Coral (Anthozoa: Scleractinia) Recruitment at Bahías de Huatulco, Western México: Implications for Coral Community Structure and Dynamics
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Abstract: Over the past decades there has been an increasing awareness of community structure and dynamics in eastern Pacific coral reef systems, yet the processes producing these patterns are poorly known. We conducted a quantitative analysis of patterns of sexual and asexual recruitment through fragmentation at six localities in Huatulco, México. Between January 2001 and January 2002, sexual recruitment was evaluated by using terracotta tiles. Fragmentation was addressed twice using quadrats. Two hundred ninety-two corals (291 Porites panamensis Verrill, 1 Pocillopora sp.) were recruited to the settlement tiles. Changes in abundance of recruits among sites were determined by coral cover of P. panamensis at each area. Fragmentation was restricted to Pocillopora spp., and processes producing fragments had no connection with those promoting their reattachment and survival. Sexual and asexual recruitment patterns and potential survival asymmetries displayed by P. panamensis and Pocillopora spp. in the area are of capital importance in the occurrence of local communities and potentially of those of the entire eastern Pacific region. Sexual and asexual recruitment patterns suggest that recovery of frame-building corals following disturbance is highly species-specific. Recovery of P. panamensis following coral removal can be relatively fast, but greatly prolonged for Pocillopora; however, in communities with low to moderate disturbance where patches of Pocillopora were preserved reef recovery can proceed at a moderate to relatively fast pace following disturbance. Coordinated multidisciplinary and interinstitutional efforts, including genetic, histological, and ecological approaches, are necessary to determine unequivocally the processes controlling community structure and dynamics in the area.

In general, sexual and asexual recruitment are the means by which corals maintain their populations. Populations release swimming larvae that are carried by currents to settle and colonize new habitats, as well as to maintain the native reefs from which they were released (Sammarco 1994). Long-distance dispersal of swimming larvae can act as a means to establish remote and nearby new reefs, and promote gene flow (Scheltema 1977, Ayre and Hughes 2000). Locally, larvae can maintain local populations and, depending on the extent and severity of the damage, recolonize old reef patches after disturbance (Fox 2004).

In coral reefs, though asexual replication and settlement might occur through asexual larvae, fragmentation is considered the dominant asexual process (Richmond 1997). Frag-
mentation occurs among many branching and nonbranching species when intact colonies are broken apart, especially by bioerosion, predation, storms, and hurricanes (Reyes-Bonilla and Calderón-Aguilera 1994, Glynn et al. 1998, Lirman et al. 2001). Under favorable conditions, fragments may survive, reattach to the substrate, and reproduce. Fragmentation potentially allows species and genets (all colonies derived from the same sexual recruit) to extend their distribution and abundance locally, producing patches of adjacent clones (Hunter 1993). In addition, fragments are able to reach sites where larvae are unable to settle, such as sandy areas at the periphery of a coral reef (Highsmith 1980). Furthermore, because live coral fragments may move up to tens of meters due to water movement or gravity (Dollar and Tribble 1993), fragmentation is extremely valuable in the short-term recovery of local populations following disturbance. Unfortunately, the cost of fragmentation in corals can be high. Especially during mass-mortality episodes, overall rate of mortality could be higher than that of a nonfragmented genet (Smith and Hughes 1999), resulting in the local or regional extirpation of species or genets.

The importance of recruitment in the structure and dynamics of coral populations and communities has received much attention during the past decades (Birkeland 1977, Connell et al. 1997, Richmond 1997). It is known, for instance, that larvae respond to settlement cues (Benayahu and Loya 1984, Maidà et al. 1995, Mundy 2000), which may have profound effects on juvenile and adult distribution and abundance patterns. In addition, variable rates of recruitment among species coupled with differential survival of larvae and juveniles have a capital role in the structure and dynamics of coral communities (Miller et al. 2000, Soong et al. 2003).

