from the site to 35 (including the 3 from 1978, 20 from 2004, and 5 from 2007). The analysis of the human remains will be presented by Anna Willis in her Ph.D. thesis, and here we list only the 2009 discoveries (Table 2). The human remains from the 1978, 2004, and 2007 excavations have been discussed briefly in earlier Vietnamese reports (Dang et al. 2008; Nguyen 2005; Pham et al. 2006).

A multifactorial approach was applied in the osteological analysis of the individuals using as many indicators as possible to estimate their age, sex, and health. Age estimates for subadults were based on dental formation, calcification stages and eruption, diaphyseal length, epiphyseal fusion, skeletal maturity, and size. Tooth formation, calcification, eruption, and length were assessed using appropriate standards (Liversidge et al. 1993; Ubelaker 1989). Long bone length was used to establish age estimates for neonates with limited formation of dentition, using linear regression equations based on fetuses of known gestational age, following Tocheri and Molto (2002). For adolescents and young adults, tooth eruption, epiphyseal fusion (Buikstra and Ubelaker 1994), and the length of the femur (Rissech et al. 2008) were assessed. The ages of older adults would normally be based on the pubic symphyses and auricular surfaces of the os coxae, but no individuals had pubic symphyses preserved and those that had auricular areas were not preserved very well. As a result, tooth wear was also scored using Scott’s technique (Scott 1979), and the data were then used in regression equations developed by Oxenham (2000) on skeletal material from northern Vietnam. Sex estimation was not attempted for subadults. Sex was estimated for adults using the cranium and the os coxae where present (Buikstra and Ubelaker 1994; Phenice 1969; Walrath et al. 2004).

Table 2. Excavated burials at An Son, 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trench</th>
<th>Burial</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Grave Goods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40–49 years</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>None certain (skull only). Possible potsherd sheet below, and dog mandible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20–29 years</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Various—see text, Figs. 5f, j, and l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30–39 years</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Fig. 10: two wavy-rimmed bowls of vessel class D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1–4 years</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Fig. 10: one serrated-rimmed bowl of vessel class D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1 year</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1 year</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>One cord-marked globular vessel with everted rim, two cylindrical shell beads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10–14 years</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Various see text</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Every individual was assessed for any pathological indicators. There was some evidence for nonspecific indicators of stress, and a high prevalence of caries. Some individuals had linear enamel hypoplasia, suggesting that they were stressed at some point during childhood when their permanent dentition was forming. However, many of these individuals survived this stress and lived into adulthood. There was little evidence for cribra orbitalia or porotic hyperostosis. There was little evidence for trauma and no individuals had broken limbs, suggesting low levels of interpersonal violence. Each burial is now given a brief description.

Trench 1, Burial 1, Dated by NZA 34050 to 1492–1387 B.C. Female, 40–49 Years — This individual was only represented by the cranium, with no mandible or postcranial remains in association. It was lying on its right side facing west, complete and in very good condition with evidence of damage, suggesting that it might have been disturbed out of a burial. It appeared to be lying on an intentionally placed, isolated cluster of pottery fragments, a potsherd sheet, which also featured a dog mandible. These items may have been intentionally placed as grave goods.

Trench 1, Burial 2, Dated by NZA 34172 to 1397–1267 B.C. Female, 20–29 Years, Oriented Northeast/Southwest — This individual was incomplete and very poorly preserved, being represented only by the skull and the shafts of the left humerus, femora, tibiae, and right fibula (Fig. 10a). She was buried supine with nine pottery vessels, one between the tibiae (the footed beaker, item 1 in Fig. 10a), three along/under the right side of the lower limbs (items 2, 3, and 4 in Fig. 10a, and Figs. 5f and j for items 2 and 4), and five along/under the left side of the lower limbs (items 5, 6 [neither reconstructed], 7, 8, and 9 in Fig. 10a). There were also four non-pottery artifacts that may have been associated with this burial: an unshouldered adze beside the right tibia (item 10), a shouldered adze beside the left tibia (item 11), a shouldered adze beyond the area of the right foot in square B10 (item 12), and an unprovenanced burnishing stone.

