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Abstract: Reproductive data for 24 of the 50 plus species of scleractinian corals in Hawai‘i are available. A majority of species (75%) are broadcast spawners, just over half (58%) of which are hermaphrodites. Peak reproduction of Hawaiian corals occurs during summer months, although reproduction continues year-round for some brooders. Timing, duration, mode, and location of reproductive processes have implications for disturbance management, assessment, and conservation of reef corals.

The minimization of human impacts on coral reef dynamics is critical to maintaining coral reef diversity and reef ecosystem functions. Human impacts can be direct and/or indirect, affecting reef organisms during one or more of a variety of life history stages (Brown and Howard 1985, Richmond 1993, 1997, Raimondi and Reed 1996). Sexual reproduction in scleractinian corals involves a number of discrete stages that occur in different zones of the marine environment. These fundamental stages include gamete development (internal to the organism), gamete release (internal coordination leading to external release), fertilization (internal, or external in the water column, at the water surface, or on the benthos), embryonic and larval development (internal, or external in the water column or at the water surface), dispersal (external in the water column and/or at the water surface), settlement, and metamorphosis (external on a substrate) (Harrison and Wallace 1990, Richmond 1993, 1997). Synchronization of various reproductive stages within populations is fundamental to increasing the likelihood of reproductive success (Harrison and Wallace 1990, Levitan 1995, Morgan 1995, Coma et al. 1998). Individual stages may differ in their exposure, vulnerability, and susceptibility to various impacts (Richmond 1993, 1997).

Understanding where and when reproductive processes take place allows for a precautionary approach to minimizing human interference with coral reproductive dynamics. In this paper we summarize available data for reproduction in Hawaiian corals in an effort to provide information pertinent to mitigating human impacts on coral reproduction and population dynamics.

Materials and Methods

Data summarized in this report resulted from both direct and indirect observations of gamete formation and release in laboratory and/or field settings. Information for the majority of species came from studies conducted at the Hawai‘i Institute of Marine Biology and a review of the literature (including reviews by Fadlallah 1983, Richmond and Hunter 1990, and Richmond 1997). Although Hawaiian coral taxonomy is currently in a state of flux, Maragos (1995) was used as a guide (see Maragos 1977 for reported synonyms).

Results

Information on modes and timing of gamete and planula release for 24 of roughly 50 Hawaiian scleractinian coral species (Maragos 1995) is provided in Table 1. The data cover the majority of the most common inshore
### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Moon Phase</th>
<th>Spawning Times</th>
<th>Location of Fertilization</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACROPORIDAE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. humilis</em> (Dana, 1846)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>*S</td>
<td>Lt. Sp</td>
<td>*June</td>
<td>*Surface</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kenyon (1992, 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. paniculata</em> Verrill, 1902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. flabellata</em> Studer, 1901</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sr-<em>F</em></td>
<td>July-*Sept., 21:05-21:50</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>Heyward (1986), this study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. patula</em> Verrill, 1864</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>New-1st qtr, Full-3rd qtr</td>
<td>July-Sept., 22:05-23:10</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>Heyward (1986), Hodgson (1988), this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. tuberculosa</em> (Lamarck, 1816)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. verrilli</em> Vaughan, 1907</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>*S</td>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>Full-3rd qtr</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>*Surface</td>
<td>Heyward (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AGARICIDAE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gardinereroes planulata</em> (Dana, 1846)</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*Water column</td>
<td></td>
<td>G. Hodgson, unpubl. data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptoseris browniensi</em> Vaughan, 1907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. incrustans</em> Quelch, 1886</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. mycescorader</em> Wells, 1954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. pappacea</em> (Dana, 1846)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. scabra</em> Vaughan, 1907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. tubulifera</em> Vaughan, 1907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pavona duerdeni</em> Vaughan, 1907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. varians</em> Verrill, 1864</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Lt. Sp</td>
<td>Full-3rd qtr</td>
<td>June, 19:05-20:15</td>
<td>*Water column/ surface</td>
<td>G. Hodgson, unpubl. data; Mate (1998), this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. maldivensis</em> (Gardiner, 1905)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BALANOPHYLLIDAE
Balanophyllia sp. cf. affinis (Semper, 1872)
B. hawaiensis Vaughan, 1907

DENDROPHYLLIDAE
Tubastrea coccinea Lesson, 1831

FAVIIDAE
Cyphastrea ecellina (Dana, 1846)
Leptastrea bottle (Milne-Edwards & Haime, 1850)
L. purpurea Dana, 1846

FUNGIIDAE
Cycloseris tensis (Dana, 1846)
C. vaughani (Boschma, 1923)
Diaseris distorta (Michelin, 1843)
Fungia scutatia Lamarck, 1801

