A New Name for the Hawaiian Antipatharian Coral Formerly Known as *Antipathes dichotoma* (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Antipatharia)\(^1\)

**Dennis M. Opresko**\(^2\)

**Abstract:** A Hawaiian species of antipatharian coral previously identified as *Antipathes dichotoma* Pallas, 1766, is described as *Antipathes griggi* Opresko, n. sp. The species forms tall, bushy colonies with elongate, upright terminal branches, often arranged uniserially. Spines are conical, mostly 0.20 to 0.26 mm tall, apically bifurcated, multilobed to jagged in appearance, and covered over most of their surface with small roundish to elongate papillae. Minute secondary spines may occur on some of the thicker branches. Polyps are 1 to 1.6 mm in transverse diameter. The species resembles *A. fruticosa* Gray in branching pattern, size of spines, and presence of secondary spines but differs in morphology and density of the spines (thicker, more crowded primary spines and fewer secondary spines in *A. griggi*). Other related species differ from *A. griggi* in having more widely spreading and irregularly arranged branches, no secondary spines, and either smaller spines with fewer apical lobes (*A. curvata* van Pesch, *A. arborea* Dana, and *A. galapagensis* Deichmann) or larger spines with the apical lobes arranged in a somewhat coronate pattern (*A. spinulosa* (Schultze) and *A. lentipinna* Brook).
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For many years, a species of antipatharian coral common off the coasts of the Hawaiian Islands has been known as *Antipathes dichotoma* Pallas, 1766. Grigg and Opresko (1977) noted that the spines more closely resembled those of *A. lentipinna* Brook than those of *A. dichotoma*; however, at the time of publication of that report, specimens of the true *A. dichotoma* were not available for direct comparison. Based on the fact that van Pesch (1914) had synonymized *A. lentipinna* with *A. dichotoma*, the Hawaiian specimens were referred to *A. dichotoma*. A study of specimens of *A. dichotoma* from the Mediterranean (the type locality), however, revealed that the Hawaiian species is quite distinct from the true *A. dichotoma* (see Opresko 2003). The Hawaiian species therefore requires the assignment of a new name. Although this species does show similarities to several other Pacific species (*A. fruticosa* Gray, 1857; *A. curvata* van Pesch, 1914; *A. arborea* Dana, 1846; *A. galapagensis* Deichmann, 1941; and *A. spinulosa* (Schultze, 1896)), there are sufficient differences to recognize it as a distinct species.

**Materials and Methods**

The specimens on which this study is based were collected by a number of individuals, including R. Grigg, S. Kahng, T. Montgomery, C. Pittman, and H. Hall. The material was collected either by hand by scuba diving or by the use of the submersible *Pisces V*, operated by the Hawaiian Underseas Research Laboratory of the University of Hawai‘i and funded by a grant from the Western Pacific Fisheries Management Council. The microscopic skeletal features of the specimens were examined using a scanning electron microscope (Amray 1810) located at the
RESULTS
Species Account
Family Antipathidae Ehrenberg, 1834
Genus Antipathes Pallas, 1766

Antipathes griggi Opresko, n. sp.
Figures 1–4

not Antipathes dichotoma Pallas, 1766:216 (and later authors; see Brook [1889] and Oppresko [2003] for synonymy).

Antipathes dichotoma, Grigg (1964, 1965, 2001, 2003, 2004); Grigg and Oppresko (1977:242–261, fig. 9); Hoover (1998); Montgomery (2002); Boland and Parrish (2005); Fenner (2005); Kahng and Grigg (2005); Roark et al. (2006); Kahng and Kelley (2007).