Trench 1, Burial 3, Dated by NZA 34173 to 1431–1314 B.C. Male, 30–39 Years, Oriented Northeast/Southwest — This individual was almost complete, but the right side had been disturbed and removed during the later interment of child burial 4. This supine individual had variable preservation; the facial region and maxilla of the skull were crushed and poorly preserved and the articular epiphyses of all of the long bones were degraded. Two wavy-rimmed open bowls were in association. One, over the proximal right tibia (item 1), had been cut in half during the placement of Trench 1 burial 4, and one (item 2) was over the distal tibiae and calcanei (Fig. 10b). Sherds of pottery were found under the skull, perhaps placed intentionally, and an unshouldered adze was found nearby.

Trench 1, Burial 4, Not AMS Dated. Child 1–4 Years, Oriented Northeast/Southwest — This child was almost complete but had variable preservation, with articular epiphyses degraded and the hands and feet completely degraded. There was a serrated-rimmed open bowl placed to the right of the tibiae (item 1 in Fig. 10), and intentionally placed sherds of pottery under the skull. This burial cut through Trench 1 burial 3, indicating a later interment.

Trench 2, Burial 1, Not AMS Dated. Infant <1 Year, Oriented Southeast/Northwest — This neonate was complete and very well preserved. There were no grave goods associated with it.
Trench 2, Burial 2, Not AMS Dated. Infant <1 Year, Oriented Northeast/Southwest — This neonate was almost complete, but the cranium had been crushed and there was some disturbance to the postcranial skeleton. There was a small vertically cord-marked restricted vessel with an everted rim associated with this individual. Two cylindrical shell beads were found in the chest area.

Trench 2, Burial 3, Dated by NZA 34174 to 1262–1057 B.C. Adolescent 10–14 Years, Oriented Northeast/Southwest — This adolescent is complete and very well preserved, with three pots (Fig. 10c). Two of these were wavy-rimmed open bowls, one over the right femur and hand, a second over the left distal femur and proximal tibia. A footed
and carinated bowl was also placed between the tibiae and calcanei. There was also a shouldered adze beside the right proximal tibia, 13 disc-shaped and cylindrical shell beads in the chest area, and other pieces of stone and shell that could have been grave goods.

The Biological Affinities of the An Son Population

A multivariate analysis of cranial and dental metric data and an assessment of the morphometric affinities of the An Son mortuary assemblage gave interesting results, to be reported in detail elsewhere. Cranial metrics indicate affinities with the Bronze–Iron Age Dong Son population of northern Vietnam and with modern Vietnamese, as well as with other modern East Asians, while dental metric comparisons point to similarities with earlier Holocene Jomon and Hoabinhian populations. This suggests that the An Son people preserved some genetic traits of earlier Southeast Asian indigenous populations, but were also derived from a process of migration from other regions of East Asia. However, the inconsistency between the cranial and dental data from An Son might also reflect sample bias, in which the dental remains have been more utilized for study than the poorly preserved skulls, or it might reflect different patterns of inheritance between cranial and dental metrics. Certainty will require a larger sample of crania.

The general conclusion on the affinities of the An Son crania is in accord with the “Two-Layer” model of Holocene population history in Southeast Asia (Matsumura 2006; Matsumura and Hudson 2005; Matsumura et al. 2008a, 2008b), based on the analysis of dental traits and on skeletal evidence uncovered from Vietnam, Malaysia, and Thailand. Several cases of population change through admixture with more northerly Asian populations are especially evident at the site of Man Bac in northern Vietnam, where some of the cranial and dental traits exhibited by the early indigenous Hoabinhian people continued to be expressed into the middle Holocene. But these pre-Neolithic people, especially the Da But culture skeletons from Con Co Ngua (c. 3000 B.C.), display large morphological differences with the early Metal Age Dong Son people (c. 2000 B.P.) (Matsumura et al. 2008a).