POCILLOPORIDAE
Pocillopora damicornis (Linnaeus, 1758)
P. eydouxi Milne-Edwards & Haime, 1860
P. ligulata Dana, 1846
P. meandrina Dana, 1846
P. molokensis Vaughan, 1907
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Moon Phase</th>
<th>Spawning Times</th>
<th>Location of Fertilization</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PORITIDAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porites brighami Vaughan, 1907</td>
<td>G, H</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Sr</td>
<td></td>
<td>Polyps</td>
<td>Hunter and Hodgson in Richmond and Hunter (1990)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. compressa Dana, 1848</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>Full–3rd qtr</td>
<td>June–Sept., 23:00–01:30</td>
<td>Water column</td>
<td>Hunter (1988a), this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. evermanni Vaughan, 1907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. lichen Dana, 1846</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Polyps</td>
<td>G. Hodgson, unpubl. data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. lobata Dana, 1846</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Lt. Sp–Sr</td>
<td>Full–3rd qtr</td>
<td>June–Aug., 01:20–03:14</td>
<td>Water column</td>
<td>Hunter and Hodgson in Richmond and Hunter (1990), Mate (1998), Neves (1998), this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. pukoomis Vaughan, 1907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. rus (Forsskål, 1775)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHIZANGIIDAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culicoides sp. cf. tenella Dana, 1846</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIDERASTREIDAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coscinaraea wellsi Veron &amp; Pichon, 1979</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psammocora explanulata Van der Horst, 1921</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. niestrassi Van der Horst, 1922</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. stellata Verrill, 1864</td>
<td>*B (?)</td>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td></td>
<td>July, *19:50–21:00</td>
<td>*Polyps</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. verrilli Vaughan, 1907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *, inferred; Lt., late.
* G, gonochoric; H, hermaphroditic.
* B, brooder; S, spawner.
* Sp, spring; Sr, summer; F, fall; W, winter; Yr, year-round.
shallow-water species but are far from complete. Six (25%) of the 24 species brood larvae, 10 (42%) are broadcast-spawning hermaphrodites, and eight (33%) are gonochoric broadcast spawners. Seventeen species (71%) display peak reproductive development and gamete or planula release during summer, at least five species (21%) extend release into fall, three species (13%) continue release into winter, and nine species (38%) begin or continue reproductive release in the spring (Figure 1). Fertilization in the Hawaiian Acroporidae is overwhelmingly a surface phenomenon. In brooding species syngamy is presumed to take place within polyps. Zygote formation in gonochoric broadcast spawners is likely to occur within the water column, but surface fertilization may also take place. Although specific timing of gamete release appears discrete and predictable, spawning for most species occurs over a number of days and months, indicating variability and possible plasticity in seasonal synchrony of spawning events.

The classification of *Psammacora stellata* as a brooder in this study is tentative and in need of verification. It is based on the tank collection of swimming coral larvae 45 min after previous examination of secluded colonies. We made direct observations on mode and timing of reproductive release of *Montipora patula* colonies over multiple reproductive seasons, as well as observations confirming the reproductive activities of *M. capitata*, *Pavona varians*, *Tubastrea coccinea*, *Cyphastrea ocellina*, *Fungia scutaria*, *Pocillopora damicornis*, *P. meandrina*, *Porites compressa*, and *P. lobata*. Observations of *Montipora flabellata* spawning were limited, and species identification of the morphological variant used in this study is in need of verification and congruence of multiple coral taxonomists.

**DISCUSSION**

Any assessment of potential impacts to Hawaiian reef corals must take into consideration local population structure and timing.
and location of all stages of coral reproductive cycles, including recruitment and growth. Reproductive stages for each species are susceptible to different impacts at particular times of the year, corresponding to spatial and temporal variation of reproductive activities. Because of the open nature of the marine system, the ultimate effect of any localized impact is also likely to be incurred by one or more sink reef areas within the region of the metapopulation, even those remote from the reproductive source area (Keough and Black 1996, Raimondi and Reed 1996).

Negative impacts to one or multiple stages of the reproductive process are likely to have a cascading effect on the success of the remaining stages. For instance, Hughes et al. (2000) discovered a strong link between adult fecundity and recruitment in species of Australian Acropora and suggested that even a small decline in fecundity will result in a major reduction in overall recruitment. Small changes in fertilization rates or the rate of mortality of embryos and larvae also may have dramatic effects on the recruitment of dispersing offspring (Underwood and Fairweather 1989, Levitan 1993, Lasker et al. 1996). The onset and rate of coral gamete development appear to be influenced by environmental cues and conditions (Szmant-Froelich et al. 1980, Harrison and Wallace 1990, Szmant and Gassman 1990, Fan and Dai 1999). Physical and/or chemical impacts to the benthic community over the course of gamete maturation thus have the potential to disrupt the development process, resulting in loss of synchronization and/or a reduction in overall fecundity. Sedimentation, reduced salinity from runoff, oil residues and dispersants, heavy metals, oils and dispersants, human drug by-products, and other derived chemical compounds may act as waterborne toxicants that have inhibitory effects on coral recruitment as a result of reactions with larvae, settlement inducer molecules, and/or inducer-producing communities (Richmond 1993, 1997, Peters et al. 1997, Negri and Heyward 2000, 2001, Reichelt-Brushett and Harrison 2000).