TYPE MATERIAL. Holotype (usnm 52436): Hawai‘i, Maui, 150 ft (45.7 m), H. Hall, July 1961 (in alcohol). Paratypes (usnm 1111742): Hawai‘i, Maui, 150 ft (45.7 m), H. Hall, July 1961 (several branches in alcohol; same lot as that of the holotype and most likely from the same colony). Paratype (usnm 1096156): Hawai‘i, Maui, Circus Pinnacle, 20° 52.928’ N, 156° 45.535’ W, Pisces V, dive 570, 33 m, S. Kahng, R. Grigg, 11 September 2004 (one specimen, dry). Paratype (usnm 1099771): Hawai‘i, off south coast of Kaua‘i, 21° 51’ 18” N, 159° 26’ 11” W, 51.8–54.9 m, T. Montgomery, 5 April 2005 (one specimen, in alcohol). Paratype (usnm 1099770): Hawai‘i, off south coast of Kaua‘i, 21° 51’ 18” N, 159° 26’ 11” W, 51.8–54.9 m, T. Montgomery, 5 April 2005 (one specimen, in alcohol).


DIAGNOSIS. Colonies tall, up to 3 m or more, extensively branched with eight or more orders of branching. Branches long, distally directed, disposed irregularly on all sides of lower-order branches, but with highest order branchlets often arranged uniserially with five or more in a row. Terminal branchlets up to about 10 cm long without becoming subbranched. Distal branch angles generally 30° to 45°.

Polypar spines on branchlets conical; acute to blunt; mostly 0.2 to 0.26 mm tall, but ranging from 0.16 to about 0.30 mm; simple near tips of branchlets but becoming bifurcated, then multifid and sometimes jagged in appearance farther away from distal end and on thicker branchlets; covered over most of...
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Surface with small roundish to elongate papillae. Abopolypar spines one-half to two-thirds the size of polypar spines. Small secondary spines, up to 0.04 mm tall, present on some branches. Primary spines arranged in axial rows; five to eight rows visible in lateral view on branchlets and smaller branches; rows becoming less distinct on larger branches with additional spines developing irregularly between rows. Spines in each row usually spaced 0.3 to 0.4 mm apart (range 0.2 to 0.6 mm), with three to four (range two to six) spines per millimeter in each row.

Polyps typically 1.2 to 1.3 mm in transverse diameter (range 1 to 1.6 mm); arranged in a single series on smallest branchlets, with six to nine (usually seven or eight) polyps per centimeter.

Color of living colonies red.

Description of holotype. The holotype is one of three clusters of branches in a single lot that presumably were hand-collected from a single larger colony because the museum catalog indicates only one specimen for that USNM accession number. It is about 32 cm tall with a basal branch diameter of 3.5 mm (Figure 1a). Branching is irregular and somewhat bushy, although in places there is a tendency for subbranches to be arranged uniserially with three or four in a single row. Branches tend to be linear and elongate and extend vertically (or distally, relative to the lower-order branch from which they arise). A branch 17 cm long is about 1.3 mm in diameter at its basal end and has two subbranches. Branches are spaced various distances apart, from less than 1 cm to more than 5 cm; usually there are no more than three branchlets per 5 cm length of branch. Distal branch angles are as narrow as 30°, but on thicker branches they may be up to about 45°. Terminal branchlets reach a maximum length of about 10 cm without subbranching. Thickness of the midpoint of the terminal branchlets is 0.3–0.4 mm, excluding spines, and 0.5–0.6 mm, including spines. A terminal branchlet 8 cm long is about 0.7 mm in basal diameter excluding spines, and about 1 mm in diameter including spines.

At the distal end of branchlets (diameter 0.1 mm) the polypar spines are simple, laterally compressed, usually very acute, and curved distally. Farther away from the tip they become larger, more conical, and bifurcated or multilobed at the apex. Where the diameter of a branchlet is about 0.2 mm, the polypar spines are up to 0.2 mm tall and a few are forked at the apex (Figures 2a, 3a). Farther away from the distal end of a branchlet (diameter about 0.3 mm) they are often bifurcate or trilobed (Figures 2b, 3b). On branchlets 0.3–0.5 mm in diameter they are up to 0.25 mm tall and consistently multilobed (Figure 2c). Toward the basal part of a branchlet (diameter about 0.5–0.6 mm) they become thick, blunt, and some have a jagged apex (Figures 2d, 3c). Spines on branches are similar in size and morphology to those on lower parts of branchlets (Figures 2e–f, 3d). A few small secondary spines can be found on branchlets as narrow as 0.4 mm in diameter (Figure 2c) but are more common on branches with a diameter of 1 mm or more. Secondary spines are up to 0.04 mm tall, laterally compressed, narrow, and acute. Up to four secondary spines can be found within an area of 0.01 mm², but usually there are no more than two or three per 0.01 mm².