Coral recruitment has been widely studied in the Indo-Pacific and Caribbean (Smith and Hughes 1999, Edmunds 2000, Carlon 2002, Hughes et al. 2002, Tamelander 2002). On the contrary, eastern Pacific studies, especially those on sexual recruitment, have been sporadic (Birkeland 1977, Wellington 1982, Richmond 1985, Medina-Rosas et al. 2005), and recruits have been extremely low. Due to the sporadic nature of eastern Pacific coral recruits, it has been suggested that coral species in the region might be sexually sterile (Richmond 1985, 1987, Ayre et al. 1997) and therefore eastern Pacific species rely fully on fragmentation to maintain their local populations (Birkeland 1977, Highsmith 1982, Wellington 1982, Richmond 1985, 1987, Glynn et al. 1996).

We examined the larval recruitment to settlement tiles and the asexual recruitment via fragmentation at several sites in the Huatulco area and looked for differences among species and localities. Here, we present data on recruitment rate and fragment recruitment from six coral communities in the Huatulco area. We hypothesize that modes of recruitment are conservative within but vary among coral species, and that any spatiotemporal asymmetry in recruitment and postsettlement mortality should be expressed in the coral reef community structure of Bahías de Huatulco.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Area**

This study was conducted at six locations in Bahías de Huatulco, western México (Figure 1): (1) La Tijera, 15° 41’ N, 96° 26’ W; (2) Isla San Agustín, 15° 41’ N, 96° 14’ W; (3) Jicaral-Chachacual, 15° 42’ N, 96° 13’ W; (4) Isla Calalta, 15° 43’ N, 96° 09’ W; (5) La Entrega, 15° 44’ N, 96° 07’ W; (6) Isla Montosa, 15° 45’ N, 96° 04’ W. Sixteen hermatypic species from three genera have been reported in the area, and most of the reefs exhibited high (30–50%) to very high (60–90%) live coral cover (Glynn and Leyte-Morales 1997, Reyes-Bonilla and Leyte-Morales 1998). The communities are dominated by *Pocillopora* spp., whereas poritids and agariciids are relatively uncommon or restricted to deep waters and do not contribute large amounts of carbonate material to the reef structure (López-Pérez and Hernández-Ballesteros 2004). Although it is probably one of the best-developed coral reef systems in western México (Reyes-Bonilla 2003), its species richness, species composition, and coral
Figure 1. Study localities in the Bahías de Huatulco area, western México.

**Coral Coverage**

Between November 2000 and October 2001, except for December and July, three monthly 50 by 1 m² belt transects were conducted in San Agustín, Jicaral-Chacacual, Isla Cacaluta, La Entrega, and Isla Montosa, in the Huatulco area (Figure 1). All sampling transects (three per month per locality) were randomly placed in the reefs and ran parallel to the coastline at any depth, inside the bathymetric interval where reefs occur (2–13 m [Glynn and Leyte-Morales 1997]). Along each transect, all underlying coral species at a 1-m² interval were recorded. In addition, substrate characteristics such as either rocks, sand, algal mat, or cemented coral cover (dead corals) were recorded.

**Larval Recruitment**

Six triangular iron structures, with two faces (1 by 1 m) forming an angle of 45° with respect to the ocean floor, were randomly interspersed at each community inside the bathymetric interval where reefs occur. To each iron structure, six terracotta settlement tiles (28 by 14 by 2.5 cm) were attached to each face (12 tiles per structure) with plastic strings. Structures were submerged in January 2001 at Isla Montosa, La Entrega, and La Tijera and retrieved in December 2001 (the former two) and in January 2002 (the latter). At Isla Cacaluta and Jicaral-Chacacual, structures were submerged in February 2001 and retrieved in January 2002. Finally, at Isla San Agustín structures were submerged in March 2001 and retrieved in January 2002. The structures recorded a recruitment period of 11 months at Isla San Agustín; 12 months at Isla Montosa, La Entrega, Isla Cacaluta, and Jicaral-Chacacual; and 13 months at La Tijera. To investigate intra-annual variation in recruitment, two randomly selected tiles were replaced monthly at each locality. It was assumed that recruitment on the replacement tiles would proceed at the same pace as that on the remaining tiles (50 tiles) in the array; however this probably is untrue because it has been demonstrated that larvae respond to settlement cues (Mundy 2000) acquired during a potentially variable conditioning time (up to 5 months), as suggested by our study (see Larval Recruitment results).