THE AN SON SUBSISTENCE ECONOMY

In total, 8610 animal bones were recovered from 2009 Trench 2 and the test pit through wet sieving using 2 mm sieves (Table 3) (Piper et al. 2012). Of these, 2829 (32%) were the skeletal remains of terrestrial mammals and reptiles, and 6077 (68%) were fish bones. All in all, 1356 (47.8%) fragments of mammal and reptile and 274 (4.5%) fragments of fish could be identified to family or a higher taxonomic level. This assemblage was supplemented by biometrical and age at death data from fragments of pig and cranial and postcranial elements hand collected from Trench 1.

The mammal assemblage was dominated by remains of pig and dog. The oldest pig remains were recovered from the test pit at a depth of 230–240 cm and correspond with a date of 2862–2234 B.C. (ANU 9709—Table 1). Trench 2 produced 83 suid fragments and, combined with pieces of mandible from Trench 3, a minimum of 6 individuals from 16 partial mandibles and loose lower teeth (P4-M3) could be identified. Five specimens (4 individuals) still retained dp4s in various stages of wear.
Another loose lower right dp4 still had roots, indicating that it had not been naturally shed. Overall, none of the specimens (mandibular or maxillary) recovered would appear to have exceeded 18 months to 2 years of age, with most slaughtered within the first 12 months of life. The age profile derived from the dentition is supported by a predominance of postcranial elements with unfused articular ends, from juvenile individuals. The predominance of juvenile and subadult pigs in the assemblage suggests that this represents a managed, domestic population. Most of the pig remains were recovered from the “rake-out” deposits in Trench 2, and although pigs are present...
from the earliest phases of occupation recorded in the 2009 excavations they cannot be clearly identified as domestic until after 2000 B.C. because of small sample sizes.

The earliest evidence of dog is from the test pit at 190–200 cm. These remains are slightly above the 2862–2234 B.C. radiocarbon date. Combining right mandibular fragments from Trenches 2 and 3, at least five adult individuals are recorded. All appear to have had fully erupted and in wear M1s and/or M2s, though none of the individuals appears to have reached a substantial age, judging from the limited reduction in occlusal surfaces. The distal shaft of a right humerus with an unfused articular end indicates that at least one subadult individual is also represented in the assemblage.

Cut marks on the antero-medial surface of the distal end of a right tibia, the antero-medial aspect of a right humerus, and along the cranial margins of a mandibular ramus indicate that dogs were being butchered and presumably eaten. The dog bones were recovered from within the general site refuse, with no evidence of any variation in discard patterns that would suggest any conscious human differentiation between dogs and other food animals.

An interesting taphonomic feature of the An Son animal bone assemblage is the absence of dog-gnawed and digested bone and the presence of large numbers of well-preserved fish bones. This contrasts markedly with the Late Neolithic and Early Metal Age layers at Nagsabaran in northern Luzon, where dog gnawing is evident, even though dog remains are much less common than at An Son. It is possible the dogs at An Son were not free ranging but tethered or corralled, and thus not allowed access to scavenging around this part of the settlement.

The most common terrestrial wild vertebrates are the carapace and plastron of turtles (Testudines, n = 1076), predominantly of the family Geoemydidae (pond, box, and water turtles). At least 16 individuals (based on the right hypoplastra) and 3 taxa could be initially identified (Table 3) in this study—box turtles (Cuora sp[p]), Asian leaf turtles (Cyclemys dentata), and possibly the yellow-headed temple turtle (Hieremys annandali), but more species are likely to be represented. Further research has also identified the river terrapin (Batagur cf. baska). In addition there were small numbers of deer bones (Cervus/Rusa sp[p]), monitor lizard (Varanus sp[p]), the mouse deer (Tragalus napu), crocodile (Crocodylus cf. porosus), the large Indian civet (Viverra zibetha) or large-spotted civet (Viverra megaspila), and monkey (Cercopithecidae), but all in small numbers, suggesting they did not constitute a large proportion of the diet.