The abopolypar spines are smaller than the polypar spines. Where polypar spines reach a maximum size of 0.2 to 0.24 mm, abopolypar spines range from 0.1 to about 0.16 mm. Polypar spines are usually inclined distally to a slight degree, although in some cases the distal edge may be almost at right angles to the surface of the axis. Abopolypar spines are also inclined distally. The surface of all the spines is covered with small, roundish to elongated papillae (elongated in the direction from apex to base of the spine). Papillae cover the surface of the spines from the tip to about four-fifths of the distance to the base (Figure 3a–d). Near the tip of a branchlet four longitudinal rows of spines can be seen in one lateral view (excluding rows in which spines are only partially visible); on thicker parts of the branchlets there are up to eight rows of spines visible. Within each row, spines are 0.3–0.4 mm apart, resulting in three or four per millimeter. On larger branches arrangement of spines in rows becomes less distinct as a result of additional spines developing.
Figure 1. *Antipathes griggi* Opresko, n. sp., holotype (USNM 52436): a, one of three clusters of branches in the original accessioned lot; b, polyps on branchlets.
Figure 2. Antipathes griggi Opresko, n. sp., holotype (USNM 52436), spines on branchlets and branches: a, branch diameter 0.18 mm; b, 0.27 mm; c, 0.43 mm; d, 0.56 mm; e, 1 mm; f, 1.5 mm. Scale bars, 0.1 mm.
Figure 3. *Antipathes griggi* Opresko, n. sp., holotype (usnm 52436), polypar spines: a, branchlet diameter 0.18 mm; b, branchlet diameter 0.3 mm; c, branchlet diameter 0.5 mm; d, branch diameter 0.9 mm. Scale bars, 0.1 mm.
in an irregular manner between rows. On a branch about 2 mm in diameter 12 or more rows can be seen in one lateral view. Spines on larger branches are 0.14 to 0.18 mm tall. In general, they are conical with coarser surface features than branchlet spines; however, they appear to have fewer bifurcations or are not as apically multilobed as those on branchlets.

Polyps are arranged in a single row on branchlets and smaller branches (Figure 1b). In the preserved state they measure 1.1 to 1.3 mm in transverse diameter (from distal side of base of distal lateral tentacles to proximal side of base of proximal lateral tentacles), and there are usually seven or eight polyps per centimeter. On larger branches (diameter of about 3 mm) a few polyps may be found lateral to those arranged uniserially, indicating that on still larger branches polyps may occur in multiple rows. Color of living colonies is red.

**Intraspecific variation.** In its typical form, colonies of *A. griggi* have terminal branches 0.5–0.6 mm in diameter (including spines), polypar spines 0.2–0.24 mm tall, and polyps that are, on average, about 1.2 mm in transverse diameter. In an examination of 16 specimens assigned to this species branchlet diameter (including spines) ranged from 0.4 to 1.0 mm (0.3–0.7 mm excluding spines); maximum size of polypar spines ranged from 0.16 to over 0.3 mm; and maximum polyp size (transverse diameter) ranged from 1.0 mm to 1.6 mm, with six to nine polyps per centimeter. Examples of the variability in the morphology and size of the spines from selected specimens are shown in Figure 4.

The range in variation in morphological characters can be correlated with several morphotypes that differ from the typical form in thickness of the terminal branchlets, and size and shape of the spines. In some specimens, terminal branchlets are thicker and polypar spines, on average, are larger than those in the typical form (sometimes exceeding 0.3 mm [see Figure 4c]). There are also specimens in which terminal branchlets are consistently thinner and spines smaller than the typical form (i.e., usually less than 0.2 mm) and often with fewer apical notches or bifurcations (see Figure 4a). Secondary spines are present on some specimens (Figure 4e) but absent on others. Spines can be strongly laterally compressed (Figure 4b) or thick and blunt (Figure 4d). The degree to which tips of primary spines are lobed also varies from specimen to specimen. In many specimens apical lobes are limited to only two or three per spine and are very small; in other cases spines can become multilobed and jagged in appearance, as in the type. Substantial intraspecific variation in spine morphology and size is not unexpected for antipatharians (see Opresko 1996) and may be due to inherent or environmentally induced factors; however, at the same time closely related species may overlap in key taxonomic characters. In the latter case species differentiation may only be possible through careful field studies and DNA analyses.