Immediately after collection, the settlement tiles were labeled and all soft tissues were removed by immersing the tiles in hypochlorite solution. The plates were dried, all surfaces were examined, and the corals were identified under a dissecting microscope. Iron structures were treated as replicas in the subsequent data analysis.

Recruitment rate (ind m⁻² yr⁻¹) was calculated for each locality. Because the data were neither normal nor homocedastic even after a square-root transformation, a nonparametric Kruskall-Wallis analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze the effect of locality on recruitment rate. Differences between localities were examined using a Dunn test for uneven sample size (Zar 1999) because the number of recovered structures varied between localities.

The diameter of each recruit was measured and the average diameter of each individual was plotted through size-frequency distributions of raw data. Because size data were neither normal nor homocedastic even after a logarithmic transformation, a nonparametric Kruskall-Wallis ANOVA was used to analyze the effect of locality on coral size. Differences between localities were examined using a Dunn test for uneven sample size (Zar 1999) because the number of recruits varied between localities.

**Asexual Recruitment**

Fragmentation was addressed twice (November and December 2001) at the periphery of each coral community. Two types of fragments were recognized, fragments and successful fragments. Fragments were defined as colonies with fractured surfaces characteristic of asexual reproduction (Highsmith 1982) but
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unattached to the substrate; successful fragments were considered as previously defined but firmly attached to the substrate. This distinction was raised to distinguish between fragments that contribute to the coral population (i.e., successful fragments), compared with fragmentation affecting the population. Fragments and successful fragments were counted in 10 (1 m²) randomly placed quadrats per locality per time. When necessary, algae and sediments were brushed aside to locate corals, and colonies were identified to the lowest taxonomic level. Mean abundance of fragments and successful fragments was calculated for each locality. Because the data were neither normal nor homocedastic even after a square-root transformation, a non-parametric Kruskall-Wallis ANOVA was used to analyze the effect of locality on the abundance of fragments and successful fragments. Differences between localities were examined using a Nemenyi test for even sample size (Zar 1999).

All statistical analyses were completed with Statistica 6.0 and SPSS 10 software. The \( P \)-value in all cases was \( x = .05 \).

RESULTS

Coral Coverage

Seven species were found at the transects (Table 1), however their presence and contribution to coral cover varied among localities. As shown in Table 1, Pocillopora contributed most of the species (5), whereas Porites (1) and Pavona (1) were minor contributors to species richness. All the reefs exhibited high (40–50%) to very high (50–60%) live coral cover mainly constructed by Pocillopora spp. Except at Isla Montosa where Pocillopora capitata was the dominant species, Pocillopora damicornis was the main coral builder at all the localities. Features other than corals (algal mat, dead coral cover, sand, and rocks) made up 36% of the substrate cover at Isla San Agustín to 58% at La Entrega; algal mat and dead corals contributed largely to the substratum at Isla San Agustín, Jicaral-Chachacual, and La Entrega; and sand and rocks were most important at Isla Cacaluta and Isla Montosa. Unfortunately we lack transect data for La Tijera, but visual surveys show