The most abundant zooarchaeological remains recovered at An Son were fish (n = 6077). There was a strong predominance of cranial fragments (some still articulated) in the collection, suggesting that the hard bony-plated heads were removed prior to consumption and discarded. Provisionally, 17 different families were recorded (Table 3), with the most common being the snakehead (Channidae), swamp eel (Synbranchidae), and climbing perch (Anabas testudineus). Other taxa identified included river catfish (Clariidae), Barramundi (Centropomidae), tire track eels (Mastacembelidae), and glassy perchlets (Chandidae). A range of techniques are used to catch these fish by modern communities including hook and line, push nets, gill nets, specialized traps, and seines. For example, the swamp eel can be trapped using a bamboo tube trap or speared with an eel fork (Voeun 2006).

The faunal remains suggest a subsistence economy based on the management of domestic pigs and dogs and a strong focus on fishing within local rivers, supplemented with the hunting of a range of terrestrial and aquatic mammals and reptiles.
Three artifacts manufactured from animal bone are of note. A small curved fragment of elephant ivory 42.9 mm long (identification confirmed by Sonia O’Connor, University of Bradford) has a high surface polish and probably represents the partial remains of something like an armband. Two male pig lower right tusks have been deliberately modified at the caudal end through grinding on the occlusal and mesial surfaces to thin them. On both, the cranial end has been ground flat through use as some sort of tool or abrader. Adhering to the buccal surface of one there are three patches of resinous material, the largest being more than 10 mm in length. It is possible that this was applied to fix a haft to the caudal end of the canine.

It should be reiterated that An Son contains no evidence for maritime subsistence, implying that the site was well inland at the time of its initial occupation. Large bivalves of the soft shore estuarine species variously termed Geloina, Batissa, or Polymesoda do occur singly here and there in the deposits, many with clear signs of abrasion on their sharp distal edges. Presumably they were used as tools, possibly harvesting knives, hence imported specially for task rather than food purposes. Otherwise, the 2009 excavation yielded almost exclusively the mangrove gastropod Cerithidea obtusa, occurring at densities of up to 4152 shells per cubic meter of excavated deposit in Trench 2. These served as food, with extraction involving heating or boiling and breakage of the shell apex to “breach the vacuum” and allow withdrawal of the soft body.

The An Son Rice

Two samples of rice husk used as pottery temper were analyzed in the Ancient DNA laboratory in the Research Institute of Humanity and Nature in Kyoto. The analytical details will be published in detail elsewhere, but a gene coding region within chloroplast DNA was studied by Katsunori Tanaka and Yo-ichiro Sato using PCR (polymerase chain reaction) amplification to reveal that both samples were of Oryza sativa japonica, the subspecies domesticated in the Yangzi Basin in China, rather than Oryza sativa indica, the subspecies native to India and Southeast Asia (Fuller et al. 2010; Londo et al. 2006). The two samples came from middle layers of the 2009 Trench 1 at An Son, and date to about 1500 b.c. At present it is not clear if rice was present from the absolute beginning of the An Son occupation, particularly from the base of the 1997 trench (Nishimura and Nguyen 2002:104). However, the 2009 excavations recovered evidence for rice husks in pottery to the base of the areas then excavated (SEM analyses by Carmen Sarjeant). Furthermore, phytolith analysis of the basal layers of 2009 Trench 2 and the 2009 test pit, by Melissa Tan of the Institute of Archaeology, London, and by Tetsuro Udatu of Miyazaki University in Japan, have revealed the presence of definite rice phytoliths (results to be published separately).

CONCLUSIONS

The research described above was undertaken as part of a larger project, funded by the Australian Research Council, entitled “The creation of Southeast Asian peoples and cultures, 3500 BC to AD 500.” The aim of this project has been to understand the arrival of Neolithic assemblages across northern regions of Southeast Asia, especially in Vietnam and the Philippines, in terms of relationships with contemporary and earlier Neolithic developments in southern China and Taiwan (see, for example, Bell-
wood and Dizon 2008; Oxenham et al. 2011; Bellwood 2011). This report provides detailed information on the oldest Neolithic developments discovered so far in southern Vietnam, specifically at the site of An Son. The assemblage from here, dated back into the late third millennium b.c., commenced with a thin layer from which economic evidence is at present lacking. Indeed, the full cultural content of layer 3.5 at the base of the main mound, dug in 1997 but not clearly intersected in 2009, will need to be resolved by further excavation. The question remains of whether or not the base of the site as exposed in 1997 housed an ephemeral cultural phase that lacked evidence for pig domestication and possibly also rice cultivation. Similar economic absences are claimed also for the basal Neolithic layers in the sites of Nong Nor and Khok Phanom Di in central Thailand (Higham and Thosarat 1998; 2004).