Specimens provisionally identified as *A. griggi* collected from Ka’ula Island (USNM 1096162) are unique in several ways: (1) they have very thick terminal branchlets (1–1.5 mm with spines included); (2) branchlets have more rows of spines than the typical form; (3) polypar spines on terminal branchlets (Figure 4f) are very laterally compressed, extend out at right angles to the axis, and usually have only a small apical bifurcation; (4) multilobed spines occur only on lower parts of branchlets; and (5) polyps are relatively smaller than in the typical form, with eight or nine per centimeter. This morphotype has not been reported from any other location in the Hawaiian Islands (S. Kahng, pers. obs.), raising the possibility that it may be a genetically isolated population; however, the weight of evidence suggests that it may be a distinct species.

**Etymology.** The name *Antipathes griggi* Opresko, n. sp., is given in recognition of the many years that Rick Grigg (professor emeritus at the University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa) has spent studying Hawaiian corals, and especially Hawaiian black corals.

**Distribution.** Known only from the Hawaiian Islands at depths ranging from about 20 to 75 m.
As noted earlier, this species has for a long time been referred to *Antipathes dichotoma* Pallas, primarily because many species similar in external appearance to *A. dichotoma* had been synonymized by van Pesch (1914). A detailed evaluation of the limits of morphological variation of *A. dichotoma* (see Opresko 2003) resulted in the conclusion that the Hawaiian species is a different species.

Based on similarities in the morphology of
the corallum and spines, six nominal species appear to be related to *A. griggi*. These include *Antipathes lentipinna* Brook, 1889, from the Red Sea; *A. curvata* van Pesch, 1914, from Indonesia; *A. arborea* Dana, 1846, from Fiji; *A. galapagensis* Deichmann, 1941, from the Galápagos Islands; *A. spinulosa* (Schultze, 1896) from Indonesia; and *A. fruticosa* Gray, 1857, from New Zealand. These species resemble *A. griggi* in having a bushy, irregularly branched corallum (Figure 5) and spines with notches or multiple lobes at the apex (Figures 6 and 7). They are also alike in having various degrees of very fine sculpturing on the sur-

![Figure 5. Comparison of branching patterns of species related to *A. griggi*: a, *Antipathes fruticosa* Gray, branch of holotype (BMNH 1846.8.3.130); b, *A. lentipinna* Brook, branch of type (BMNH 1973.9.20); c, *A. galapagensis* Deichmann, branch from holotype (USNM 43443); d, *A. curvata* van Pesch, part of holotype (ZMA Coel. 01793); e, *A. spinulosa* (Schultze), holotype (SMF-93); f, *A. arborea* Dana, digital scan of illustration of branch of type specimen in Dana (1846). Scale bars, 2 cm.](image-url)
face of the spines that occur in the form of irregular, rounded, or elongated papillae or striations (Figure 7).

Grigg and Opresko (1977) had suggested that the Hawaiian species might be related to *A. lentipinna* Brook, 1889, based on similarities in the morphology of the spines. An examination of the type specimen of *A. lentipinna* revealed that over most of the corallum the polypar spines are simple, or have only a few apical lobes, although on some branchlets they can have very distinct apical lobes arranged in a somewhat coronate pattern (Figures 6b and 7b). This latter morphology is seen only rarely in *A. griggi*. In *A. griggi* the polypar spines can have a jagged appearance.
(Figures 3c–d), a condition not seen in spines of *A. lentipinna*. Another difference between the two species is in the growth form of the corallum. The branching in *A. griggi* is more consistently vertically directed than that in *A. lentipinna*, although in parts of the corallum of the latter the branching is similar (Figure 5b). There also may be differences between the two species in the size of the polyps. Polyps were not present on the type of *A. lentipinna*; however, a specimen assigned to this species by Summers (1910) reportedly had polyps that were 2 mm in transverse diameter, larger than those in *A. griggi* (1–1.6 mm). With the exception of *A. fruticosa*, polyps of the other species listed here are all within the size range of those of *A. griggi*. The polyps of *A. fruticosa* have not been described.