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species/Substrate Characteristics</th>
<th>Isla San Agustín ((n = 24))</th>
<th>Jicaral-Chachacual ((n = 27))</th>
<th>Isla Cacaluta ((n = 26))</th>
<th>La Entrega ((n = 35))</th>
<th>Isla Montosa ((n = 22))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pocillopora damicornis (Linnaeus, 1758)</td>
<td>59.6 ± 25.3</td>
<td>8.9 ± 17.7</td>
<td>30.4 ± 20.8</td>
<td>36.2 ± 23.9</td>
<td>6.6 ± 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pocillopora capitata Verrill, 1864</td>
<td>1.3 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>10.3 ± 14.8</td>
<td>1.1 ± 2.6</td>
<td>27 ± 24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pocillopora verrucosa (Ellis &amp; Solander, 1786)</td>
<td>2.6 ± 4.8</td>
<td>1.8 ± 3.4</td>
<td>20.8 ± 17.8</td>
<td>3.6 ± 8.1</td>
<td>1.8 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pocillopora eydouxi Milne Edwards &amp; Haine, 1860</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pocillopora effusa Veron, 2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porites panamensis Verrill, 1866</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.5 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavona gigantea Verrill, 1869</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algal mat</td>
<td>13.6 ± 13.8</td>
<td>45.6 ± 29.7</td>
<td>9.3 ± 18</td>
<td>20.5 ± 23.2</td>
<td>1.4 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death cover</td>
<td>7.8 ± 9.1</td>
<td>31.9 ± 20.3</td>
<td>6.8 ± 10.3</td>
<td>29.2 ± 31.1</td>
<td>9 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>8.6 ± 17.4</td>
<td>2.1 ± 4.3</td>
<td>12.5 ± 13.3</td>
<td>6.4 ± 14.4</td>
<td>24 ± 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocks</td>
<td>5.8 ± 8.6</td>
<td>8.8 ± 15.3</td>
<td>9.9 ± 16</td>
<td>2.7 ± 6.6</td>
<td>21.2 ± 23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral cover</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate characteristics</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>56.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All values are mean species/substrate coverage ± standard deviation. \( n \) = number of transects.

TABLE 1

Live Coral Cover and Substrate Characteristics at Bahías de Huatulco Localities between November 2000 and October 2001
the same community trend (Pocillopora spp. > Porites spp. and Pavona spp.).

Larval Recruitment

Three to five structures and 44.5 to 83% of the tiles were recovered from the localities, and 292 corals from two genera were recruited to the settlement tiles. Porites pana
mensis Verrill constituted 291 corals, and just one Pocillopora sp. was recorded at La Entrega. Ninety-four out of 292 recruits were recorded during monthly tile replacement, whereas the rest of the recruits were recorded on tiles retrieved at the end of the study (Table 2).

The recruitment rate ranged from 0.85 ind m⁻² yr⁻¹ at La Entrega to 20.4 ind m⁻² yr⁻¹ at Isla Montosa. Recruitment rate varied significantly among localities (H = 63.32; df = 5, 20; P < .01), and differences occurred between Isla Montosa and La Tijera, and between those and the rest of the localities. Recruitment rate among Jicaral-Chachacual, Isla Cacaluta, and La Entrega did not show significant differences (Table 2). Larval supply and recruitment conditions affecting settlement vary greatly between localities.

Size-frequency distributions of data for all localities except Isla Cacaluta and La Entrega (because of low number of recruits) are given in Figure 2. All size-frequency distributions were nonnormal and highly skewed to the right; thus all the recruit populations consisted of many small individuals and relatively few large ones. Mean colony size varied greatly between sites (H = 12.27; df = 3, 183; P < .01). The arithmetic mean of the data suggests that recruits from La Entrega and Isla Cacaluta were the largest and smallest recovered from the area; however, maximum colony size occurred at Jicaral-Chachacual and La Tijera, suggesting variation in recruit size among sites (Table 2).

Bimonthly replacement of tiles started in March 2001, but no recruits were recovered until June 2001 (Figure 3). Considering the time the structures were submerged and the appearance of the first coral recruit in each locality, it took between 5 (La Tijera, Isla San Agustín) and 10 months (La Entrega) before any successful larval settlement, suggesting either a relatively large (up to 5 months) conditioning period of the tiles before settlement, the relative absence of larvae in the water column, or the low chance of encountering a recruit on 2 tiles of 72 at each locality. Recruits found after August 2001 are relatively larger than those that occurred in June 2001, but the trend is not significant (Spearman rank test: r² = 0.8, n = 5, P = .1).