Little is known about developmental stimuli or periods of gametogenesis for corals in Hawai‘i. Studies of corals in other regions demonstrate that gametogenesis may begin from 2.5 to over 15 months before gamete or planula release (Wallace 1985, Babcock et al. 1986, Szmant 1986, Harrison and Wallace 1990). In Hawai‘i, the discharge of coral reproductive products tends to take place over the course of 1 to 2 hours at a predictable time and appears in some cases to correspond to a particular lunar cycle (Table 1). Release recurs in many species over a number of consecutive days, with populations spawning over two to four consecutive months or planulating throughout the year (Table 1). Gamete viability limits the period of fertilization to within hours of spawning (Heyward and Babcock 1986, Hodgson 1988, Hunter 1988a, Oliver and Babcock 1992, Kenyon 1994, Mate et al. 1998). Embryonic development may proceed on the order of days (Babcock and Heyward 1986, Hunter 1988a, Harrison and Wallace 1990, Richmond 1997, Mate et al. 1998; S.P.K., unpubl. data). Coral larvae recruit year-round, but the vast majority of settlement takes place during summer and fall subsequent to spawning of the most abundant species (Fitzhardinge 1993; S.P.K., unpubl. data; E. K. Brown, unpubl. data). Long periods of growth on the order of months to...
years may be required before metamorphosed polyps recruit to the visible (sensu Wallace 1983) coral population (S.P.K., unpubl. data; E. K. Brown, unpubl. data).

Hawaiian species for which observations on reproductive mode and timing remain to be made tend to be less abundant, have low population densities, and/or are less accessible in their Hawaiian distributions. Few reports on the reproductive habits of these species in other locations were found (Gardineroseris planulata [Glynn et al. 1996], Pocillopora eydouxi [Kinzie 1993], Porites rus [Richmond and Hunter 1990]). Intraspecific variation in spawning times, sex, and/or modes across geographic distributions for many scleractinian species (see Harrison and Wallace 1990, Richmond and Hunter 1990, Ward 1992, Richmond 1997, Glynn et al. 2000) suggests that inferences on the reproductive timing and characteristics of species yet to be investigated in Hawaiian waters need be made with caution or not at all.

Hawaiian corals do not appear to be unique with regard to reproductive mode and timing. Discrete species gamete release over the course of several days and months has been noted in various geographic regions (Richmond and Hunter 1990, Hayashibara et al. 1993, Richmond 1997) and may increase reproductive success by allowing species to take advantage of environmental variability over time (Harrison and Wallace 1990, Richmond and Hunter 1990). Extended planulae release and spawning across spring and summer months is common to corals in other areas (Richmond and Hunter 1990, Richmond 1997). Mass overlap of species spawning as has been found in areas such as the Great Barrier Reef and Japan (Harrison and Wallace 1990, Richmond and Hunter 1990, Hayashibara et al. 1993) is not apparent in Hawaiian waters. Causal factors of mass spawning events have yet to be resolved. The probability of mass species spawning in Hawaii is presumably lower because of the relatively depauperate scleractinian species richness of the region.

Sound natural resource management and conservation must be based on a detailed understanding of reef processes if we are to successfully develop coastal areas in a manner that minimizes impacts to coral reef ecosystems. Our current ability to assess human impacts on coral reproductive dynamics is limited by a number of factors, including natural variation in reproduction, complex and/or synergistic physiological responses of corals to stresses, the lack of a catalog of known impacts and their sources, and incomplete knowledge of coral regional metapopulation boundaries. A few general precautionary guidelines, however, can be made. In particular, special attention should be given to minimizing benthic impacts, including chronic reductions in light, year-round, encompassing all periods of gamete development, recruitment, and growth of all Hawaiian coral species. Dredging or other damaging activities, including mitigation efforts such as the transplantation of corals, should be scheduled to follow the reproductive season of species that will be physically impacted. Changes in water column parameters, such as from fine-grained sedimentation, oil, petrol, and toxic chemical releases, are likely to have the greatest impact when they occur during April through November, the period of synchronous gamete release, fertilization, embryonic development, and dispersal of the dominant Hawaiian corals (Figure 1). Investigations of the effects of steroids, hormones, and other chemical pollutants are needed, as is information on gametogenesis and recruitment processes for all, and reproductive timing, mode, and plasticity for many Hawaiian coral species.

Successful corals tend to be long-lived and experience multiple reproductive events, thus short-term, infrequent, limited, or controlled disturbances might have a negligible long-term impact on reproduction and population dynamics. Further studies of the reproductive biology of Hawaiian corals will elucidate the magnitude of these types of impacts on the long-term dynamics of coral populations. It is important to recognize, however, that the focus of attention should not be restricted to corals, but expanded to cover the range of contributors to reef ecosystem functions, including other invertebrates, vertebrates, plants, algae, and protists.
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