The species *A. galapagensis*, *A. curvata*, *A. spinulosa*, and *A. arborea* can be differentiated from *A. griggi* by corallum morphology in that they all have a more open type of branching pattern than *A. griggi*, with distal branch angles being as much as 90° (Figure...
Figure 8. *Antipathes fruticosa* Gray, holotype (BMNH 1846.8.3.130).
They can also be differentiated from *A. griggi* by the size and morphology of their polypar spines. Polypar spines of *A. galapagensis* are conical, 0.14–0.16 mm tall, show only a slight indication of an apical bifurcation or notch (Figure 6c), and they have very irregular and faint surface sculpturing (Figure 7c). In the type of *A. aborea*, the polypar spines are triangular in lateral view, laterally compressed, no more than 0.15 mm tall, with small lobes at the apex (Figure 6f), and with elongated papillae on the upper half of their surface (Figure 7f). Polypar spines in the type of *A. curvata* are 0.12–0.18 mm tall, triangular on the smallest branchlets, but more conical and more inclined distally on the larger branchlets (Figure 6d). Some are bifurcated or multiply lobed at the apex, and they also have very small striations on the surface, but these tend to be confined to the upper half of the spine (Figure 7d). In *A. spinulosa*, the polypar spines (Figure 6e) are up to 0.34 mm tall, stand out at right angles to the axis, and have distinct apical lobes (Figure 7e) arranged in a coronate pattern similar to that seen in *A. lentipinna*. In some cases the apical lobes of the spines extend down the sides as much as halfway to the base. Small papillae cover much of the surface of the spines (Figure 7e). In *A. griggi*, the spines are typically 0.2–0.24 mm tall, range from being bilobed to multiply knobbed and even jagged at the apex, and have round to elongated papillae that cover the surface almost to the base. Overall, spines in *A. griggi* are larger than those in *A. aborea, A. curvata*, and *A. galapagensis* and smaller than those in *A. spinulosa*. It should be noted, however, that the
thin-branched morphotype of *A. griggi* has spines that are uniformly smaller than those of *A. curvata*, and the thick-branched morphotype has spines that approach those of *A. spinulosa* in size. Nevertheless, differences in the growth form of the corallum and the morphology of the spines, including the apical modifications and the fine surface sculpturing, argue against their belonging to these species.

The species most closely resembling *A. griggi* in both the appearance of the corallum and in the size of the spines is *A. fruticosa* from New Zealand. This species forms large, bushy colonies with terminal branchlets 3–10 cm long that are often arranged uniserially and directed upward (Figure 8), very much like the branching pattern in *A. griggi*. Polybar spines (Figure 9) are similar in size (0.24 mm) and shape to those of *A. griggi*, and secondary spines are present on most branches. The species differ most noticeably in the size and density of the secondary spines. In *A. fruticosa* secondary spines are up to 0.05 mm tall, and there are four to five per 0.01 mm². In contrast, in *A. griggi* secondary spines are generally less than 0.04 mm, and there are usually only two to three per 0.01 mm². Conversely, primary spines in *A. fruticosa* are not as crowded (about two per millimeter in each row) as those in *A. griggi* (three to four per millimeter in each row). There are also slight differences between the two species in the apical lobes of the primary spines. In *A. fruticosa* these tend to be more confined to the apex and, in places, have a more cororate arrangement as in *A. lentipinna* and *A. spinulosa*. In addition, in contrast to the case in *A. griggi*, apical lobes are more common on spines on the thinnest parts of the branchlets (Figure 9a) but less common on the thicker parts, where tips of the spines have a more blunt, rounded appearance (Figure 9c). Comparison of the polyps of the two species is not possible because the type specimen of *A. fruticosa* is a dry specimen without polyps.
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