Asexual Recruitment

Coral fragments were recorded at all localities except La Tijera. No fragments of species other than Pocillopora spp. were recorded across Huatulco. The number of fragments was low at Isla San Agustín (1.3 ± 2.17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>No. of Recovered Tiles</th>
<th>No. of Recruits</th>
<th>Recruits m⁻¹ t⁻¹</th>
<th>Recruit size (mm) (min/mean/max)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>La Tijera</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>18.4¹ a</td>
<td>0.7/2.1/16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isla San Agustín</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.8² b</td>
<td>0.7/3.4/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jicaral-Chachacual</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6.6 b</td>
<td>0.5/4.4/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isla Cacaluta</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.5 b</td>
<td>1/1.9/3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Entrega</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.8 b</td>
<td>0/5.3/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isla Montosa</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>20.4 c</td>
<td>0.5/4.9/14.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Only recruits from tiles collected at the end of the study included. Different letters among sites represent significant differences in recruits (multiple-comparison Nemenyi test, P < .05).

¹ 1.08 yr.
² 0.91 yr.

TABLE 2
Number of Recovered Tiles, Recruits, and Recruitment Rate per Locality
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[mean $\pm$ SD]) and large at La Entrega ($13.15 \pm 30.35$), whereas Isla Montosa, Isla Cacaluta, and Jicaral-Chachacual had intermediate fragment numbers. Number of fragments was significantly different among localities ($H = 51.06; df = 5, 120; P < .01$). The number of successful fragments varied between $0.3 \pm 0.92$ at Isla San Agustín and $2.75 \pm 2.31$ at Isla Cacaluta, and the rest of the localities had intermediate fragment numbers. Number of successful fragments was significantly different among localities ($H = 48.94; df = 5, 120; P < .01$). However, there is no relationship between the number of fragments and successful fragments. For example, the number of fragments at La Entrega was twice as large as at the second important locality (Jicaral-Chachacual), though the number of successful fragments represented just 16% of the observed fragments (compared with 24% at Jicaral-Chachacual). At Isla Montosa, which possessed one-third of the recruitment at La Entrega, successful fragments represented approximately 60% of the observed fragments (Table 3). It is clear, therefore, that the processes involved in the production of fragments are spatially separate from those affecting the reattachment and survival of fragments in Bahías de Huatulco.

**Discussion**

**Larval Recruitment**

Previous studies addressing coral recruitment in the eastern Pacific have failed to some extent due to the low number of larval recruits recorded. For example, Birkeland (1977) re-
ported the settlement of two *Pocillopora* sp. recruits at Taboguilla, Bay of Panama, with a recruitment rate of 1.06 ind m\(^{-2}\) during a 5-yr period; whereas Richmond (1985) reported 11 coral recruits of *Pocillopora elegans* attached to artificial substrate at the same locality but during a 15-month period. Medina-Rosas et al. (2005) recovered 25 ahermatypic and hermatypic corals, nine of which belonged to the genus *Porites*. Therefore, the settlement

![Figure 3. Size (average diameter) of *Porites panamensis* recruits recovered during all monthly tiles sampled from all sites at Bahías de Huatulco. Numbers above bars refer to number of recruits. nd, tiles not collected.](image)

**TABLE 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Fragments per m(^2) (mean ± SE)</th>
<th>Successful Fragments per m(^2) (mean ± SE)</th>
<th>Successful Fragments (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isla San Agustín</td>
<td>1.3 ± 2.2 a</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.9 a</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jicaral-Chachacual</td>
<td>6.7 ± 7.5 b</td>
<td>1.6 ± 2.2 b</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isla Cacaluta</td>
<td>5.3 ± 4.7 c</td>
<td>2.7 ± 2.3 b</td>
<td>51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Entrega</td>
<td>13.1 ± 30.3 b</td>
<td>2.1 ± 4 b</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isla Montosa</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.3 b</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.9 b</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(n = 20\) quadrats per locality. Different letters among sites represent significant differences in fragment density (multiple-comparison Nemenyi test, \(P < .05\)).
of 291 *Porites panamensis* and 1 *Pocillopora* sp. larvae represents the most important recruitment event ever recorded in the eastern Pacific, and to some extent it can be compared with similar events in the Caribbean and high-latitude reefs in the Indo-Pacific (Birkeland 1977, Edmunds 2000, Soong et al. 2003), though still far from the numbers reported at tropical Indo-Pacific reef systems (Dunstan and Johnson 1998, Tamelander 2002, Fox 2004).