By at least 1800 B.C. there is evidence for food production at An Son, based on japonica rice, domesticated pigs and dogs, and associated exploitation of riverine and estuarine (not marine) resources. The material culture, associated with supine extended burials (none flexed), includes pottery, some rice chaff tempered, and a range of cut and ground items of stone and shell. Flaked lithics are noticeably absent, and there is no sign of any archaeological continuity from putative Hoabinhian antecedents.

The An Son assemblage has some quite distinctive local features, such as the common wavy- and serrated-rimmed pottery vessels that first developed above the basal layers in the site. It also has many aspects of pottery decoration and artefact shape that link it with contemporary assemblages in central and northeastern Thailand. We can point briefly in this regard to Khok Phanom Di (shouldered adzes, shell disc beads, bone fishhooks, incised and punctate pottery, supine burials, and rice) and Ban Non Wat (similar to the above, with the addition of pottery discs, baked clay bow pellets, and absence of bangles and spindle whorls until after the Neolithic) (Higham and Thosarat 2004; Higham and Kijngam 2009, 2010). Specific parallels relating to the earliest phases of occupation at An Son, Nong Nor and Khok Phanom Di have been noted in the section on pottery. Such parallels could be multiplied to cover many Neolithic sites in Thailand. However, it is our impression that parallels between An Son and Neolithic northern Vietnam (e.g., with Phung Nguyen related sites such as Man Bac in Ninh Binh Province–Oxenham et al. 2011) are fewer than those with sites in the Mekong drainage system in general, including central Thailand.

This is not the place to examine such patterns of relationship in greater detail (Rispoli 2007 covers some of the ground), but the apparently close relationship between the Neolithic assemblages of southern Vietnam and the greater Mekong region is interesting. They could suggest a source for the An Son facies of the mainland Southeast Asian Neolithic more to the northwest than to the direct north, in southern Chinese terms perhaps more strongly with Yunnan via the Mekong rather than with Guangxi or Guangdong. But at present such observations are impressionistic, and they need to be founded on much more detailed research and comparison. The strongest observation that can be made at present is that signs of archaeological continuity from the pre-Neolithic in southern Vietnam are totally lacking, so the complex as a whole was surely introduced from another region.

In biological terms, this brings up the issue of the “two-layer” population hypothesis, as discussed above for the An Son skeletal remains. This hypothesis has gained theoretical support from historical linguistics and archaeology, which have linked the ancestral dispersals of especially the Austronesian, Austroasiatic, Daic (Tai-Kadai), and Sino-Tibetan (Tibeto-Burman) language families with the expansion of
food-producing populations from central and southern China during the Neolithic (Bellwood 1997, 2005, 2013; Blust 1996; Higham 2011; Higham and Thosarat 2004; Zhang and Hung 2010). With the exception of Sino-Tibetan, which also spread to the north and west, these language families expanded primarily to the south, including Austronesian from Taiwan. The two-layer hypothesis for mainland Southeast Asia is therefore founded upon a Hoabinhian biological population base (with cultural continuity receiving little support in southern Vietnam at present), with significant in-migration of intrusive populations from other regions, predominantly to the north or northwest. These incoming Neolithic populations surely had a number of different origins across mainland Southeast Asia as a whole, especially when viewed from the perspectives of the present distributions of the Daic and Austroasiatic language families (Bellwood 2005; Sidwell 2013). The site of An Son could be informative from an Austroasiatic origins perspective.
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