In general, previous eastern Pacific recruitment studies have targeted coral communities in Central America and recently Mexico, but there is no firm data to explain either numerical or taxonomic differences in larval recruitment between the study reported here and those already conducted in the eastern Pacific, because Central America and Mexican Pacific localities harbor similar richness, species composition, and reef development (Reyes-Bonilla and López-Pérez 1998, Glynn and Ault 2000). It is unknown to what extent differences in geographic location, geographic extent, settlement material, and time account for the abundance of recruits. It is evident, however, that at least in the eastern Pacific there is a trend: studies restricted to single localities using plastic (i.e., Plexiglas) settlement plates have had the least recruits or none at all, as opposed to those targeting multiple sites using terracotta tiles. Although studying multiple sites intuitively increases the chance of recording recruitment events, terracotta tiles apparently facilitate coral settlement (Harriott and Fisk 1987, Richmond 1997, Medina-Rosas et al. 2005) because its general texture mimics natural substrate conditions, probably allowing a certain degree of biological conditioning and the subsequent settlement of coral spats. Although we lack data to explain unambiguously the recruitment differences among geographic locations and more studies of this kind should be undertaken, differences in recruitment probably resulted from the relatively large spatiotemporal variation in coral reproduction and recruitment (Fadlallah 1983, Harrison and Wallace 1990, Connell et al. 1997, Dunstan and Johnson 1998, and references therein). For example, the main coral builder in the Huatulco area, *P. damicornis* (Table 1), is a brooder throughout much of its Indo-Pacific distribution but is a broadcast spawner in the eastern Pacific (Fadlallah 1983, Glynn et al. 1991, Ayre et al. 1997, Richmond 1997, Ayre and Hughes 2000), and its reproductive activity at La Entrega also differs between years (Rodríguez-Troncoso 2006). *Porites panamensis* is a year-round broadcast spawner in its eastern Pacific distribution (Glynn et al. 1994, Vizcaíno-Ochoa 2003, Mora-Pérez 2005, Rodríguez-Troncoso 2006), but its reproductive activity displays relatively important spatiotemporal variation (Glynn et al. 1994, Mora-Pérez 2005).

Recruitment rate varied between relatively close localities (1.5–6 km) in the Huatulco area. This is not surprising because studies carried out in tropical and high-latitude temperate reefs have demonstrated that recruitment displays considerable spatial variation (Harrison and Wallace 1990, Connell et al. 1997, Dunstan and Johnson 1998, Soong et al. 2003), even at scales of meters or tens of meters (Tioho et al. 2001, Carlon 2002). Coral recruitment patterns are determined by mechanisms that manifest themselves over a range of spatial scales (Dunstan and Johnson 1998) and directly affect the production, abundance, distribution, and settlement of coral larvae. In our study, there is a significant correlation between *Porites panamensis* coral coverage data (Table 1) and recruit abundance (Spearman rank test: \( r = 0.975, n = 5, P = .005 \)). The relation between coral coverage and number of recruits also suggests, at least in the Huatulco area, that (1) *Porites panamensis* populations rely mainly on local larval production, and (2) behavior of released larvae is closely related to those local water motion processes that facilitate the retention of the larvae on native reefs. Similar results have been suggested for the species based on histological studies at La Paz, Baja California Sur (Mora-Pérez 2005), Bahía de Banderas (Vizcaíno-Ochoa 2003), La Entrega, Oaxaca (Rodríguez-Troncoso 2006), and Central America (Glynn et al. 1994), suggesting in situ recruitment as the dominant process for maintaining local *P. panamensis* populations.

Size of recruits suggests that unless
there are dramatic differences in growth rate among localities, populations of *Porites panamensis* experience multiple reproductive/recruitment events through the year. The relatively large differences in degree of development (1 to >200 corallites per coral spat) and size within localities (Table 1, Figures 2, 3) argues strongly in support of multiple reproductive/recruitment cycles in the area. Similar numbers displayed by the species in La Paz (Mora-Pérez 2005), Bahía de Banderas (Vizcaíno-Ochoa 2003), and Central America (Glynn et al. 1994), investigated through histological methods, support our findings. Particularly at La Entrega in the Bahías de Huatulco area, Rodríguez-Troncoso (2006) after a 2-yr histological study (2002–2003 and 2004–2005) reported that *P. panamensis* is reproductively active year-round, although maximum reproductive activity is potentially variable among years.

**Asexual Recruitment**

At Bahías de Huatulco no fragments of species other than *Pocillopora* spp. were recorded. Branching species across all scleractinian groups are more prone to break apart, especially from bioerosion, predation, and water movement (Karlson et al. 1996, Lirman et al. 2001), than massive and encrusting species (Glynn 1990, Reyes-Bonilla and Calderón-Aguilera 1994, Marshall 2000). Commonly, asexual reproduction by fragmentation is the predominant mechanism for maintaining local population sizes, especially in some species of *Acropora* and *Pocillopora* (Highsmith 1982, Richmond 1987, Smith and Hughes 1999). Massive species of *Porites* and favids, on the contrary, are less prone to breakage (Marshall 2000), therefore reducing the importance of asexual reproduction by fragmentation for maintaining local populations (Reyes-Bonilla and Calderón-Aguilera 1994). At Bahías de Huatulco, fragment formation varied across the area (Table 3). In oceanographic terms the Huatulco area is considered to be a relatively homogeneous water body subject to the cyclical influence of the Costa Rica Coastal Current and upwelling from the Gulf of Tehuantepec (Fieder 1992, Lluch-Cota et al. 1997), and there is no clear trend suggesting environmental heterogeneity among localities being responsible for differences in fragment production and survival. Nonetheless, relatively large differences exist in natural and human disturbance. In general, disturbance differences occur between relatively disturbed (La Entrega and Jicaral-Chachacual) and undisturbed communities (Isla San Agustín, Isla Cacaluta, and Isla Montosa). Since 1996, there have been no reports of environmental disturbances in the “undisturbed” areas, whereas La Entrega has been continuously and highly disturbed, and recently Jicaral-Chachacual experienced severe coral mortality. La Entrega was affected by the El Niño–Southern Oscillation event in 1987 (Glynn and Leyte-Morales 1997), intense public use since the early 1990s (Leyte-Morales 2000), and recurrent dredging of the area at least once a year since the early 1990s (G.E.L.M., pers. obs.). At Jicaral-Chachacual a major disturbance in February 2001 removed 87% of the coral cover (reduced from 42% to 5%) during which *Pocillopora damicornis* was selectively removed (López-Pérez et al. 2002, López-Pérez and Hernandez-Ballesteros 2004).

Based on these studies, although not conclusive, there is a correlation between disturbance and fragment formation. That is, disturbed communities (La Entrega and Jicaral-Chachacual) possess a relatively large number of fragments compared with undisturbed communities (Isa San Agustín, Isla Cacaluta, and Isla Montosa). Concurrently, although there is a correlation between disturbance and fragment formation, fragment reattachment and survival (percentage of successful fragments) were spatially separate from the processes involved in fragmentation (i.e., in undisturbed sites fragment reattachment and survival is higher [Table 3]). It has been suggested that fragment survival is a highly complex process in which fragment size, among-species variation in phenotypic plasticity, tissue regeneration ability, susceptibility to disease, corallite morphology, and predator preferences may be considered determining factors in fragment survival (Bruno 1998 and references...
In addition, fragment survival may be highly habitat- and context-specific as well as species-specific (Rogers et al. 1982, Wahle 1983, Heyward and Collins 1985). To date, we lack sufficient data to assess the importance of each of these elements in explaining spatial differences in fragment survival. It is fair to say, however, that the level of disturbance required to generate fragments could also affect fragment survival. It is necessary to state that the relationship between fragments and successful fragments is preliminary and should be considered with caution because we lack information on the time of fragmentation relative to the sampling period (i.e., if close in time, few fragments would be expected to have reattached). Future work on addressing the relationship between fragments and successful fragments must be focused on collecting information on fragmentation (i.e., the disturbance that potentially produced the fragmentation) relative to the sampling period.

Finally, even if our concept of “percentage of successful fragments” is seriously flawed because we lack data on time of fragmentation relative to the sampling period, the most relevant finding regarding asexual reproduction through fragmentation is that the mechanism is important in the dynamics of local populations of *Pocillopora* spp. at Bahías de Huatulco.

**Community Structure**

In the absence of postsettlement mortality and reproduction strategy asymmetries between species, community structure may be mainly driven by the spatiotemporal variability in larval supply and its relation to abundance of adult populations. Under this premise, Bahías de Huatulco sexual and asexual coral recruitment would have been expected to be completely dominated by *Pocillopora* spp. because these taxa represent 95–99% of the coral coverage at each local community (Table 1). Based on recruitment results, however, organization of coral communities at Bahías de Huatulco depends on the physical and/or biological interactions affecting the reproduction and the sexual and asexual recruitment and survival of the involved species. Particularly, the sexual and asexual recruitment patterns and potential survival asymmetries displayed by *Porites* *panamensis* and *Pocillopora* spp. in the area are of capital importance in the occurrence of the local coral communities and potentially those of the entire eastern Pacific region.

Evidence from this and other studies suggests that reproduction and recruitment may be highly species-specific and therefore potentially asymmetric. Sexual and asexual reproduction asymmetries in the Huatulco and eastern Pacific areas recently have been recorded in histological studies. Studies conducted at La Paz (*P. damicornis* [Chávez-Romo 2004], *P. panamensis* [Mora-Pérez 2005]), Bahía de Banderas (*P. damicornis*, *P. panamensis* [Vizcaíno-Ochoa 2003]), La Entrega (*P. damicornis*, *P. panamensis* [Rodríguez-Troncoso 2004, 2006]), and Costa Rica, Panama, and the Galápagos (*P. damicornis*, *P. elegans* [Glynn et al. 1991], *P. panamensis* [Glynn et al. 1994]) found that whereas *P. panamensis* is a species that broods and releases planula larvae year-round, there is no evidence supporting successful sexual reproduction of *Pocillopora* species in the eastern Pacific area, suggesting relatively high rates of larval recruitment for *P. panamensis* but extremely low rates, if any, for *Pocillopora* spp. This outcome was tentatively suggested by previous recruitment studies in the area (Birkeland 1977, Wellington 1982, Richmond 1985, Guzmán 1986, Medina-Rosas et al. 2005) but fully supported during our study. For community structure and dynamics, this suggests that recovery of frame-building corals following disturbance is highly species-specific; for example, recovery of *P. panamensis* after severe local removal could be relatively fast, but recovery of *Pocillopora* spp. could be greatly prolonged. We predict, therefore, that nearby and remote new reefs as well as moderately recovered coral communities can be dominated by *P. panamensis* after severe disturbance in the eastern Pacific. Nonetheless, once *Pocillopora* spp. arrive at this kind of community, or in communities with low to moderate disturbance where patches of *Pocillopora* spp. were preserved,
they may contribute greatly to coral coverage via successful fragmentation recruitment, and therefore reef recovery can proceed at a moderately to relatively fast pace after disturbance.

Finally, in numerical terms, recruitment data gathered for the Bahías de Huatulco represent the most important recruitment event ever recorded in the eastern Pacific area. In addition, it allows us to have a deeper knowledge of community structure and dynamics in the Huatulco area in particular and in the entire eastern Pacific region in general. Nonetheless, we need to establish coordinated multidisciplinary and interinstitutional efforts in which genetic, histological, and ecological approaches allow us to unequivocally determine the processes controlling community structure and dynamics in the eastern Pacific. Particularly we need to accurately establish recruitment seasonality and its geographic variation and postsettlement juvenile mortality by monitoring individual recruit histories.
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